

Volumes 695–696, 30 June 2004
Complete in one issue

ISSN 0022-2860

Journal of

MOLECULAR STRUCTURE

SPECIAL ISSUE

**SPECTROSCOPY AND
MOLECULAR STRUCTURE**

A collection of invited papers in Honor of Professors
Brenda P. and Manfred Winnewisser
F. C. De Lucia, P. Jensen,
K. M. T. Yamada and J. Laane

(Editors)

ELSEVIER — On-Line Access via: www.elsevier.com/locate/molstruc




Contents

Special Issue: Spectroscopy and Molecular Structure. A collection of invited papers in Honor of Professors Brenda P. and Manfred Winnewisser. Edited by Frank C. De Lucia, Per Jensen, Koichi M. T. Yamada and Jaan Laane.

Brenda and Manfred Winnewisser

P. Jensen (Wuppertal, Germany), F. C. De Lucia (Columbus OH, USA) and K. M. T. Yamada (Ibaraki, Japan)	1
New rotation–vibration band and potential energy function of NeH^+ in the ground electronic state S. Civiš, J. Šebera, V. Špirko, J. Fišer (Prague, Czech Republic), W. P. Kraemer (Garching, Germany) and K. Kawaguchi (Okayama, Japan)	5
Microwave spectra, nuclear field shift effects, geometries and hyperfine constants of bismuth mononitride, BiN, and bismuth monophosphide, BiP S. A. Cooke, J. M. Michaud and M. C. L. Gerry (Vancouver, BC, Canada)	13
New Fourier transform infrared emission spectra of CaH and SrH: combined isotopomer analyses with CaD and SrD A. Shayesteh, K. A. Walker, I. Gordon, D. R. T. Appadoo and P. F. Bernath (Waterloo, Ont., Canada)	23
Infrared intensities of liquids XXIV: optical constants of liquid benzene- h_6 at 25 °C extended to 11.5 cm^{-1} and molar polarizabilities and integrated intensities of benzene- h_6 between 6200 and 11.5 cm^{-1} J. E. Bertie (Edmonton, Alta, Canada) and C. D. Keefe (Sydney, NS, Canada)	39
Analysis of the rotational structure in bands in the high-resolution infrared spectra of butadiene and butadiene-2,3- d_2 : refinement in assignments of fundamentals N. C. Craig, J. L. Davis, K. A. Hanson, M. C. Moore, K. J. Weidenbaum (Oberlin, OH, USA) and M. Lock (Giessen, Germany)	59
A comment on the use of redundant vibrational coordinates J. K. G. Watson (Ottawa, Ont., Canada)	71
Vibrational spectra, conformational equilibrium and ab initio calculations of 1,2-diphenylethane A. Horn, P. Klæboe (Oslo, Norway), B. Jordanov (Sofia, Bulgaria), C. J. Nielsen and V. Aleksa (Oslo, Norway)	77
How does a reaction path branching take place? A classification of bifurcation events W. Quapp (Leipzig, Germany)	95
Absorption spectroscopy in solid hydrogen: challenges to experimentalists and theorists A. P. Mishra, T. K. Balasubramanian (Mumbai, India), R. H. Tipping (Tuscaloosa, AL, USA) and Q. Ma (New York, NY, USA)	103
Matrix isolation spectroscopy of H_2O , D_2O , and HDO in solid parahydrogen M. E. Fajardo, S. Tam and M. E. DeRose (Edwards AFB, CA, USA)	111
Fourier transform spectra and torsion–rotation structure of the CO-stretching $2\nu_8$ overtone and $2\nu_8-\nu_8$ hot band of CH_3OH R. M. Lees (Fredericton, NB, Canada), M. Mollabashi (Tehran, Iran), L.-H. Xu (Saint John, NB, Canada), M. Lock (Giessen, Germany), B. P. Winnewisser (Columbus, OH, USA), Z.-F. Lu and J. W. C. Johns (Ottawa, Ont., Canada)	129
Ab initio anharmonic force field and ab initio and experimental equilibrium structures of formyl chloride J. Demaison (Villeneuve d'Ascq, France), J. E. Boggs (Austin, TX, USA) and H. D. Rudolph (Ulm, Germany)	145
Collisional dynamics of the first excited states of neon in the 590–670 nm region using laser optogalvanic spectroscopy X. L. Han, M. C. Su (Indianapolis, IN, USA), C. Haridass (Belfry, KY, USA) and P. Misra (Washington, DC, USA)	155
Intramolecular hydrogen bonding in (1-fluorocyclopropyl)methanol as studied by microwave spectroscopy and quantum chemical calculations H. Møllendal (Oslo, Norway), A. Leonov and A. de Meijere (Göttingen, Germany)	163
Near infrared diode laser cw slit jet investigations of $\text{O}^{13}\text{C}:\text{HCl}$, $^{14}\text{N}_2:\text{HBr}$ and $\text{OC}:\text{HBr}$ Z. Wang, A. L. McIntosh, R. R. Lucchese and J. W. Bevan (College Station, TX, USA)	171
Line intensities of CH_3D in the Triad region: 6–10 μm L. R. Brown (Pasadena, CA, USA), A. Nikitin (Tomsk, Russia), D. C. Benner, V. M. Devi (Williamsburg, VA, USA), M. A. H. Smith (Hampton, VA, USA), L. Fejard, J. P. Champion (Dijon, France), V. G. Tyuterev (Reims Cedex, France), and R. L. Sams (Richland, WA, USA)	181
New gas phase reaction to iodine azide, IN_3 : microwave spectrum and structure H.-O. Munz, H.-K. Bodenseh and M. Ferner (Ulm, Germany)	189
An ab initio study of diazirine: equilibrium structure and molecular properties C. Puzzarini (Bologna, Italy), A. Gambi (Udine, Italy) and G. Cazzoli (Bologna, Italy)	203
Time averaged emission spectra of Nd:YAG laser induced carbon plasmas A. M. Keszler and L. Nemes (Budapest, Hungary)	211
A theoretical study of the MgNC/MgCN isomerization in the electronic ground state O. Bludský, V. Špirko (Praha, Czech Republic), T. E. Odaka, P. Jensen (Wuppertal, Germany) and T. Hirano (Tokyo, Japan)	219
High-field rotational Zeeman effect studies of MODR transitions in the ground state of fluorocarbene, HCF M. Wagner, M. Gamperling and W. Hüttner (Ulm, Germany)	227
Structure searching in the MOGADOC database J. Vogt and N. Vogt (Ulm, Germany)	237
Pure rotational spectroscopy of potassium chloride, KCl, up to 930 GHz and isotopically invariant analysis of KCl and NaCl M. Caris, F. Lewen, H. S. P. Müller and G. Winnewisser (Cologne, Germany)	243

