## Suggested Solution to Exercise Sheet 10 <br> Applied Analysis <br> Discussion on Thursday 9-1-2014 at 16ct

This is also the first mock exam. $100 \%$ corresponds to 110 points. In the final exam you are allowed to use a calculator and a double-sided handwritten A4 sheet. This is intended to be solved in 120 minutes.
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Exercise 1 (Three basic properties of metric spaces)
Let $(M, d)$ be a metric space.
(a) Give a definition of the following properties of $(M, d)$ :
i. compactness,
ii. separability, and
iii. completeness.
(b) Which of the following implications are true? (no proof required)
i. $(M, d)$ is compact $\Rightarrow(M, d)$ is complete.
ii. $(M, d)$ is complete $\Rightarrow(M, d)$ is compact.
iii. $(M, d)$ is compact $\Rightarrow(M, d)$ is separable.
iv. $(M, d)$ is separable $\Rightarrow(M, d)$ is complete.
(c) Give a counterexample with explanation of one of the wrong implications in (b).

Solution of Exercise 1:
ad (a):
ad $i$. :
$(M, d)$ is compact, iff for every given sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $M$ we find a subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which converges in $M$.
ad ii.:
$(M, d)$ is separable, iff we can find a dense and countable subset of $M$.
ad iii.:
$(M, d)$ is complete, iff every Cauchy sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $M$ converges in $M$.
ad (b):
ad $i$ :
true
ad ii.:
false
ad iii.:
true
ad iv.:
false
ad (c):
We have to find a counterexample for ii. or iv..
ad ii.:
$\left(\mathbb{R}, d_{2}\right)$ is complete (see lecture) but not compact (because it is not bounded). ad iv.:
$\left(\mathbb{Q}, d_{2}\right)$ is separable (the dense countable subset is $\left.\mathbb{Q}\right)$ but not complete (see lecture).

## Exercise 2 (Compactness)

(a) Which of the following sets are compact? (no proof required)
i. $(\mathbb{Q}, d)$ where $d$ is the discrete metric.
ii. $[0,1] \times\{1\}$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{2}\right)$. Here we denote by $d_{2}$ the euclidean metric.
iii. $(0,1]$ in $\left(\mathbb{R}, d_{2}\right)$.
iv. $(M, d)$ a metric space where $M$ is a finite set.
(b) Choose one of your claims in part (a) and prove them.
(c) Show that the closed unit ball in $\ell^{\infty}$ is not compact.
(d) Prove that the function $f:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
f(x, y)=e^{x^{2}}+y x+y e^{y}-x
$$

attains its infimum and supremum in $[0,1]^{2}$.

## Solution of Exercise 2:

ad (a):
ad $i$ :
not compact
ad ii.:
compact
ad iii.:
not compact
ad iv.:
compact
ad (b):
We state again all proofs. But you have to give only one!
ad i.:
$\mathbb{Q}$ is not bounded, so it is not compact.
ad ii.:
$[0,1]$ and $\{1\}$ are both closed and bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}$. So $[0,1] \times\{1\}$ is a closed and bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The claim follows because a closed and bounded subset of the Euclidean space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{2}\right)$ is compact.
ad iii.:
$(0,1]$ is not closed. Indeed the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ given by $x_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$ is convergent to 0 in $\left(\mathbb{R}, d_{2}\right)$, but $0 \notin(0,1]$. So $(0,1]$ is not closed and therefore not compact.
ad iv.:
Given an arbitrary sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $M$, then there exists a point $m \in M$ which is occurs infinitely often in this sequence. So we can choose a constant subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x_{n_{k}}=m$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As every constant sequence in $M$ converges in $M$ our claim follows.
ad (c):
It is clear that $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in the closed unit ball of $\ell^{\infty}$. We get immediately from the definition of the norm

$$
\left\|e_{n}-e_{m}\right\|_{\infty}=1
$$

for $n \neq m$. So let us suppose that the closed unit ball is compact. In this case we could find a convergent subsequence $\left(e_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. As every convergent subsequence is Cauchy, we get

$$
\left\|e_{n_{k}}-e_{n_{l}}\right\|_{\infty}<1
$$

for $k, l$ large enough. So for $k \neq l$ large enough we get the contradiction

$$
1=\left\|e_{n_{k}}-e_{n_{l}}\right\|_{\infty}<1
$$

So our assumption that the closed unit ball of $\ell^{\infty}$ is compact gives us a contradiction. Hence the closed unit ball of $\ell^{\infty}$ is not compact.

