

**Exercise 1.** Make yourself familiar with SICStus Prolog, which is installed in the Linux pool:

- Go to [www.sics.se/sicstus/](http://www.sics.se/sicstus/) for information regarding SICStus Prolog. Read the chapter “How to Run Prolog”.
- Install Emacs-Support for SICStus: Add the line `(load "/opt/sicstus4.0.1/lib/sicstus-4.0.1/emacs/sicstus_emacs_init")` to the file `~/.emacs` (where `~` is your home directory).
- Write a “Hello world!” program in SICStus Prolog, e.g.,  
`start :- write('Hello world!').`  
Compile the source in Emacs and call it with `start`.

**Exercise 2** (CLP – “generate and test” vs. “constrain and generate”).

Use the *permutation sort* algorithm for sorting a list of integers. Analyse the run time complexity of each implementation with respect to the length of the list.

- a) To implement the *generate and test version*, use three Prolog predicates `permsort(List,Sorted)`, `permutation(List,Sorted)`, and `sorted(Sorted)` (all arguments are lists).

A list `R` is the sorted version of the list `L` if `R` is a permutation of `L` and the elements of `R` are sorted in increasing order.

- b) For the *constrain and generate version*, use the constraint solver given in the module `clpq` and refer to the SICStus manual – chapter 33 – for details.

**Hint:** Your source code must include `:- use_module(library(clpq)).`

For example, the constraint solver simplifies the following two constraints (which must be enclosed in braces) `{A=<3, A=<5}` to `{A=<3}`.

Convert, both the explicit as well as the implicit compare operations (i.e., the operations that compare list elements) from part (a), into `clpq` constraints by attaching braces.

Note that in the definition of `permsort`, the predicate `sorted` should be called before `permutation` in order to constrain first.

Testing should include lists with variables (e.g. `permsort([1,A,3],Sorted)`) and already sorted (output)lists (e.g. `permsort(X,[1,3,7])`).

If you run into an endless loop, explain why and fix the problem.

**Exercise 3.**

Implement a Prolog program to help scheduling routes for the freight forwarding business. The program uses the following knowledge base, giving distances between major cities in Germany.

Hamburg,Bremen,80	Hamburg,Hannover,110
Hamburg,Berlin,230	Bremen,Hannover,100
Bremen,Dortmund,200	Hannover,Kassel,140
Hannover,Nuernberg,380	Dortmund,Kassel,130
Dortmund,Koeln,80	Kassel,Wuerzburg,180
Kassel,Frankfurt,180	Frankfurt,Wuerzburg,110
Nuernberg,Muenchen,160	

- a) Represent the distances with a Prolog predicate `dist(city0,city1,km)`.  
Test your implementation with queries like `?- dist(dortmund,bremen,Km),`  
`?- dist(From,To,180),` and `?- dist(From,To,Km)`.
- b) The Prolog predicate `route(From,To)` should succeed, iff there is a route between `From` and `To`.  
Tests should include `route(muenchen,berlin)`, `route(ulm,halle)`, and finally `route(frankfurt,To)`.

- c) Define a ternary predicate `route(From,To,Route)` which has the same functionality as `route/2` with respect to the first and second parameters `From` and `To`. Additionally, the third parameter, `Route`, contains the cities already visited in order to avoid cycles (i.e. visiting a city more than once).  
Thorough testing should include ground and non-ground queries, e.g.,  
`?- route(dortmund,X,[kassel])`.
- d) The Prolog predicate `shortestpath(From,To,Route,Km)` should succeed, iff the minimal distance between the cities `From` and `To` is `Km` along `Route`.  
Tests should include, e.g., `shortestpath(kassel,bremen,[hannover],X)`.

#### Exercise 4 (Map Coloring).

The Prolog predicate `color(Map,Colors)` should succeed if each state could be assigned a color from `Colors`, such that no two bordering states have the same color. The element of the list `Map` are tuples `(Land,Color)`.  
Compute a valid assignment using four colors.



**Exercise 5.** Decompose the following equations according to Clark's Equality Theory. Determine whether the equations are consistent and give the resulting variable bindings.

- |     |   |     |                                |
|-----|---|-----|--------------------------------|
| (a) | $p(a, X, c, Y, Z)$                      | $=$ | $p(Y, X, c, a, W)$             |
| (b) | $p(Y, g(Y, f(Y)), g(X, a))$             | $=$ | $p(f(U), V, g(h(U, V, W), U))$ |
| (c) | $p(g(X), h(a, X), h(Y, Y))$             | $=$ | $p(U, h(a, g(V)), h(V, g(U)))$ |
| (d) | $p(h(f(X), a), X, h(f(f(X)), f(f(X))))$ | $=$ | $p(h(V, a), U, h(W, f(V)))$    |