A theoretical study of the millimeterwave spectrum of CH_5^+ P. R. Bunker, B. Ostojić and S. Yurchenko (Ottawa, Ont., Canada)	253
The submillimeter wave spectrum of the C_4H_4 isomer vinylacetylene S. Thorwirth (Cambridge, MA, USA), H. S. P. Müller, H. Lichau, G. Winnewisser (Köln, Germany) and G. Ch. Mellau (Gießen, Germany)	263
Temperature dependence of self- and N_2 -broadening and pressure-induced shifts in the $3 \leftarrow 0$ band of CO A. Predoi-Cross (Lethbridge, Alta., Canada), C. Hnatovsky (Ottawa, Ont., Canada), K. Strong, J. R. Drummond (Toronto Ont., Canada) and D. C. Benner (Williamsburg, VA, USA)	269
Molecular structure, spectral constants, and fermi resonances in chlorine nitrate D. T. Petkie (Dayton, OH, USA), R. A. H. Butler (Pasadena, CA, USA), P. Helminger (Mobile, AL, USA) and F. C. De Lucia (Columbus, OH, USA)	287
Global analysis of high resolution infrared and rotational spectra of HCCC^{15}N up to 1335 cm^{-1} A. Fayt, C. Vigouroux (Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium), F. Willaert, L. Margules, L. F. Constantin, J. Demaison (Villeneuve d'Ascq, France), G. Pawelke, E. B. Mkadmi and H. Bürger (Wuppertal, Germany)	295
Torsional Coriolis coefficients in a 'regular' vibrational basis for molecules with one pair of internal rotors F. Lattanzi and C. di Lauro (Napoli, Italy)	313
Internal rotation tunnelling in HSOH K. M. T. Yamada (Tsukuba, Japan), G. Winnewisser (Köln, Germany) and P. Jensen (Wuppertal, Germany)	323
Vibrational frequencies and structure of cyclopropanone from ab initio calculations J. Yang, K. McCann and J. Laane (College Station, TX, USA)	339
Low temperature dissociation of CO at reactive step sites of Pd(112) A. Wille, P. Nickut and K. Al-Shamery (Oldenburg, Germany)	345
Atropisomerism in bisphenols: free jet absorption millimeter wave study of 2,2'-biphenol P. Ottaviani, A. Maris and W. Caminati (Bologna, Italy)	353
Highly excited symmetry-breaking infrared and THz transitions in methanol- D_1 I. Mukhopadhyay (Madison, SD, USA)	357
Fourier transform far infrared spectrum of CD_3OD : detailed analysis in the torsional ground state I. Mukhopadhyay (Madison, SD, USA), Y.-B. Duan (Shandong, China) and S. Klee (Giessen, Germany)	367
A tribute to the Winnewisers or how science meets technology A. Keens (Ettlingen, Germany)	379
Rovibrational analysis of the ν_4 and $\nu_5 + \nu_9$ bands of CHCl_2F S. Albert, K. K. Albert and M. Quack (Zürich, Switzerland)	385
Author Index	I
Subject Index	III



Professors Manfred and Brenda Winnewisser



Personal report

Brenda and Manfred Winnewisser

Per Jensen^{a,*}, Frank De Lucia^b, Koichi Yamada^c^a*Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Theoretische Chemie, Wuppertal 42097, Germany*^b*Department of Physics, The Ohio State University, 174 West, 18th Avenue, Columbus OH, 43210, USA*^c*Institute for Environmental Management Technology, AIST Tsukuba-West, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8569, Japan*

Brenda Pruden, later to become Brenda Pruden Winnewisser, was born on May 31st, 1939, in Newark, NJ. She finally discovered physics in her second year as an undergraduate at Wellesley College, and has been doing it ever since. She deliberately escaped the attraction of the Boston area by going to graduate school at Duke University, finishing her degree under Walter Gordy ("I have never had a girl graduate student before, but I'm willing to try!") in 1965 with a thesis about electron spin resonance in irradiated DNA and components thereof. Her paper on the thymidine addition radical was for many years one of the most widely cited papers in radiation damage studies.

Manfred Winnewisser was born on February 5th, 1934, in the city of Karlsruhe in south-western Germany. He spent his first years in Karlsruhe but at the outbreak of World War II he moved with his mother, grandmother and his brother and sister (father was called up by the German army and fell in battle near Moscow) to a farm in the small, secluded Black Forest village of Bad Peterstal. School during and after the war was a spotty affair, and for a year he was apprenticed to an electrician. Via a business-high-school degree, he did finally gain access to a university education, and studied chemistry at the Technical University of Karlsruhe, obtaining his 'Diplom'—corresponding to an MSc degree—in 1956 at the tender age of 22. During his PhD studies at the Technical University Karlsruhe in the research group of Professor Werner Zeil, Manfred and a fellow student, Hans Karl Bodenseh, built together one of the first microwave spectrometers in Germany; he obtained his Dr rer. nat. degree in 1960.

In 1961 Manfred went to Duke University in Durham, North Carolina, USA, where he was a postdoctoral fellow and later instructor, for a period of four years in the laboratory of Walter Gordy. One of his colleagues there in the gas phase spectroscopy group was his younger brother

Gisbert, who also went on to pursue a distinguished career in molecular spectroscopy, and its applications in astrophysics and chemistry, as head of the spectroscopy laboratory at the University of Cologne and driving force behind the radio telescope on the Gornergrat, Switzerland. Another colleague, in the other Gordy lab, was Brenda. After their wedding in 1965, the Winnewisers, as they were known henceforth, moved from Durham to Karlsruhe, where Brenda had obtained an Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship and Manfred returned to his old lab. Brenda completed her conversion to gas phase spectroscopy with a semester as research associate with K. Narahari Rao at the Ohio State University. In the years following, Manfred had positions at the universities of Karlsruhe and Kiel, Germany, and he and Brenda spent a year at Mississippi State University, Manfred as National Science Foundation Senior Foreign Scientist and Brenda as Visiting Assistant Professor. Manfred was appointed professor of physical chemistry at the Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen in 1973.

The Winnewisers—we shall not attempt the rather hopeless task of 'analyzing' their scientific careers separately—have made significant contributions to the understanding of the internal dynamics of small molecules in the gas phase. Their tool is high-resolution spectroscopy. In Giessen, and since 2000 in Columbus, they follow in the micro- and millimeter-wave footsteps of Zeil and Gordy, developing the corresponding techniques continuously. Theirs was the first millimeter wave laboratory to recognize the power of computers for that awkward frequency range, and applied digital data acquisition and averaging to increase significantly the signal-to-noise, speed and accuracy of millimeter wave spectra data. In the late nineties, development took the form of a collaboration with a small firm in the former East Germany to develop a BWO-based millimeter-wave spectrometer using Russian tubes for the frequency region up to 600 GHz. They also entered other fields of spectroscopy, most notably by collaborating with the firm Bruker Optics to develop the BRUKER 120 HR

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-202-439-2468; fax: +49-202-439-2509.

E-mail address: jensen@uni-wuppertal.de (P. Jensen).

high resolution Fourier Transform Spectrometer. The prototype set up in Giessen in 1986 was the final result of a joint grant application with colleagues from Cologne, Kiel, Ulm and Wuppertal. The instrument was run as a five-university joint facility for about 15 years. A diode laser spectrometer for the infrared region was also part of the laboratory. Several molecules were detected for the first time in the Winnewisser group, for example the chain molecules C_3OS , $HNCNH$ und $CNCN$. Although their primary interest has been in decoding the internal dynamics of small molecules, an important goal of the work is the determination of molecular data necessary for atmospheric research and for the exploration of interstellar space. There was one of three laboratories that simultaneously reported the laboratory spectrum of HNC, confirming the proposed identification of the corresponding interstellar absorption. A particular Winnewisser interest is chain molecules with 'soft' bending vibrations, the so-called quasilinear molecules. Brenda and Manfred have made major contributions to the understanding of the complicated rotation-vibration spectra of these molecules, in particular for fulminic acid HCNO. The quasilinear molecules are a source of never-ending surprises. Most recently, Manfred and Brenda have become interested in the quantum monodromy that they exhibit—an energy level pattern resulting from a potential energy surface that looks like the bottom of a champagne bottle! In addition to their very numerous gas phase studies, the Winnewissers have used Fourier transform spectroscopy to investigate the infrared spectrum of solid hydrogen. In Giessen they observed for the first time transitions in solid hydrogen that are associated with a simultaneous excitation of three hydrogen molecules incorporated in the solid, as well as transitions with ΔJ up to eight.

For more than 30 years, Manfred was a member of the Editorial Advisory Committee of the respected Journal of Molecular Spectroscopy. For 21 years, he was one of the three members of the 'Executive Committee' of the International Colloquia on High Resolution Molecular Spectroscopy, a series of biennial international conferences taking place in Western European countries. In 1989, Manfred and Brenda were the local organizers of one of these meetings in Giessen. Furthermore, Manfred was a member of the scientific committee for another major conference series, the International Conferences on High Resolution Molecular Spectroscopy (i.e., the Prague meetings) and both he and Brenda have served on the International Advisory Board of the Ohio State University Symposia on Molecular Spectroscopy. In their roles as conference organizers, Brenda and Manfred saw it as a very important task to encourage the free exchange of information across national borders and without concern for political ideologies. In the days of the Iron Curtain they put much effort into enabling colleagues from the so-called Eastern Block to participate in Western European conferences.