## ad (d):

$f$ is as the composition/multiplication/addition of continuous functions continuous. Moreover $[0,1]^{2}$ is compact (because it is a bounded and closed subset of an Euclidean space). So we know from the lecture (every continuous function defined on a compact subset attains its supremum and infimum) that $f$ attains its infimum and supremum in $[0,1]^{2}$.

## Exercise 3 (Banach's fixed point theorem)

(a) Formulate Banach's (classical) fixed point theorem.
(b) Use Banach's fixed point theorem to prove the existence of a unique solution $x^{*}, y^{*} \in[-1,1]$ of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 x=x^{2}+y \\
& 10 y=x^{2}+y+5
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) Calculate the first two decimal digits of $x^{*}$ and $y^{*}$ by using the fixed point iteration starting with $x_{0}=y_{0}=0$.

## Solution of Exercise 3:

## ad (a):

The classical version of Banach's fixed point theorem:
Every strict contraction $f: M \rightarrow M$ (i.e. $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq L d(x, y)$ holds for all $x, y \in M$ and some fixed $L<1$ ) on a complete metric space $(M, d)$ has a unique fixed point.
ad (b):
Let us use the compact (because it is a bounded and closed subset of a Euclidean space) subset $[-1,1]^{2}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the metric

$$
d_{\infty}(a, b)=\max \left\{\left|a_{1}-b_{1}\right|,\left|a_{2}-b_{2}\right|\right\}
$$

for $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. So $\left([-1,1]^{2}, d_{\infty}\right)$ is a complete metric space (either because it is compact and therefore complete, or because it is a closed subset of the complete metric space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d_{\infty}\right)$ ).
Moreover let us define a function

$$
f:[-1,1]^{2} \rightarrow[-1,1]^{2}
$$

by

$$
f:(x, y) \mapsto\left(\frac{1}{10}\left(x^{2}+y\right), \frac{1}{10}\left(x^{2}+y+5\right)\right) .
$$

This is a strict contraction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\infty}(f(a), f(b)) & =\max \left(\frac{1}{10}\left|\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)+\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right|, \frac{1}{10}\left|\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)+\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right|\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{10}\left|\left(a_{1}-b_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)+\left(a_{2}-b_{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{10}\left|a_{1}-b_{1}\right|\left|a_{1}+b_{1}\right|+\frac{1}{10}\left|a_{2}-b_{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{10} d_{\infty}(a, b)\left|a_{1}+b_{1}\right|+\frac{1}{10} d_{\infty}(a, b) \\
& \leq \frac{3}{10} d_{\infty}(a, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right) \in[-1,1]^{2}$. So we can use Banach's fixed point theorem to conclude that $f$ has a unique fixed point in $[-1,1]^{2}$. And a fixed point of $f$ is nothing else then a solution of the above equation system.
ad (c):
Using the iteration

$$
a_{n}=f\left(a_{n-1}\right)
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $a_{0}=(0,0)$, we know two basic error estimations ( $a^{*}=\left(x^{*}, y^{*}\right)$ the unique fixed point):

$$
d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{n}\right) \leq \frac{L^{n}}{1-L} d_{\infty}\left(a_{1}, a_{0}\right)
$$

or (which we use now)

$$
d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{n}\right) \leq \frac{L}{1-L} d_{\infty}\left(a_{n}, a_{n-1}\right)
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From part (b) we get $L=0.3$ and therefore $L(1-L)^{-1}=\frac{3}{7}$. In particular we have (which is easier to handle)

$$
d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{n}\right) \leq \frac{L}{1-L} d_{\infty}\left(a_{n}, a_{n-1}\right)<\frac{1}{2} d_{\infty}\left(a_{n}, a_{n-1}\right)
$$

So we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{0}=(0,0), d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{0}\right) & =? \\
a_{1}=(0,0.5), d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{1}\right) & <\frac{1}{2} d_{\infty}\left(a_{1}, a_{0}\right)=0.25 \\
a_{2}=(0.05,0.55), d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{2}\right) & <\frac{1}{2} d_{\infty}\left(a_{2}, a_{1}\right)=0.025 \\
a_{3}=(0.05525,0.5525), d_{\infty}\left(a^{*}, a_{3}\right) & <\frac{1}{2} d_{\infty}\left(a_{3}, a_{2}\right)=0.0025
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we get $x^{*}=0.05525 \pm 0.0025$ and $y^{*}=0.5525 \pm 0.0025$ (We remark that the first two decimal digits are correct).
(a) Which of the following sets are countable? (no proof required)
i. $[0,1]$
ii. $\mathbb{Z} \times\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$
iii. $\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$
iv. $\mathbb{Q}$
v. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})=\{A: A \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ the power set of $\mathbb{Z}$
vi. $\mathcal{P}_{f}(\mathbb{N}):=\{A: A \subset \mathbb{N}$ is finite $\}$
(b) If $A \neq \emptyset$ is uncountable, prove that $B$ with $A \subset B$ is uncountable too.

