1. Introduction

The goal of improving the efficiency of lithium-ion batteries, especially in terms of energy and power densities, lifetime, cost, and safety, has led to many efforts towards the development of novel electrode materials. A higher demand for efficient energy storage for the future market of hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) and electric vehicles (EVs) \[1\] is among the principal reasons driving these efforts. There is an increasing interest in the development of alternative cathode and anode materials with enhanced kinetics and high rate capabilities. In this context, nanosized materials have attracted considerable attention due to their improved electrochemical properties when compared to bulk materials.\[2\] For nanosized materials small crystallite size leads to faster lithium insertion/extraction together with a large specific surface area that provides a greater electrode/electrolyte interface. Graphite-based anodes, which are currently used in Li-ion batteries, exhibit poor performance under operating conditions such as low temperatures and high charge/discharge rates. Furthermore, they also present high irreversible capacity in the first cycle due to the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) formation. Anode materials based on titanium oxides are promising candidates as alternative materials to carbonaceous anodes due to advantages in terms of cost, safety, and toxicity when compared to other anodic materials. Among the several polymorphs of TiO\(_2\), brookite, TiO\(_2\)-B, anatase, and rutile are promising anode materials for Li-ion batteries.\[3\] Typically the Li\(^+\) insertion/extraction reaction for TiO\(_2\) polymorphs occurs in the potential range of 1.4–1.8 V vs. Li/Li\(^+\), according to the following reaction:

\[
x \text{Li}^+ + \text{TiO}_2 + xe^{-} = \text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2
\]

The maximum theoretical capacity is 335 mAh g\(^{-1}\), which corresponds to \(x = 1\) and to the complete reduction of Ti\(^{4+}\) to Ti\(^{3+}\). This makes TiO\(_2\) a highly competitive alternative to graphite anodes. The working potential of the cell depends on the TiO\(_2\) polymorph used. TiO\(_2\)-B has an insertion potential of about 1.6 V vs. Li\(^+\) associated with the Li\(^+\) insertion into the structure. A composition of Li\(_{0.9}\)TiO\(_2\) corresponding to 305 mAh g\(^{-1}\) has been reported for the first cycle with a reversible intercalation of approximately 0.7 mol Li\(^+\) per
molecule of TiO$_2$.$^{[4]}$ Very few reports of electrochemical lithium insertion into TiO$_2$ brookite polymorph have been made.$^{[5]}$ Reddy et al. have reported a reversible capacity of 160–170 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1.7 V vs. Li/Li$^+$ for nanosized brookite samples. On the other hand, the rutile TiO$_2$ polymorph has been considered a poor Li insertion material for a long time. The reported capacity values for bulk rutile were in the range of $\approx 0.15$ Li per molecule of TiO$_2$.$^{[6]}$ It is only recently that excellent capacities at high rates have been reported for TiO$_2$ rutile with nanosized dimensions.$^{[7]}$ Among the TiO$_2$ polymorphs, anatase is considered as the most promising candidate for use as an anode material for Li-ion batteries due to its fast Li$^+$ insertion/extraction reactions and high insertion capacity.$^{[8]}$ From the practical viewpoint, reversible insertion into anatase TiO$_2$ is about 0.6 Li (i.e., 200 mAh g$^{-1}$) at 1.78 V vs. Li/Li$^+$. It has been demonstrated that the composition of Li$_x$TiO$_2$ can be obtained from anatase TiO$_2$ at high temperature$^{[9]}$ or with particle size inferior to 7 nm.$^{[10]}$