Brenda and Manfred are welcome lecturers at conferences, universities and research institutions all over the world. In particular, Manfred has been a guest professor at the universities in Copenhagen, Denmark, and Venice, Italy and Visiting Scientist at the NRC, Ottawa, Canada. A British Council Lectureship for Manfred took them on a lecture tour of Great Britain in 1976. He could make use of his experience as a scientist and an organizer in his capacity as a member of the scientific advisory committee for the Institute of Optoelectronics at the German Aerospace Research Establishment in Oberpfaffenhofen near Munich, and as the chairman of the scientific advisory committee for the organization running the high-capacity computers in the German state of Hessen. Brenda was a very active member of IUPAC's Commission I.4 on Notations, and chaired this commission from 1991 to 1997. A reacquaintance with biophysics came about when she translated a large portion of a large textbook on biophysics into English, which came out in 1983. The year 1992 brought particular distinction to Manfred Winnewisser as he won the Max Planck Research Prize together with Frank De Lucia.

The deep Winnewisser interest for natural science also encompasses science history. For several years, Manfred was the chairman of the Justus Liebig Society in Giessen. This organization has as its most important task the support of the unique Liebig Museum in Giessen with Justus Liebig's laboratories. Manfred's initiative and hard work removed the dust from the exhibits and turned the Liebig Museum into a modern science museum. Also the Wilhelm Ostwald Society in Grossbothen near Leipzig has profited from Manfred's expertise in this area by electing him a member of its board of governors. This society is concerned with the upkeep of 'Haus Energie' with the residence and laboratory of the famous physical chemist Wilhelm Ostwald.

The interest in history led Brenda to conduct extensive oral history interviews with Wilhelm Hanle in Giessen and Gerhard Herzberg in Ottawa under the auspices of the Center for History of Physics of the AIP. She has delved into various archives to pursue the biography of Hedwig Kohn, one of the first German women in academic physics, which has led to several publications and lectures so far. This project led indirectly to her being one of the midwives of the women's organization within the German Physical Society, the vigorous young Committee for Equal Opportunity founded in 1999.

Perhaps the most important chapter of the Winnewisser story describes the research group at Giessen. During more than a quarter of a century, students and guest scientists crowded around Brenda and Manfred and their support of, and kindness towards, these associates are legendary. We have already mentioned how Brenda and Manfred sought, through the organization of international conferences, to promote scientific collaboration between East and West. In a similar manner they created an environment in Giessen where not only scientifically, but also on the on a human

level, a bridge was extended between the two halves of the divided world. The Giessen group consisted of spectroscopists—and those who would like to become spectroscopists—from all over the world. They found in Giessen an open, friendly atmosphere where they could freely exchange their thoughts and ideas. They were constantly encouraged to make their own ideas reality and supported in every conceivable way while doing so. The Winnewisser helpfulness towards students and visitors was not limited to matters scientific but extended over all areas of life and included resolute assistance with the solution of any imaginable problem. One of us (P.J.) remembers the year 1985 with particular gratitude. In January of that year he broke his leg and was simply made a member of the Winnewisser household until he—quite literally—got back on his feet again. Incidents of this kind make many former ‘Giesseners’ remember their stay there with joy and thankfulness.

After Manfred’s retirement from the Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, the Winnewissers exchanged their

home in small, well-ordered Heuchelheim, Germany, for one in a wilderness corner of big, busy Columbus, Ohio. Manfred and Brenda are both adjunct professors in the atomic, molecular, and optical physics group at the Ohio State University. They now have time to pursue research without other obligations and can attend the Symposium in Columbus without having to live in the dorms.

When a new visitor arrived in Giessen, Manfred would often explain the local conditions by quoting a bon mot of Justus Liebig’s, according to which Giessen is particularly well suited for work and sleep. The success of Manfred and Brenda during their more than 25 years as scientists and teachers in Giessen provides definite proof of the first part of Liebig’s statement. On behalf of all Winnewisser students, associates, and friends, and on behalf of the editors of *Journal of Molecular Structure*, we congratulate Brenda and Manfred to their 65th and 70th birthdays and express our best wishes for many active, successful, and happy years ahead.

New gas phase reaction to iodine azide, IN_3 : microwave spectrum and structure

H.-O. Munz, H.-K. Bodenseh*, M. Ferner

Fakultät für Naturwissenschaften, Abteilung Chemische Physik, Universität Ulm, Ulm D-89069, Germany

Received 14 October 2003; accepted 17 December 2003

Abstract

The unstable molecule iodine azide has been prepared for the first time by a gas phase reaction in a flow system, and the microwave spectra of two isotopic species, a- and b-type, have been measured: the abundant species in the frequency range from 10 to 40 GHz, the $^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}$ -species from 20 to 26 GHz. Rotational constants and centrifugal distortion parameters were obtained. The molecule has been shown to be planar, and the structure was derived. Also, the I-quadrupole coupling constants have been determined.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Iodine azide; Microwave spectroscopy; Nuclear quadrupole coupling constants; Molecular structure

1. Introduction

IN_3 has first been synthesized in 1900 by Hantzsch [1] as a highly explosive solid. The reaction was carried out in a solution by adding iodine, dissolved in diethylether, to a suspension of silver azide in water; but no good data could be obtained because of poor purity. Only in 1993, Klapötke and co-workers [2] published a modified preparation by using CFCl_3 as a solvent, yielding highly pure, bright yellow crystals, suitable for X-ray crystallography. Also, ab initio calculations were carried out [2], spectroscopic work was done with IR [3], ^{14}N NMR [4] and Raman [5]. Furthermore, a structure determination by electron diffraction was published [6]. Following Klapötke's suggestion, we tried to obtain a rotational spectrum, the first samples being supplied in solution over dry ice by his group. By pumping-off the solvent and warming up to -40°C , we could record a reasonable-looking microwave spectrum, showing the typical hyperfine sextets of the iodine nucleus. We then prepared our own crystals, yielding an identical spectrum. We seemingly succeeded in assigning 18 transitions [7],


but no other line of the rich spectrum matched these first ones on the basis of a reasonable structure. We finally realized that the spectrum originated from an unknown reaction product of IN_3 and a deposit in the cell from previous measurements. In new, clean metal cells, aluminum or brass, no lines could be found. Obviously, the decomposition was faster than the filling of the cell at the low temperature. We therefore, looked for another way to produce the molecule.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Chemical preparation of iodine azide

2.1.1. Parent molecule $^{14}\text{N}_3$

Taking into account the analogy between iodine azide and the isoelectronic molecule iodine isocyanate, we tried the same gas phase reaction as used for the production of INCO [8] according to:



Although, such an approach to obtain a gaseous azide had never been reported before, the attempt was immediately successful: iodine vapour was passed in a flow system over silver azide at 120°C (optimum temperature) and through the absorption cell, maintaining a total pressure of 2–3 Pa for survey spectra and 0.6 Pa for frequency measurements.

* Corresponding author. Address: c/o Abteilung Quanteninformationsverarbeitung, Universität Ulm, Ulm D-89069, Germany. Tel.: +49-731-502-2832; fax: +49-731-502-2839.

E-mail address: hans-karl.bodenseh@chemie.uni-ulm.de (H.-K. Bodenseh).