Solution of Exercise 4:
ad (a):
ad $i$ :
not countable
ad ii.:
countable
ad iii.:
countable
ad iv.:
countable
ad $v$. :
not countable
ad vi.:
countable
ad (b):
We prove this by contradiction. So let us suppose that $B$ is countable. Then we know from one of the exercises, that a subset of a countable set is again countable. So $A$ is countable. This is a contradiction to the assumption, that $A$ uncountable. So $B$ has to be uncountable too.

Exercise 5 (Linear bounded maps)
If $\left(x_{k}\right) \in \ell^{p}$ and $\left(y_{k}\right) \in l^{q}$, then $\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right) \in \ell^{1}$ (no proof needed). Here $p, q \in[1, \infty]$ are such that $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$. So for a fixed $\left(y_{k}\right) \in \ell^{q}$ we get a well-defined function

$$
T: \ell^{p} \rightarrow \ell^{1}, \quad T:\left(x_{k}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right)
$$

(a) Show that $T$ is linear.
(b) Show that $T$ is bounded.

## Solution of Exercise 5:

ad (a):

- Given $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{p}$ and $z=\left(z_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{p}$, then $T(x+z)=T x+T z$. Indeed

$$
T(x+z)=T\left(\left(x_{k}+z_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\right)=\left(\left(x_{k}+z_{k}\right) y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}=\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}+\left(z_{k} y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}=T x+T z
$$

- Given $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{p}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$, then $T(\lambda x)=\lambda T x$. Indeed

$$
T(\lambda x)=T\left(\left(\lambda x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\right)=\left(\left(\lambda x_{k}\right) y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}=\left(\lambda\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right)\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}=\lambda\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}=\lambda T x
$$

## ad (b):

Boundedness means in our case, that we have to find some constant $C>0$ (independent of $x$ ) such that

$$
\|T x\|_{1} \leq C\|x\|_{p}
$$

holds for every $x \in \ell^{p}$. Given some arbitrary $x=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^{p}$, then

$$
\|T x\|_{1}=\left\|\left(x_{k} y_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\right\|_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{k} y_{k}\right|
$$

Now we use Hölder's inequality and get

$$
\|T x\|_{1}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|x_{k} y_{k}\right| \leq\|x\|_{p}\|y\|_{q}
$$

So we have found our constant (which is independent of $x$ )

$$
C=\|y\|_{q} .
$$

(a) List all $\sigma$-algebras on $\Omega=\{5,6,7\}$.
(b) Let $f: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}$ be a function and $\Sigma_{2}$ a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega_{2}$. Define $\sigma(f)$.
(c) Let the function $f:\{1,2,3,4,5\} \rightarrow\{1,2,3,4\}$ given by $f(1)=1, f(2)=f(3)=2, f(4)=$ $f(5)=3$. We equip the codomain with the $\sigma$-algebra $\Sigma=\sigma(\{\{3\},\{4\}\})$. Write down all the elements of $\sigma(f)$.
(d) Let $f: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Omega_{2}$ be a function, $\Sigma_{1}$ a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega_{2}$. Define when $f$ is $\Sigma_{1} / \Sigma_{2}$-measurable.
(e) In the situation of part (c): How many functions $g:\{1,2,3,4,5\} \rightarrow\{1,2,3,4\}$ are $\sigma(f) / \Sigma$ measurable? Give a detailed argumentation.

Solution of Exercise 6:
ad (a):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{P}(\Omega)=\{\emptyset,\{5\},\{6\},\{7\},\{5,6\},\{6,7\},\{5,7\},\{5,6,7\}\} \\
\{\emptyset,\{5,6,7\}\} \\
\{\emptyset,\{5\},\{6,7\},\{5,6,7\}\} \\
\{\emptyset,\{6\},\{5,7\},\{5,6,7\}\} \\
\{\emptyset,\{7\},\{5,6\},\{5,6,7\}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

ad (b):
One possible (there are other possible definitions!) definition is:

$$
\sigma(f)=\left\{f^{-1}(A): A \in \Sigma_{2}\right\}
$$

ad (c):