The use of nanosized materials is not without complications, however. Reports of a decrease of the volumetric energy density due to a possible loss of connectivity between particles because of parasitic reactions with the electrolyte are well known.$^{[11]}$ Therefore, controlling the morphology appears to be crucial in determining the electrochemical performance of TiO$_2$ materials in Li-ion batteries. In this context, mesoporous materials have received particular attention since they are demonstrated to be an optimal morphology for increasing electrode stability and Li insertion capacity, especially at high charge/discharge rates.$^{[12]}$ Besides the control of morphology, different strategies have been studied to improve the electrochemical performance of mesoporous anatase: for example, mixing TiO$_2$ with Ag nanoparticles,$^{[13]}$ glassy-like phases,$^{[14]}$ RuO$_2$,$^{[15]}$ carbon,$^{[16]}$ spacers,$^{[17]}$ or using metal coatings.$^{[18]}$

Herein, we demonstrate how to optimize the morphology of anatase TiO$_2$ nanosized materials to obtain excellent electrochemical lithium insertion/extraction performance. We utilize various synthetic conditions to obtain materials with different specific surface areas, particle sizes, and pore size distributions and investigate their electrochemical properties in order to correlate morphology and pore structure with their performance as anode materials.

### 2. Results and Discussion

#### 2.1. Materials Characterization

To obtain different morphologies of TiO$_2$ anatase nanoparticles, different synthetic routes were utilized. TiO$_2$-a has been obtained via a sol–gel route using a glycol-modified titanium precursor (EGMT)$^{[20]}$ in the presence of a nonionic surfactant (Brij56).$^{[21]}$ A pure anatase phase with crystallite size of 9 nm (Figure 1) and a specific surface area of 110 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ was obtained. The nitrogen sorption results show isotherm curves characteristic of mesoporous materials with drop-shaped pores (Table 1) with a narrow pore size distribution of maximum 5 nm. TiO$_2$-b has been prepared via the sol–gel method with tetraisopropylorthotitanate as titanium precursor in the presence of octadecylamine.$^{[22]}$ The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns showed a pure anatase phase with average crystallite size of 10 nm (Figure 1) and low surface area (25 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) due to nonporous morphology. TiO$_2$-c has been obtained via an inverse miniemulsion process.$^{[23]}$ The size of the crystallites is below 10 nm, as determined from transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and the evaluation of the diffractograms with the Scherrer equation (Figure 1). The specific surface area was 150 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and the pore size distribution was broader than for TiO$_2$-a (7–15 nm) due to the particulate morphology of the material. XRD patterns of the prepared TiO$_2$ materials are shown in Figure 1. The physical properties of the studied samples are summarized in Table 1.

The values of the average crystallite size determined by Scherrer’s equation have been confirmed by TEM (Figure 2). Moreover, the images provide information about the connectivity between the nanocrystallites. Based on the TEM images, it can be clearly seen that TiO$_2$-a is mesoporous (indicated by arrows in Figure 2, middle).

#### 2.2. Evaluation of Electrochemical Performance

The electrochemical evaluation of the three anatase materials with different porosities was carried out by both

---

Table 1. Structural properties of the different titanium oxides used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Polymorph</th>
<th>SSA (BET)$^{[20]}$ (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Pore diameter (BJH)$^{[20]}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Crystallite size$^{[20]}$ (XRD) (nm)</th>
<th>Particle size (TEM) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-a</td>
<td>anatase</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-b</td>
<td>anatase</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>n.m.$^{[20]}$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-c</td>
<td>anatase</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>7–15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^{[20]}$SSA = specific surface area; BET = Brunauer–Emmett–Teller; BJH = Barrett–Joyner–Halenda; $^{[20]}$Determined by applying the Scherrer equation; $^{[20]}$n.m. – not measurable.
cyclic voltammetry and galvanostatic cycling. Cyclic voltammetry was carried out between 1 and 3 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\). The comparison between the voltammograms is shown in Figure 3.