The products were trapped behind the cell by LN_2 . An intense microwave spectrum was obtained which could easily be ascribed to iodine azide and assigned in a straightforward manner. Silver azide was precipitated by adding an aqueous solution of silver nitrate to a solution of sodium azide in water, filtered off, washed with water, ethanol, and finally with diethylether and carefully dried. About 0.1 mol were put into a quartz boat which was placed into a quartz tube of 4 cm in diameter and surrounded by an oven, 30 cm in length and horizontally arranged. Such a charge could be used for more than a week. In spite of intense drying, it was impossible to avoid producing strong transitions of HN_3 so long as IN_3 was produced from a given sample of silver azide. These appeared even at room temperature as soon as the iodine vapour was added, whereas water vapour without iodine over silver azide yielded no trace of HN_3 even at 220 °C: obviously IN_3 has to be formed first and then reacts with water to make HN_3 . When steady state is reached in the flow system and the cell is then closed simultaneously at both ends, the strong signals from IN_3 decay with a rate that can be described best by a second order law: after 10 min half intensity is reached and after 50 min nothing more can be detected. While IN_3 -crystals produced in solution cannot be cooled down to LN_2 -temperature without explosion, we had no problem in trapping the IN_3 -vapour at that low temperature as a microcrystalline sublimate. Warming the trap with caution and flowing the products back through the cell, yields at first the spectrum of HN_3 followed by that of IN_3 . So the IN_3 produced in the gas phase can be handled much more safely than that produced in solution. Obviously, IN_3 condensed from the gas phase forms a molecular crystal, whereas that from solution forms layers of I–N–I–N-chains [2]. Perhaps, these chains rearrange only slowly, compared to the rate of decomposition in the metal cells, to the monomer molecules. This may also explain why these transitions are about one order of magnitude weaker and not suited for searching the unknown spectrum. As we found out by another preparation in solution, they can be identified if their position is known. But even if the procedures described here appear relatively safe: the usual precautions when handling azides should always be taken! When manipulating the substances, the whole body was at all times protected by a thick leather apron, the eyes by safety glasses, the hands by gloves of chain-mail, and the head by a helmet with additional safety shield in front of the face. The glass traps were contained in Dewar vessels made from stainless steel. As soon as the silver azide had been placed into the reaction tube in the oven, this part of the spectrograph was isolated towards the operators by an acrylic glass shield, 2 cm in thickness and wrapped with several layers of transparent foil against slivering by the impact of a shock wave. While dealing with iodine azide prepared from solution, several detonations occurred, but never with iodine azide prepared from the gas phase.

2.1.2. $^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N}$

$^{15}\text{NH}_4^{14}\text{NO}_3$ was used as starting material. It was heated for 20 h at 220 °C in an evacuated and sealed glass ampoule to yield ^{15}NNO . After cooling down this was condensed into another, evacuated ampoule over NaNH_2 . Heating to 300 °C for 22 h resulted in the formation of $\text{Na}^+(\text{N}^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}^{14}\text{N})^-$ which was converted to the silver salt as described above. Due to the limited supply of the substituted ammonium nitrate, the quantities had to be drastically reduced to mmol scale and the experimental setup was accordingly changed: instead of the horizontal arrangement of oven and reaction tube a vertical one was used with the quartz tube only 2 cm in diameter and 15 cm in length. At about 5 cm from the lower end of the tube a frit was inserted. Because the tube was equipped with ground joints at both ends it could be used instead of a filter and the precipitated silver azide was sucked directly onto the frit, a layer of quartz wool in-between. Washing the salt was done through the tube and the frit, also the first drying. Final drying occurred after insertion into the gas flow line at the spectrometer. A small oven of 10 cm in length was placed around the reaction tube. With this modified setup the optimum temperature for producing IN_3 increased to 160 °C. During the tests to minimize the sample size, we realized that the reaction was not reproducible: 300 mg (~2 mmol) silver azide sometimes allowed spectra to be taken through 3 h, but sometimes only for a few minutes; all intermediate values were possible. We could not find out the reason; it seems to be a surface effect of the crystals depending upon tiny variations in the conditions of precipitation and was not important with the large samples for the parent molecule. So we just had to trust luck when using the ^{15}N -sample.

2.2. Spectrometer setup

The microwave spectrograph was of conventional Stark-type operating at 30 kHz. Because pyrolytic or discharge reactions for producing instable species do not often reach a real steady state and the yield of the desired product can change within seconds, relatively fast time averaging is necessary for good results. Therefore, the apparatus was equipped with a self-configured and -programmed ELTEC© computer based on a MOTOROLA© 68K processor. The original idea was to step the frequency in small increments via a fast switching frequency standard and to have the microwave source, a MARCONI© sweeper, following by means of a phase locking circuit. But because the microwave power incident at the detector diode is not constant vs. frequency, the switching within a few μs produces sharp rises or drops of the voltage across the diode. The harmonics thereof reach easily into the range of the modulation frequency and produce excessive noise in the signal channel. Therefore, a method was chosen which allowed analog sweeping of the microwave source and still kept all the advantages of digital signal processing. A block diagram with the basic elements (no attenuators etc.) of




Fig. 1. Simplified block diagram of the spectrograph.

the setup is shown on Fig. 1: a pulse generator triggered a 'leading' scope at the front end of the spectrograph and a monitoring scope (to observe the tuning of the MW-power) at the far end of the cell, the repetition rate was adjustable to match the acquisition time the computer needed for one sweep. The time base (sawtooth) of the leading scope was fed into a special low frequency amplifier to adjust amplitude, offset, and polarity (for sweep reversal). The MW-sweeper with backward wave oscillator plug-ins could be operated in single frequency mode. In this mode, the frequency determining high voltage was especially stabilized, yielding high stability of the output frequency with only small and slow drifts. An FM-input (bandwidth DC–5 MHz) allowed a modulating voltage to be superimposed and was used to sweep the MW-frequency with the adjusted sawtooth for survey spectra or to stabilize the source by the output voltage of a phase locking device for precise frequency measurements.

- For survey spectra a range of 55–60 MHz was chosen. Some dB of the MW-power were coupled to a mixing diode and mixed with the harmonics of the frequency standard. The resulting intermediate frequencies were detected by means of two identical commercial, quartz controlled communications receivers, 0.09–34 MHz. One of them was used to trigger the computer. Therefore, it was set to, e.g. 26 MHz and gave a signal when the swept MW-frequency was 26 MHz below or above

the corresponding harmonic of the frequency standard. The output had to pass a gate circuit, which was opened by the pulse generator when starting a sweep. The time the gate was open could be adjusted and was chosen to about 1/3 of a sweep duration. Thus, false triggering of the computer by the upper frequency marker was avoided in cases when the lower marker was not caught. The other receiver was tuned to, e.g. 25 MHz and produced two frequency markers with 50 MHz separation for evaluating the frequencies of the absorption lines. Triggering the computer with a real time frequency marker had the effect that sampling always started at the same frequency and that drifts of the un-stabilized sweeper did not blur the accumulated sweeps. The achieved frequency accuracy of 300–500 kHz was sufficient for assignments. Using the frequency markers these spectra could be concatenated with arbitrary frequency scaling for viewing larger spectral ranges.

- For precise frequency measurements we made use of the fact that the width of the band-pass filters in signal- and reference-channel of our phase locking device (FDS 30 by Schomandl©) was about 4 MHz. Instead of offering a fixed frequency of 10 MHz for reference (internally tripled to 30) we drove a voltage-controlled oscillator with the sawtooth voltage from the low frequency amplifier, thus generating a reference frequency which started from 31.5 MHz, decreased linearly to 27.5 MHz, and jumped back. When locked, the MW-frequency had to follow; and when the lower side IF was used, this




Fig. 2. Example of a survey spectrum showing the I-quadrupole splitting of the transition $J = 10_{1,10} \leftarrow 9_{1,9}$. (Lines # 6–9 not assigned).

resulted in a sweep from lower to higher frequencies. One receiver was set to 31 MHz to trigger the computer and the other was tuned to, e.g. 29.0 and 28.5 MHz to produce frequency markers. This arrangement worked reliably with repetition rates of 9 Hz and sweep durations of 110 ms. Although sweep reversal was provided, it was not necessary to use it except for occasional checking: the parameters could always be adjusted in a way that the full half-width of a line, be it broad or narrow, extended over 1/3 of the sweep width and ≈ 35 ms were needed to sweep over this range. Because the time constant of the lock-in amplifier was set to 1 ms, no time delay could be observed. The frequency standard was monitored against the standard signals of DCF77-station, Mainflingen, Germany, the relative deviations kept $< 10^{-8}$.