$$
\sigma(f)=\sigma\left(\left\{f^{-1}(\{3\}), f^{-1}(\{3\})\right\}\right)=\sigma(\{\{4,5\}, \emptyset\})=\{\emptyset,\{1,2,3\},\{4,5\},\{1,2,3,4,5\}\}
$$

ad (d):
$f$ is $\Sigma_{1} / \Sigma_{2}$-measurable, iff

$$
f^{-1}(A) \in \Sigma_{1}
$$

for all $A \in \Sigma_{2}$.
ad (e):
$g$ is measurable, iff

$$
g(\{1,2,3\}) \subset\{3\}, \subset\{4\} \text { or } \subset\{1,2\}
$$

and

$$
g(\{4,5\}) \subset\{3\}, \subset\{4\} \text { or } \subset\{1,2\} .
$$

There are $1+1+8=10$ possible ways to map to define $g$ on $\{1,2,3\}$ and $1+1+4=6$ ways to define $g$ on $\{4,5\}$. So we conclude, that there are 60 measurable functions.

Exercise 7 (Calculating Riemann integrals)
(a) Suppose that $f:[a, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Riemann integrable. If $b \in(a, c)$ is given, then

$$
\int_{a}^{c} f(x) d x=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x+\int_{b}^{c} f(x) d x .
$$

Prove this by using the definition of the Riemann integral (You can assume that $f$ is Riemann integrable on $[b, c]$ and $[a, b]$ too).
(b) Calculate the following Riemann integrals:
i. $\int_{-1}^{1} e^{x^{2}+3 x^{4}} x d x$
ii. $\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} e^{x^{3}} d x$
iii. $\int_{0}^{1}\left(x^{2}+4 x\right) d x$
iv. $\int_{-1}^{2} f(x) d x$

Here $f:[-1,2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$
f: x \mapsto \begin{cases}-x+1 & , \text { for } x<0 \\ 0 & , \text { for } x=0 \\ x-1 & , \text { for } x>0\end{cases}
$$

Solution of Exercise 7:
ad (a):
We find a partition

$$
\pi^{(1, n)}=\left(t_{0}^{(1, n)}, \ldots, t_{N(1, n)}^{(1, n)}\right)
$$

of $[a, b]$ and a partition

$$
\pi^{(1, n)}=\left(t_{0}^{(1, n)}, \ldots, t_{N(2, n)}^{(1, n)}\right)
$$

of $[b, c]$ with mesh size $<\frac{1}{n}$ and two vectors

$$
\xi^{(1, n)}=\left(\xi_{1}^{(1, n)}, \ldots, \xi_{N(1, n)}^{(1, n)}\right)
$$

and

$$
\xi^{(2, n)}=\left(\xi_{1}^{(2, n)}, \ldots, \xi_{N(2, n)}^{(2, n)}\right)
$$

of sample points for $\pi^{(1, n)}$ respectively $\pi^{(2, n)}$. By definition of the Riemann integral (and Riemann integrablity)

$$
\mathrm{R}-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(f, \pi^{(1, n)}, \xi^{(1, n)}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathrm{R}-\int_{b}^{c} f(x) d x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(f, \pi^{(2, n)}, \xi^{(2, n)}\right) .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\pi^{(n)}:=\left(t_{0}^{(1, n)}, \ldots, t_{N(1, n)}^{(1, n)}=t_{0}^{(2, n)}, \ldots, t_{N(2, n)}^{(2, n)}\right)
$$

is a partition of mesh size $<\frac{1}{n}$ and

$$
\xi^{(1, n)}=\left(\xi_{1}^{(1, n)}, \ldots, \xi_{N(1, n)}^{(1, n)}, \xi_{1}^{(2, n)}, \ldots, \xi_{N(2, n)}^{(2, n)}\right)
$$

a vector of sample points for $\pi^{(n)}$. Moreover we get from the definition of Riemann sums

$$
S\left(f, \pi^{(n)}, \xi^{(n)}\right)=S\left(f, \pi^{(1, n)}, \xi^{(1, n)}\right)+S\left(f, \pi^{(2, n)}, \xi^{(2, n)}\right)
$$

The Riemann integrablity of $f$ on $[a, c]$ shows that the left-hand side converges to the Riemann integral on $[a, c]$. Hence taking the limits we conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}-\int_{a}^{c} f(x) d x & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(f, \pi^{(n)}, \xi^{(n)}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S\left(f, \pi^{(1, n)}, \xi^{(1, n)}\right)+S\left(f, \pi^{(2, n)}, \xi^{(2, n)}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{R}-\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x+\mathrm{R}-\int_{b}^{c} f(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

ad (b):
ad $i$.:
The function $x \mapsto e^{x^{2}+3 x^{4}} x$ is odd. Moreover the integration limits are symmetric around zero. So