All three voltammograms presented two peaks at about 1.75 V (cathodic) and 2.0 V (anodic) corresponding to the faradaic insertion and extraction of lithium into anatase TiO\(_2\).[24] Upon Li insertion, the anatase converts to a two-phase product, including the Li-poor Li\(_{0.0}\)TiO\(_2\) (space group \(I4_1/amd\)) phase with tetragonal symmetry, and the Li-rich Li\(_{0.5}\)TiO\(_2\) (space group \(Imma\)) phase with orthorhombic symmetry. It has been demonstrated that the Li ions are randomly distributed over half of the available interstitial octahedral sites, which leads to a Li storage capacity of 0.5 (168 mAh g\(^{-1}\)).[25] Besides this faradaic process, other types of surface storage mechanisms, connected with pseudo capacities, have been studied. These capacitive effects appear to be strictly connected with the dimensions, the porosity, and the surface area of the material.[26] The cyclic voltammogram of TiO\(_2\)-c clearly indicated better kinetics for this material. The intensities of the peaks suggested that the high-surface-area materials exhibit the higher capacities. This has been confirmed by galvanostatic cycling measurements. Figure 4a shows the first galvanostatic cycle obtained from the three anatase materials at 1 C (0.335 A g\(^{-1}\)) between 1.2 and 3 V. The voltage profile of the first discharge of the three investigated materials presents three distinct regions:
• The first region (I) before a constant-voltage plateau (>1.78 V) is characterized by a potential drop attributed to the formation of the conductive Li$_x$TiO$_2$ in the solid-solution domain. The extent of this domain is directly proportional to the specific surface area of the materials (Figure 4b).
• The second region (II) shows a plateau at 1.78 V, which is attributed to a well-known two-phase mechanism described above.
• The third region (III) at potential below 1.5 V exhibits a sloped curve. This process involves surface lithium storage. The extent of this domain depends on the surface area of the material.

Table 2 summarizes the capacities measured for the different electrochemical processes during the first cycle for TiO$_2$-a, TiO$_2$-b, and TiO$_2$-c anatase electrodes at 1 C (0.335 A g$^{-1}$) between 1.2 and 3 V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Domain I</th>
<th>Domain II</th>
<th>Domain III</th>
<th>Capacity 1$^{\text{st}}$ discharge</th>
<th>Capacity 1$^{\text{st}}$ charge</th>
<th>Irreversible capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-a</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-b</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-c</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Summary of the capacities measured for the different electrochemical processes during the first cycle for TiO$_2$-a, TiO$_2$-b, and TiO$_2$-c anatase electrodes at 1 C (0.335 A g$^{-1}$) between 1.2 and 3 V.

Material presented capacities of 160, 144, and 133 mAh g$^{-1}$ at 1 to 3 C cycling rate. It is interesting to note the increase of capacity observed for TiO$_2$-b during the first 20 cycles. This rather unusual behavior can be attributed to an enhancement of electrode/electrolyte contact generated upon cycling. Moreover, this material showed an excellent stability in all the investigated charging rates. On the contrary, TiO$_2$-c presented high initial capacity but less stability. Indeed, after only 20 cycles at the C rate, the capacity retention of TiO$_2$-c was 90% while it was 95% for TiO$_2$-a.

The rate capabilities of TiO$_2$-a and TiO$_2$-c at charging rates ranging from 1 to 25 C between 1.2 and 3 V are shown in Figure 6. Galvanostatic Li insertion/extraction into TiO$_2$ was carried out for three cycles at charging rates ranging from 1 to 25 C, and the capacities of each material were determined from the third charge curve.

The two materials (TiO$_2$-a and TiO$_2$-c) exhibited high rate capabilities. As expected, the reversible capacity decreased with increasing charging rate; however, the capacity decay was less pronounced for TiO$_2$-c than for TiO$_2$-a. The best performance was displayed by TiO$_2$-a (up to 10 C rate). At higher charging rates TiO$_2$-c showed superior Li rate capabilities (e.g., at 25 C the reversible capacity is 88 mAh g$^{-1}$ for TiO$_2$-c and 78 mAh g$^{-1}$ for TiO$_2$-a). The rate capability results of TiO$_2$-c and TiO$_2$-a suggest higher surface Li storage abilities for both materials consistent with their high surface area. In the case of TiO$_2$-b, the low capacities are due to a low surface contact between the electrode material and the electrolyte.
The stability of TiO$_2$-a and TiO$_2$-c using different working potential windows has been investigated. For this, repetitive charge/discharge at a relatively high rate (4 C, 1.34 A g$^{-1}$) was carried out for 50 cycles using three potential ranges (1–3, 1.2–3, and 1.5–3 V). The graphs showing the charge/discharge capacity versus cycle number are presented in Figure 7.