As stated, when triggered by the frequency marker of the first receiver, the computer started displaying the output of the lock-in amplifier with the repetition rate of 9 Hz and, when all was correct, the sampling was started. The first value of the A/D-converter was added to the first memory location, then the content was read out and checked if it would not exceed the range of the display. When necessary, the scale factor was changed and the value was displayed as the first point of the spectrum on a screen with medium persistence. This procedure was repeated for all 1000 points of the sweep and then the computer waited for the next trigger marker. Sampling could be interrupted as soon as the S/N-ratio was sufficient, or more sweeps could be added to the number initially set. Since, the frequency markers were almost noiseless, it was sufficient to fix the number of sweeps to 10. The accumulated data of the lock-in output were never changed and, as original data, stored as integers with 32 bits. After sampling a menu asked for the setting of the frequency standard, for the harmonic, for the frequencies of the markers, the substance, for the experimental details,

and for a name of the spectrum. Then all these data were transferred to a PC where each project had its own exchangeable hard disk. A special program calculated the frequencies of all 1000 points and displayed the spectrum. The peaks could be marked with a cursor, and these frequencies were collected in a list. After passing these extended data to the program ORIGIN© by Microcal Software Inc, the spectrum could be plotted; for frequency determination a fit to Lorentzian line shape was performed. Finally, the collected frequencies were sent to the central computer together with quantum numbers for fitting the spectrum. Fig. 2 and 3 show examples of a survey spectrum and of a frequency determination.

3. Results

3.1. Rotational spectra and spectroscopic constants

The spectrum of the parent molecule was measured from 10 to 40 GHz, the cell temperature kept at -5 °C. Three hundred and fifteen hyperfine transitions belonging to 89 transitions in J_r , a- and b-type, could be assigned. As mentioned above, this assignment was not difficult: due to the large quadrupole splitting of the I-nucleus the typical a-type pattern of a very near prolate asymmetric top shows up only from higher J -values upward; but then it is very distinct as can be seen from Fig. 4 where 30 survey spectra have been concatenated to show the transition $J = 10 \leftarrow 9$. With these first rough constants, the b-type transitions could be identified. More details are given in Ref. [9]. Because each rotational line represents an unresolved multiplet of the up to 27 hyperfine transitions of the three N-nuclei, the line widths were about 800 kHz even at very low pressures. Nevertheless, the assigned transitions could be fitted with a standard deviation of 62 kHz. The program 'Q2fit' by




Fig. 3. Example of a frequency determination (the almost coinciding transitions $6_{3,4} 17/2 \leftarrow 5_{3,3} 15/2$ and $6_{4,2} 9/2 \leftarrow 5_{4,1} 7/2$ of $I^{14}N^{15}N^{14}N$).

Zbigniew Kisie¹ was used which performs complete diagonalization of rotational and quadrupole energies. The transitions were weighted according to their accuracy; the calculations were done with Watson's [10] A-reduction in I' -representation. Besides the rotational and the five quartic distortion constants, χ_{aa} , $\chi_{bb} - \chi_{cc}$, and χ_{ab} could be determined. The frequencies are given in Table 1, the spectroscopic constants in Table 3. No transitions of low F -values could be found which were isolated enough to measure their Stark-shifts. Therefore, the components of the dipole moment could not be determined. Because the yield of IN_3 and with it the intensity of the transitions was fluctuating, even their ratio could not be estimated from the relative intensities of a- and b-type transitions. Also, due to the splitting of each transition into six lines the spectrum is very rich, and we did not succeed in assigning vibrational satellites.

Because of the small sample of the ^{15}N -substituted species these measurements had to be restricted to the range 20–30 GHz and not as many sweeps could be taken for the frequency measurements as would have been desirable. Therefore, the accuracy of the constants is not comparable to that of the parent molecule. 59 hyperfine transitions, belonging to 19 transitions in J_{τ} , could be assigned, but only four of b-type among them. They are listed in Table 2. The best results with a standard deviation of 126 kHz were obtained by fitting only the rotational constants, just Δ_J and Δ_{JK} for the distortion constants, and two of the three quadrupole quantities: χ_{cc} should not be affected by the rotation of the a - b -plane around the c -axis, and the small change of χ_{bb} by the substitution could be estimated with sufficient accuracy. Therefore, $\chi_{bb} - \chi_{cc}$ was held constant, and the remaining three distortion constants were also fixed to the values of the parent molecule. The slightly reduced accuracy of the rotational constants is not detrimental to

the structure calculations. All constants are included in Table 3. Due to the constraint for the trace of the coupling tensor the values for χ_{bb} and χ_{cc} have been slightly changed by the fitting process.

The substitution of the central N-atom had been complete: no lines of the parent molecule could be detected.

3.2. Nuclear quadrupole coupling

As mentioned, only the coupling of the ^{127}I -nucleus is considered, the splitting due to the ^{14}N -nuclei remaining unresolved. Because χ_{ab} results directly from the spectrum along with χ_{aa} and χ_{bb} , the planar problem can easily be diagonalized to yield the principal values of the quadrupole coupling tensor. They are given in Table 4 together with the angle φ between a -inertial axis and the z -principal quadrupole axis. This angle, a byproduct from the diagonalization, is an essential quantity for the structure




Fig. 4. Wide range spectrum of the transition $J = 10 \leftarrow 9$ (30 survey spectra concatenated).

¹ Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Al. Lotnikow 32/46, Warsaw, Poland.

Table 1
Rotational transitions of $I^{14}N_3$ (frequencies in MHz)

J'	K_a'	K_c'	J''	K_a''	K_c''	F'	F''	Frequency	$o-c$
3	1	3	2	1	2	7/2	5/2	10744.606	-0.107
						9/2	7/2	10797.318	0.174
						7/2	7/2	10805.166	-0.018
3	0	3	2	0	2	11/2	9/2	10881.389	0.007
						3/2	1/2	10834.824	-0.060
						7/2	5/2	10948.090	-0.137
						11/2	9/2	11035.041	0.071
3	1	2	2	1	1	9/2	7/2	11051.404	-0.004
						7/2	5/2	11114.356	-0.052
						9/2	7/2	11137.270	-0.082
4	1	4	3	1	3	11/2	9/2	11228.576	-0.051
						7/2	5/2	14395.629	-0.043
						9/2	7/2	14427.230	0.029
4	0	4	3	0	3	11/2	9/2	14473.617	0.053
						13/2	11/2	14499.276	0.048
						7/2	5/2	14608.735	-0.134
4	2	2	3	2	1	9/2	7/2	14653.825	-0.110
						9/2	9/2	14660.925	0.010
						13/2	11/2	14694.976	0.039
4	2	3	3	2	2	9/2	9/2	14598.691	-0.102
						9/2	7/2	14607.473	0.022
						11/2	9/2	14617.819	-0.002
4	2	3	3	2	2	7/2	5/2	14657.356	-0.043
						13/2	11/2	14758.561	0.055
						9/2	9/2	14595.205	0.059
4	3	1,2	3	3	0,1	9/2	7/2	14603.994	0.118
						11/2	9/2	14614.365	-0.030
						7/2	5/2	14653.825	-0.095
4	1	3	3	1	2	13/2	11/2	14755.630	0.019
						11/2	9/2	14510.656	0.026/0.030
						9/2	7/2	14521.354	0.109/0.115
4	1	3	3	1	2	7/2	5/2	14701.463	0.056/0.052
						11/2	11/2	14715.340	0.112
						7/2	5/2	14864.659	0.032
5	1	5	4	1	4	9/2	7/2	14875.237	0.015
						9/2	9/2	14900.773	0.066
						13/2	11/2	14932.696	-0.027
5	0	5	4	0	4	9/2	7/2	18035.426	0.030
						11/2	9/2	18058.165	-0.044
						13/2	11/2	18086.921	0.043
5	2	3	4	2	2	15/2	13/2	18095.954	-0.007
						11/2	9/2	18357.708	0.077
						13/2	11/2	18363.398	0.218 ^a
5	2	4	4	2	3	13/2	11/2	18363.398	0.029
						11/2	9/2	18317.586	0.043
						13/2	11/2	18336.769	-0.011
5	2	4	4	2	3	15/2	13/2	18402.416	0.027
						11/2	9/2	18310.586	-0.096
						9/2	7/2	18320.977	-0.120
5	3	2,3	4	3	1,2	13/2	11/2	18330.209	0.074
						7/2	5/2	18355.667	0.009
						15/2	13/2	18396.388	0.001
5	1	4	4	1	3	11/2	9/2	18266.643	0.053/0.067
						13/2	11/2	18284.163	0.056/0.070
						15/2	13/2	18438.863	-0.062/-0.073
6	1	6	5	1	5	7/2	9/2	18606.417	-0.063
						11/2	9/2	18616.230	-0.042
						13/2	11/2	18634.310	0.007
6	1	6	5	1	5	15/2	13/2	18649.475	0.058
						9/2	7/2	21660.085	-0.027
						11/2	9/2	21662.623	-0.078