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} x^{2} e^{x^{3}} d x=0
$$

ad ii.:

$$
\int_{0}^{1} x^{2} e^{x^{3}} d x=\left[\frac{1}{3} e^{x^{3}}\right]_{x=0}^{x=1}=\frac{1}{3}(e-1)
$$

ad iii.:

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(x^{2}+4 x\right) d x=\left[\frac{1}{3} x^{3}+2 x\right]_{x=0}^{x=1}=\frac{7}{3}
$$

ad iv.:

$$
\int_{-1}^{2} f(x) d x=\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x+\int_{1}^{2} f(x) d x=\int_{1}^{2}(x-1) d x=\left[\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-x\right]_{x=1}^{x=2}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Here we used, that $f$ is odd and therefore

$$
\int_{-1}^{1} f(x) d x=0
$$

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a probability space. Two sets $A, B \in \Sigma$ are called (stochastically) independent, iff

$$
\mu(A \cap B)=\mu(A) \mu(B)
$$

Let us suppose that $A \in \Sigma$ and $\mathcal{E} \subset \Sigma$ is given. We say that $A$ is independent of $\mathcal{E}$, iff $A, B$ are independent for all $B \in \mathcal{E}$.
(a) Find a concrete example of the above situation such that $A$ is independent of $\mathcal{E}$ but $A$ is not independent of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$.
(b) Let us suppose that $\mathcal{E}$ is stable under intersections. Prove that the following properties are equivalent:
i. $A$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are independent.
ii. $A$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ are independent.

## Solution of Exercise 8:

## ad (a):

We define the probability space $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ with

$$
\Omega=\{1,2,3,4\}, \Sigma=\mathcal{P}(\Omega) \text { and } \mu(A)=\frac{|A|}{4}
$$

This defines clearly a probability space. Moreover let us set

$$
A=\{2,3\} \text { and } \mathcal{E}=\{\{1,2\},\{2,4\}\} .
$$

One can immediately see that $A$ is independent of $\mathcal{E}$. Indeed we have

$$
\mu(A \cap\{1,2\})=\mu(\{2\})=\frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=\mu(A) \mu(\{1,2\})
$$

and

$$
\mu(A \cap\{2,4\})=\mu(\{2\})=\frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}=\mu(A) \mu(\{2,4\}) .
$$

So $A$ is independent of $\mathcal{E}$, but $A$ is not independent of $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$. The last claim follows from $\{2\} \in \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ and

$$
\mu(A \cap\{2\})=\mu(\{2\})=\frac{1}{4} \neq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4}=\mu(A) \mu(\{2\})
$$

ad (b):
The implication "ii. $\Rightarrow$ i." is obvious (but don't forget to write that down). So we concentrate now on the implication "i. $\Rightarrow$ ii."
We want to use the principle of good sets. Our good sets are given by

$$
\mathcal{G}=\{B \in \Sigma: \mu(A \cap B)=\mu(A) \mu(B)\} .
$$

In a first step we prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Dynkin system.
First step $-\mathcal{G}$ is a Dynkin system:

- $\emptyset \in \mathcal{G}$ because $\mu(A \cap \emptyset)=\mu(\emptyset)=0=\mu(A) \cdot \mu(\emptyset)$.
- If $B \in \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow B^{c} \in \mathcal{G}$. Indeed

$$
\mu\left(A \cap B^{c}\right)=\mu(A)-\mu(A \cap B)=\mu(A)-\mu(A) \mu(B)=\mu(A)(1-\mu(B))=\mu(A) \mu\left(B^{c}\right) .
$$

- If $A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are given disjoint sets, then we have to show that $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \in \mathcal{G}$. But this is can be derived as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mu\left(A \cap \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}\right) & =\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(A_{n} \cap A\right)\right) & \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A \cap A_{n}\right) & & \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(A) \mu\left(A_{n}\right) & & \\
& =\mu(A)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right) & & \\
& =\mu(A) \cdot \mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n}\right) & & A_{n} \text { pairwise disjoint }
\end{array}
$$

This shows that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Dynkin system. In the next step we show $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{G}$.
Step 2- the inclusion $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{G}$ :
This is precisely our assumption i..
Final step - the inclusion $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{G}$ :
From the second step we conclude $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{G}$. From the definition of the $d(\mathcal{E})$ and our first step, we conclude $d(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{G}$. One of our assumptions is that $\mathcal{E}$ is stable under intersections. So we conclude from Dynkin's $\pi$ - $\lambda$ theorem $\sigma(\mathcal{E})=d(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{G}$.
But $\sigma(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{G}$ is a reformulation of ii., so the claim follows.