The data show that both materials exhibit equivalent initial capacity in all the investigated potential windows. These results are shown in Table 3 where $Q_{rev}$, Cap.ret., and ICL represent the reversible capacity, the capacity retention, and the irreversible capacity loss, respectively. After 50 cycles at 4 C, TiO$_2$-a exhibits 120 (1.5–3 V), 128 (1.2–3 V), and 133 mAh g$^{-1}$ (1.2–3 V) whereas TiO$_2$-c shows 80, 103, and 97 mAh g$^{-1}$ under the same conditions. Furthermore, TiO$_2$-a showed a remarkable stability with a capacity retention of 95% after 50 cycles at 4 C. In contrast, a marked capacity fading is observed for TiO$_2$-c in all investigated potential ranges (capacity retention of 85% after 50 cycles).

From these results, it appears that the stability of the materials depends not only on the morphology but also on the working potential window. In the potential range 1.5–3 V the difference in stability is attributed to the difference in morphology of the two materials; TiO$_2$-a has a lower surface area and a narrower pore size distribution than TiO$_2$-c. This arrangement leads to a higher stability in this potential range. Enlarging the potential window to 1–3 V leads to higher Li surface storage and consequently to a higher surface kinetics while retaining electrode stability, as demonstrated by the rate capabilities and capacity retention of electrodes with this morphology.

Three different synthetic routes for the preparation of these titania materials were utilized to obtain the different morphological patterns of the samples. Two types of samples were prepared by the sol–gel method in the presence of surfactants (TiO$_2$-a and TiO$_2$-b) while TiO$_2$-c was prepared via a miniemulsion process.

Additionally, the impact of the cycling conditions on the performance of the anatase materials, especially the working potential window, was investigated. It was found that enlarging the cycling potential window led to higher capacities but also to lower stability of the electrode materials.

### 4. Experimental Section

**Materials:** All chemicals were employed without further purification: polyethylene-block-polyoxyethylene copolymer (PE-b-PEO; Brij56, $M_w$ = 682 g mol$^{-1}$) was bought from Aldrich; tetraisopropylorthotitanate (TIP, 98%), ethylene glycol (EG, 99.5%), hydrochloric acid, octadecylamine (90%), acetone (99%), and absolute ethanol were purchased from Merck whereas Isopar M was bought from Caldic.

Poly(ethylene/butylene-block-ethyleneoxide) $(P(E/B-b-EO); M_w = 12,000$ g mol$^{-1}$) was prepared by coupling “Kraton liquid” $(M_w = 3900$ g mol$^{-1}$; a-hydroxypropylene-ethylene-co-butylene) with ethylene oxide by anionic polymerization.$^{[27]}$ Deionized water was used during the experiments.

**Precursor:** Bis(2-hydroxyethyl)titane (EGMT) was synthesized by a modified procedure of Xia and co-workers,$^{[26]}$ which was described in detail previously.$^{[21]}$

**Synthesis of TiO$_2$:**

- TiO$_2$-a (Brij56): In a synthesis according to the protocol described in Reference [22], Brij56 (1.23 g, 1.8 mmol) was dissolved by ultrasound in dilute hydrochloric acid (300 mL, pH2). EGMT (0.29 g, 60 mmol Ti) was added to this solution. The resulting suspension was aged in an oven at 60 °C for 1 day and subsequently annealed at 400 °C for 4 h in air for complete surfactant removal.