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

J'	K_a'	K_c'	J''	K_a''	K_c''	F'	F''	Frequency	$o-c$
						13/2	11/2	21679.796	0.057
						7/2	5/2	21679.831	-0.040
						15/2	13/2	21699.046	0.002
						17/2	15/2	21702.328	-0.021
6	0	6	5	0	5	9/2	7/2	21980.661	0.021
						11/2	9/2	21986.197	-0.042
						7/2	5/2	21994.470	-0.008
						13/2	11/2	22002.820	0.006
						17/2	15/2	22020.565	0.027
						15/2	13/2	22022.834	-0.055
6	2	4	5	2	3	13/2	11/2	22010.974	-0.072
						15/2	13/2	22027.484	-0.062
						9/2	7/2	22027.484	0.250 ^a
						7/2	5/2	22060.091	-0.052
						17/2	15/2	22062.169	-0.027
6	2	5	5	2	4	13/2	11/2	21999.288	-0.021
						15/2	13/2	22016.121	0.029
						9/2	7/2	22016.121	0.134 ^a
						17/2	15/2	22051.501	0.009
6	3	3,4	5	3	2,3	13/2	11/2	21976.146	0.017/0.052
						15/2	13/2	21995.590	-0.003/0.032
						11/2	9/2	21997.640	0.030/0.065
						9/2	7/2	22044.854	0.009/0.041
						17/2	15/2	22081.052	-0.052/-0.022
6	4	2,3	5	4	1,2	15/2	13/2	21963.378	-0.091
						11/2	9/2	21989.930	-0.008
						17/2	15/2	22117.140	-0.019
6	5	1,2	5	5	0,1	13/2	11/2	21888.697	0.056
						15/2	13/2	21925.469	-0.075
6	1	5	5	1	4	11/2	9/2	22343.503	-0.049
						9/2	7/2	22346.438	-0.033
						13/2	11/2	22348.710	0.078
						7/2	5/2	22354.123	-0.050
						15/2	13/2	22361.395	-0.058
						17/2	15/2	22372.798	-0.029
7	1	7	6	1	6	11/2	9/2	25280.638	0.018
						13/2	11/2	25283.584	0.022
						9/2	7/2	25294.464	0.114
						15/2	13/2	25296.668	0.041
						17/2	15/2	25310.382	-0.014
						19/2	17/2	25311.399	0.025
7	0	7	6	0	6	11/2	9/2	25651.709	0.010
						9/2	7/2	25658.898	-0.020
						13/2	11/2	25660.558	0.001
						15/2	13/2	25672.644	0.019
7	3	4,5	6	3	3,4	15/2	13/2	25668.425	-0.030/0.048
						13/2	11/2	25676.553	-0.091/-0.014
						17/2	15/2	25684.997	-0.085/-0.009
						11/2	9/2	25703.615	-0.145/-0.072
						19/2	17/2	25736.434	-0.142/-0.074
7	4	3,4	6	4	2,3	15/2	13/2	25645.380	-0.005
						17/2	15/2	25667.727	0.004
						13/2	11/2	25669.692	-0.015
						11/2	9/2	25722.630	-0.103/-0.096
						19/2	17/2	25761.773	-0.015
7	5	2,3	6	5	1,2	15/2	13/2	25616.543	-0.002
						17/2	15/2	25647.436	-0.011
						13/2	11/2	25661.535	0.060
7	6	1,2	6	6	0,1	15/2	13/2	25581.336	-0.029
7	1	6	6	1	5	9/2	7/2	26077.898	-0.084
						15/2	13/2	26080.522	0.028
						17/2	15/2	26090.590	-0.021

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

J'	K_a'	K_c'	J''	K_a''	K_c''	F'	F''	Frequency	$o-c$
						13/2	11/2	26103.118	-0.024
						19/2	17/2	26120.640	0.014
						11/2	9/2	26120.640	0.162 ^a
8	1	8	7	1	7	13/2	11/2	28897.876	-0.058
						15/2	13/2	28900.668	-0.087
						11/2	9/2	28908.052	0.040
						17/2	15/2	28911.078	0.038
						21/2	19/2	28921.391	0.080
						19/2	17/2	28921.391	0.064
8	0	8	7	0	7	13/2	11/2	29288.265	-0.019
						19/2	17/2	29312.285	-0.051
						15/2	13/2	29322.085	-0.080
						17/2	15/2	29330.433	-0.054
						21/2	19/2	29342.620	0.053
8	1	7	7	1	6	13/2	11/2	29693.680	-0.009
						21/2	19/2	29721.076	-0.031
						15/2	13/2	29723.313	-0.038
						17/2	15/2	29790.561	-0.016
						19/2	17/2	29794.161	0.028
						11/2	9/2	29801.557	-0.137
8	2	6	7	2	5	17/2	15/2	29381.258	0.070
						13/2	11/2	29381.258	-0.083
8	3	5,6	7	3	4,5	15/2	13/2	39354.829	-0.089/0.063
						19/2	17/2	29365.656	-0.117/0.032
8	4	4,5	7	4	3,4	19/2	17/2	29356.190	-0.021
						13/2	11/2	29383.090	-0.109
8	5	3,4	7	5	2,3	21/2	19/2	29442.683	0.009
9	1	9	8	1	8	15/2	13/2	32512.972	-0.013
						17/2	15/2	32515.539	-0.001
						13/2	11/2	32520.676	-0.042
						19/2	17/2	32523.844	0.009
						23/2	21/2	32531.473	0.080
						21/2	19/2	32531.874	0.048
9	0	9	8	0	8	17/2	15/2	32998.613	-0.057
						23/2	21/2	33000.385	-0.002
						19/2	17/2	33009.256	-0.036
						15/2	13/2	33056.793	-0.086
						21/2	19/2	33090.699	-0.012
						13/2	11/2	33091.270	-0.054
9	1	8	8	1	7	13/2	11/2	33524.780	0.019
						19/2	17/2	33527.377	0.033
						21/2	19/2	33534.035	-0.001
						17/2	15/2	33536.562	-0.067
						23/2	21/2	33557.225	-0.013
10	1	10	9	1	9	17/2	15/2	36126.223	-0.013
						19/2	17/2	36128.505	0.014
						15/2	13/2	36132.439	0.026
						21/2	19/2	36135.388	0.048
						25/2	23/2	36141.247	0.051
						23/2	21/2	36141.783	0.001
10	0	10	9	0	9	17/2	15/2	36628.862	0.030
						15/2	13/2	36630.110	-0.030
						23/2	21/2	36630.676	-0.025
						19/2	17/2	36642.934	-0.013
						21/2	19/2	36647.591	0.046
						25/2	23/2	36655.497	0.046
10	1	9	9	1	8	19/2	17/2	37246.767	0.175 ^a
						17/2	15/2	37246.767	-0.003 ^a
						15/2	13/2	37246.767	-0.109 ^a
						21/2	19/2	37247.879	-0.038
						23/2	21/2	37252.824	0.037
						25/2	23/2	37258.240	-0.015
11	1	11	10	1	10	19/2	17/2	39737.815	-0.030