Decide which of the following statements are true (no proof needed). For every correct answer you get +1 point and for every wrong answer -1 point. The points of this exercise will be rounded up to zero, if the total number is negative.
(a) The trigonometric polynomials are dense in $\left(C([0,2 \pi]),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(b) The polynomials are dense in $\left(C([0,2 \pi]),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$.
$\square$ false
(c) $\left(C_{b}(M),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a Polish space if $(M, d)$ is a metric space.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(d) $\left(C(M),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a Polish space if $(M, d)$ is a compact metric space.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(e) $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(f) $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
$\square$ true
(g) $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is generated as a $\sigma$-algebra by all finite intervals $(a, b)$ with $a<b$.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(h) $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is generated as a $\sigma$-algebra by all open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
$\square$ truefalse
(i) Given two normed spaces $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},\|\cdot\|\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},\|\cdot\|^{\prime}\right)$. Then the compact subsets of the two metric spaces coincide.false
(j) If $(M, d)$ and $\left(M, d^{\prime}\right)$ are metric spaces on same set $M$. Let us suppose that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a convergent sequence in both spaces, then the limits in $(M, d)$ and in $\left(M, d^{\prime}\right)$ coincide.
$\square$ truefalse
(k) If $(M, d)$ is a metric space and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to both $x$ and $y$, then $x=y$.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(1) The compact subsets in $\ell^{2}$ are precisely the bounded and closed subsets.
$\square$ truefalse
(m) If $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega$ and $A_{i} \in \Sigma$ for all $i \in I$ (here $I$ is an arbitrary index set), then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i} \in \Sigma$.
$\square$ truefalse
(n) If $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega$ and $A_{n} \in \Sigma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \in \Sigma$.
$\square$ true
$\square$ false
(o) The Lebesgue measure $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}$ assigns to every $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ a "length" $\lambda(A) \geq 0$.$\square$ false

## Solution of Exercise 9:

(a) The trigonometric polynomials are dense in $\left(C([0,2 \pi]),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$.$\otimes$ false
(b) The polynomials are dense in $\left(C([0,2 \pi]),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$. $\otimes$ true
$\square$ false
(c) $\left(C_{b}(M),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a Polish space if $(M, d)$ is a metric space.
$\square$ true
$\otimes$ false
(d) $\left(C(M),\|\cdot\|_{\infty}\right)$ is a Polish space if $(M, d)$ is a compact metric space. $\triangle$ truefalse
(e) $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \neq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$.
$\boxtimes$ true
false
(f) $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, then $A \times B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.false
(g) $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is generated as a $\sigma$-algebra by all finite intervals $(a, b)$ with $a<b$. $\triangle$ true$\square$ false
(h) $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is generated as a $\sigma$-algebra by all open sets in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. $\boxtimes$ truefalse
(i) Given two normed spaces $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},\|\cdot\|\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{N},\|\cdot\|^{\prime}\right)$. Then the compact subsets of the two metric spaces coincide.true $\boxtimes$ false
(j) If $(M, d)$ and $\left(M, d^{\prime}\right)$ are metric spaces on same set $M$. Let us suppose that $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is a convergent sequence in both spaces, then the limits in $(M, d)$ and in $\left(M, d^{\prime}\right)$ coincide.$\boxtimes$ false
(k) If $(M, d)$ is a metric space and $\left(x_{n}\right)$ converges to both $x$ and $y$, then $x=y$. - true false
(l) The compact subsets in $\ell^{2}$ are precisely the bounded and closed subsets. $\square$ true $\boxtimes$ false
(m) If $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega$ and $A_{i} \in \Sigma$ for all $i \in I$ (here $I$ is an arbitrary index set), then $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i} \in \Sigma$.
$\square$ true
$\boxtimes$ false
(n) If $\Sigma$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $\Omega$ and $A_{n} \in \Sigma$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{n} \in \Sigma$. $\boxtimes$ true
$\square$ false
(o) The Lebesgue measure $\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R}$ assigns to every $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ a "length" $\lambda(A) \geq 0$.
true
$\boxtimes$ false