### Table 3. Summary of cycling data for TiO$_2$-a and TiO$_2$-c anatase electrodes in different potential ranges at 4 C (1.34 A g$^{-1}$ charge/discharge rate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1–3 V</th>
<th>1.2–3 V</th>
<th>1.5–3 V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TiO$_2$-a</td>
<td>TiO$_2$-c</td>
<td>TiO$_2$-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{rev}$ (initial) [mAh g$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{rev}$ (50 cycles) [mAh g$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cap. ret. [%]</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICL [mAh g$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Conclusion

We have demonstrated the effect of optimizing the active material’s morphology to obtain enhanced electrochemical performance of anatase TiO$_2$ anodes. We showed that a mesoporous arrangement is a key morphology to optimize surface kinetics while retaining electrode stability, as demonstrated by the rate capabilities and capacity retention of electrodes with this morphology.

Figure 7. Capacity of lithium insertion/extraction versus charging rate for TiO$_2$-a (left) and TiO$_2$-c (right) using different working potential ranges (1–3, 1.2–3, and 1–3 V).
• TiO\(_2\)C: A synthesis modified according to Reference [23] was applied. Octadecylamine (5.35 g, 19.8 mmol) was dissolved by ultrasound in absolute ethanol (60 mL). TiP (8.87 g, 30 mmol) was added to this solution. Demineralized water was sonicated and the ethanolic TiP/octadecylamine solution was added drop-wise. Ultrasound was employed for 4 h at 100 W cm\(^{-2}\) (22% of the total amplitude). After that the sample was stored in an oven overnight at 60 °C. The sample was then washed several times with water and ethanol and the resulting powder was annealed at 450 °C for 5 h in air.

• TiO\(_2\)C (mimiemulsion): Titania particles were synthesized in an inverse miniemulsion. The dispersed aqueous phase consisted of EGMT (3.13 g) and hydrochloric acid (100 mL, 1 wt%). Isopar M (250 g) and P(E/B-methylpyrrolidone (NMP). The well-mixed slurry was coated onto a Cs-corrected FEI Titan operating at 200 kV. The samples were dispersed in ethanol and spread on a carbon-coated copper grid. For high-resolution TEM the samples were embedded in resin and cut with an ultramicrotome. The flakes were collected with a copper grid. Nitrogen sorption measurements were performed at 77 K on a Quadrasorb SI instrument (Quantachrome). The surface area was calculated according to the five-point model of Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller ( BET) in the p/p\(_0\) range of 0.08–0.26.

Electrode Preparation and Electrochemical Measurements: The electrode materials were prepared by mixing the active material (76 wt.%) with conducting carbon black additive (Super P, 12 wt.%) and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) binder (12 wt.%) in N-methylpyrrolidone (NMP). The well-mixed slurry was coated onto an aluminum foil using the doctor-blade method. The coated foil was allowed to dry in an oven at 80 °C overnight. It was cut into circular disks and assembled into sealed three-electrode cells under an Ar atmosphere in a glovebox. These disks were used as circular disks and assembled into sealed three-electrode cells.

Characterization: XRD measurements were performed by using Cu\(_{K\alpha}\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.154\) nm) on a Siemens D5000 instrument. TEM characterization was carried out on a Cs-corrected FEI Titan microscope operated at 80 kV and on a Phillips CM 20 microscope operating at 200 kV. The samples were dispersed in ethanol and spread on a carbon-coated copper grid. For high-resolution TEM the samples were embedded in resin and cut with an ultramicrotome. The flakes were collected with a copper grid. Nitrogen sorption measurements were performed at 77 K on a Quadrasorb SI instrument (Quantachrome). The surface area was calculated according to the five-point model of Brunauer, Emmett, and Teller (BET) in the p/p\(_0\) range of 0.08–0.26.
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