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

J'	K_a'	K_c'	J''	K_a''	K_c''	F'	F''	Frequency	$o-c$
						21/2	19/2	39739.805	0.006
						17/2	15/2	39743.099	0.093
						23/2	21/2	39745.634	0.011
						27/2	25/2	39750.498	0.045
						25/2	23/2	39751.074	0.010
11	0	11	10	0	10	19/2	17/2	40293.380	-0.007
2	1	1	2	0	2	3/2	1/2	28785.962	-0.116
3	1	2	3	0	3	3/2	1/2	29016.202	-0.052
						9/2	9/2	29374.651	-0.003
4	1	3	4	0	4	7/2	7/2	29551.941	-0.035
						11/2	11/2	29570.640	0.029
						9/2	9/2	29591.536	-0.065
5	1	4	5	0	5	5/2	5/2	29686.730	0.010
						15/2	15/2	29735.045	0.023
						9/2	9/2	29818.028	-0.075
						13/2	13/2	29841.541	-0.004
6	1	5	6	0	6	7/2	7/2	30046.310	-0.129
						17/2	17/2	30087.360	0.050
						9/2	9/2	30130.604	0.066
						15/2	15/2	30180.239	0.129
						13/2	13/2	30190.550	0.038
7	1	6	7	0	7	9/2	9/2	30465.511	0.008
						19/2	19/2	30525.525	0.024
						17/2	17/2	30591.126	0.083
						15/2	15/2	30598.557	0.176
						11/2	11/2	30599.370	0.083
						13/2	13/2	30618.088	0.087
8	1	7	8	0	8	21/2	21/2	30904.142	0.100
						11/2	11/2	30989.737	0.082
						15/2	15/2	31019.217	0.031
						17/2	17/2	31058.502	0.033
9	1	8	9	0	9	13/2	13/2	31423.104	0.012
						23/2	23/2	31460.936	0.044
						15/2	15/2	31484.422	-0.051
						21/2	21/2	31516.287	0.123
						17/2	17/2	31554.762	0.100
						19/2	19/2	31576.586	0.063
10	1	9	10	0	10	15/2	15/2	32039.836	0.007
						25/2	25/2	32063.766	0.069
						17/2	17/2	32102.396	-0.015
						23/2	23/2	32138.230	-0.019
						19/2	19/2	32158.315	0.009
						21/2	21/2	32176.868	-0.026
11	1	10	11	0	11	17/2	17/2	32710.761	-0.002
						27/2	27/2	32731.796	0.027
						19/2	19/2	32773.950	0.099
						25/2	25/2	32808.932	0.049
						23/2	23/2	32842.276	0.087
12	1	11	12	0	12	19/2	19/2	33456.826	0.052
						27/2	27/2	33547.678	0.033
						23/2	23/2	33582.086	0.086
						25/2	25/2	33593.038	0.014
13	1	12	13	0	13	21/2	21/2	34265.983	0.072
						31/2	31/2	34280.931	-0.034
						23/2	23/2	34334.801	-0.032
						29/2	29/2	34359.349	0.051
						27/2	27/2	34395.550	0.012
14	1	13	14	0	14	23/2	23/2	35153.897	0.008
						33/2	33/2	35168.391	-0.065
						25/2	25/2	35223.752	0.043
						31/2	31/2	35247.210	-0.007
						27/2	27/2	35271.881	0.024

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

J'	K_a'	K_c'	J''	K_a''	K_c''	F'	F''	Frequency	$o-c$
15	1	14	15	0	15	29/2	29/2	35282.922	0.027
						25/2	25/2	36121.197	0.001
						27/2	27/2	36192.370	0.076
						33/2	33/2	36214.521	-0.018
						29/2	29/2	36240.191	0.073
16	1	15	16	0	16	31/2	31/2	36250.450	0.012
						27/2	27/2	37170.792	-0.053
						37/2	37/2	37184.640	-0.038
						29/2	29/2	38305.797	0.035
						39/2	39/2	38319.711	-0.020
17	1	16	17	0	17	31/2	31/2	38379.910	0.056
						37/2	37/2	38399.813	-0.012
						33/2	33/2	38428.017	0.057
						35/2	35/2	38437.054	-0.001
						31/2	31/2	39529.332	0.106
18	1	17	18	0	18	41/2	41/2	39543.708	0.017
						33/2	33/2	39605.310	0.053
						39/2	39/2	39623.903	0.002
						35/2	35/2	39654.122	0.083
						37/2	27/2	39662.416	0.003
15	0	15	14	1	14	25/2	23/2	31283.887	0.044
						35/2	33/2	31292.693	0.122
						33/2	31/2	31348.907	-0.101
						31/2	29/2	31360.913	0.117
16	0	16	15	1	15	29/2	27/2	35654.230	0.085
						31/2	29/2	35680.099	-0.151
11	2	10	12	1	11	25/2	27/2	38451.533	-0.003
14	1	13	13	2	12	19/2	21/2	38471.176	0.098
						25/2	27/2	29644.438	-0.015
14	2	12	15	1	15	31/2	33/2	29706.264	0.017
						21/2	23/2	29714.045	-0.014
						23/2	25/2	39039.674	-0.054
						33/2	35/2	39052.717	-0.052
						31/2	33/2	39145.919	-0.122
15	2	13	16	1	16	27/2	29/2	39172.959	-0.027
						29/2	31/2	39182.039	-0.086
						27/2	29/2	36551.088	0.015
						33/2	35/2	36571.031	0.044
						29/2	31/2	36599.185	-0.131
17	2	15	18	1	18	31/2	33/2	31699.768	-0.075
						37/2	39/2	31717.613	-0.098
						33/2	35/2	31747.826	-0.124
						35/2	37/2	31755.055	-0.124
18	2	16	19	1	19	33/2	35/2	29433.019	0.066
						35/2	37/2	29480.402	-0.135
						37/2	39/2	29486.681	-0.059
						61/2	63/2	31566.466	0.010
28	3	26	29	2	27	63/2	65/2	38104.262	0.081
29	3	26	30	2	29	53/2	55/2	38118.468	-0.063
						55/2	57/2	38121.718	-0.049
						61/2	63/2	38136.847	-0.036
						57/2	59/2	38145.284	0.099
						59/2	61/2	38153.726	0.079
						63/2	65/2	34939.296	0.037
						55/2	57/2	34964.426	0.040
30	3	27	31	2	30	57/2	59/2	35057.788	0.137
						67/2	69/2	31865.860	0.090
						57/2	59/2	31888.219	-0.065
31	3	28	32	2	31	65/2	67/2	31898.883	-0.032
						63/2	65/2	31903.813	-0.112
						59/2	61/2	31906.237	-0.032
						61/2	63/2	31911.152	-0.112

Three hundred and fifteen transitions in F (89 in J_7) with $F_{\max} = 67/2$ used in the fit; rms = 0.062 MHz.

^a Partially masked; not used in the fit.

Table 2
Rotational transitions of I¹⁴N¹⁵N¹⁴N (frequencies in MHz)

J'	K_a'	K_c'	J''	K_a''	K_c''	F'	F''	Frequency	o-c
6	2	5	5	2	4	9/2	7/2	21678.095	0.170
						15/2	13/2	21678.095	0.084
						7/2	5/2	21711.824	0.224
						17/2	15/2	21713.535	-0.008
						7/2	7/2	21845.916	0.074
6	0	6	5	0	5	17/2	15/2	21683.221	0.088
						15/2	13/2	21685.735	-0.029
6	2	4	5	2	3	9/2	7/2	21688.488	-0.015
						15/2	13/2	21689.038	0.245
						17/2	15/2	21723.663	0.062
6	3	4	5	3	3	9/2	7/2	21706.644	0.061
						17/2	15/2	21742.972	-0.009
6	4	2	5	4	1	9/2	7/2	21742.972	0.119
						7/2	5/2	21842.430	-0.272
6	3	3	5	3	2	7/2	5/2	21767.341	-0.198
6	1	5	5	1	4	15/2	15/2	21779.411	-0.548
15	2	14	16	1	15	31/2	33/2	21788.928	0.066
13	0	13	12	1	12	31/2	29/2	21860.884	0.199
7	1	7	6	1	6	11/2	9/2	24898.129	-0.025
						13/2	11/2	24901.031	-0.069
						15/2	13/2	24914.184	-0.001
						17/2	15/2	24927.983	0.011
						19/2	17/2	24928.999	0.046
7	1	6	6	1	5	15/2	13/2	25673.242	0.215
						17/2	15/2	25682.884	-0.281
						13/2	11/2	25685.105	-0.112
						11/2	9/2	25695.831	-0.202
						19/2	17/2	25705.582	0.065
7	5	2	6	5	1	15/2	13/2	25221.691	-0.076
						17/2	15/2	25252.559	-0.041
						11/2	9/2	25354.012	0.057
						19/2	17/2	25400.136	-0.178
7	4	3	6	4	2	15/2	13/2	25250.665	0.048
						11/2	11/2	25302.764	-0.344
						11/2	9/2	25328.011	-0.161
						19/2	17/2	25367.349	-0.016
						9/2	7/2	25395.550	-0.130
7	0	7	6	0	6	11/2	9/2	25258.821	-0.241
						19/2	17/2	25289.205	0.049
						9/2	7/2	25266.554	-0.046
						13/2	11/2	25267.158	-0.105
						15/2	13/2	25273.760	0.069
7	3	5	6	3	4	13/2	11/2	25282.001	0.094
						17/2	15/2	25290.464	0.118
						11/2	9/2	25309.294	0.198
						19/2	17/2	25342.014	-0.018
						9/2	7/2	25350.440	0.059
7	2	6	6	2	5	15/2	13/2	25284.608	0.050
						9/2	7/2	25313.385	0.262
						19/2	17/2	25318.724	0.131
						11/2	11/2	25427.764	-0.097
						13/2	11/2	25298.378	-0.099
7	2	5	6	2	4	15/2	13/2	25302.059	0.079
						17/2	15/2	25315.070	0.159
						9/2	7/2	25329.391	0.093
						19/2	17/2	25334.704	-0.159
						13/2	13/2	25363.052	-0.427
7	4	3	6	4	2	9/2	7/2	25395.550	-0.130
7	6	1	6	6	0	19/2	17/2	25440.091	-0.148
20	2	18	21	1	21	37/2	37/2	25624.294	-0.003

Fifty nine Transitions in F (19 in J_r) with $F_{\max} = 37/2$ used in the fit; rms = 126 kHz.

Table 3
Spectroscopic constants of iodine azide isotopomers

	$I^{14}N_3$	$I^{14}N^{15}N^{14}N$
<i>Rotational constants (MHz)</i>		
A	30775.669 (10)	30762.32 (24)
B	1891.0338 (5)	1861.1795 (19)
C	1779.6352 (4)	1753.1099 (45)
<i>Centrifugal distortion constants (kHz)</i>		
Δ_J	0.6017 (15)	0.375 (35)
Δ_{JK}	−45.028 (35)	−44.246 (94)
Δ_K	1800.0 (25)	1800.0 ^a
δ_j	0.07993 (20)	0.07993 ^a
δ_k	2.76 (10)	2.76 ^a
κ	−0.9923	−0.9925
<i>Quadrupole coupling constants (MHz)</i>		
χ_{aa}	−1912.39 (17)	−1917.31 (39)
$\chi_{bb} - \chi_{cc}$	−838.16 (24)	−832.28 ^a
χ_{ab}	−1656.93 (20)	−1646.4 (20)
χ_{bb}	537.11 (27)	542.6 (13)
χ_{cc}	1375.28 (15)	1374.8

^a Values fixed to parent molecule.

calculations. The principal values should be the same within reasonable error limits for both isotopomers. This is not quite the case due to the lack of sufficient b-type transitions of the ^{15}N -species. However, the relative differences are rather small, 0.4–0.04%, confirming the correctness of the assignments. Of course, for comparisons and discussions the precise values of the parent molecule are used. The asymmetry parameter is remarkably close to zero, indicating a very symmetric charge distribution around the I–N-bond.

3.3. Structure

From Watson's [10] determinable constants the moments of inertia were calculated as given in Table 5. The inertial defect of $0.3019 \text{ amu } \text{\AA}^2$ proves the planar arrangement of the four atoms and is typical for such a type of molecules with C_s -symmetry and consisting of only a few 'heavy' atoms: INCO 0.4441 [8], BrNCO 0.401 [11], NC–N₃ 0.347 [12], FN₃ 0.229 [14], and ClN₃ [15]. To describe this planar geometry five parameters are

Table 4
Principal values of the quadrupole coupling tensor of iodine azide isotopomers

	$I^{14}N_3$	$I^{14}N^{15}N^{14}N$
χ_{xx}	1372.80 (9)	1367.6 (5)
χ_{yy}	1375.28 (15)	1374.8 (5)
χ_{zz}	−2748.08 (35)	−2742.4 (20)
φ^a	26.765 (2)	26.619 (8)
η	0.0009 (2)	0.0026 (11)

^a \angle between quadrupole z-axis and inertia a-axis.

Table 5
Moments of inertia of iodine azide from Watson's determinable constants

	$I^{14}N_3$	$I^{14}N^{15}N^{14}N$
I_a	16.4214	16.4285
I_b	267.2556	271.5425
I_c	283.9788	288.2756
Δ	0.3019	0.3046

Ref. [10].

needed: three bond lengths and two bond angles. But the measurements yielded only four independent moments of inertia. However, because the quadrupole coupling tensor is so symmetric we could reasonably assume that its principal axis 'z' coincides with the direction of the I–N-bond, and therefore the angle φ is another independent quantity for the structure determination. From the six moments of inertia I_b and I_c from each species were used because these are less sensitive to vibrational effects than the small values of I_a . Two kinds of calculation have been carried out:

- The five equations expressing the four moments of inertia and the angle φ of the ^{14}N -species in terms of the parameters have been solved for these parameters.
- In addition the angle φ of the ^{15}N -species has been used to give a system of six equations. Thus, a least squares calculation for the five parameters was possible.

Both methods gave exactly the same results for the structural parameters. They are listed in Table 6 under 'Method I'. But, as pointed out in Section 4, the resulting structure is rather unusual for an azido group, and the assumption of the coincidence of χ_{zz} with the I–N-direction is obviously not quite correct. Therefore, another calculation was carried out: instead of making use of the angle φ , the distance $N_b - N_c$ (numbering shown on Fig. 5) was fixed to the value as found in the well determined hydrazoic acid [13] and the four moments of inertia solved for the remaining four parameters. The results are also shown in Table 6 as 'Method II', the angle φ now different, and depicted in Fig. 5.

4. Discussion

For a structure comparison only three other covalent azides are available with reliable microwave structures without assumptions: HN₃ [13], FN₃ [14], and ClN₃ [15]. These are included in Table 6 along with the results of the electron diffraction work [6] and of the ab initio calculations [2]. As already pointed out in Refs. [14,16],

Table 6
Structure comparison of azides (distances in pm, angles in degrees)

Parameter	IN ₃ ^a Method I	IN ₃ ^a Method II	IN ₃ ^b El.-diff.	IN ₃ ^c ab initio	FN ₃ ^d	HN ₃ ^e	CIN ₃ ^f
$r(X-N_a)$	215.8	209.7	212.0	213.3	144.4	101.5	174.5
$r(-N_a-N_b)$	117.9	123.8	126.0	125.8	125.3	124.3	125.2
$r(N_b-N_c)$	111.5	113.4 ^g	114.7	116.7	113.2	113.4	113.3
$\angle(X-N_a-N_b)$	109.1	109.9	106.6	110.2	103.8	108.8	108.8
$\angle(N_a-N_b-N_c)$	172.2	172.3	169.6	171.4	170.9	171.3	171.9
φ^h	26.765	28.009					

^a This work.

^b Ref. [6].

^c Ref. [2].

^d Ref. [14].

^e Ref. [13].

^f Ref. [15].

^g Assumed.

^h Angle between quadrupole z-axis and inertia a-axis.

the geometry of the azido group is rather insensitive to the adhering atom or group. It is obvious that the azido group in iodine azide calculated with 'Method I' differs considerably from that in the other compounds: both N–N-bonds are notably shorter and therefore, the whole group by 9 pm. On the other hand, the I–N-bond is longer than reasonably expected. If we instead make use of the mentioned stability of the azido group and consider the short distance N_b-N_c as the most stable one, hold it fixed, and solve for the remaining parameters as done in 'Method II', we obtain a very reasonable structure. This geometry is remarkably close to that of CIN₃. It should be mentioned that the two angles appear almost invariant against all kinds of assumptions. Especially, the deviation from linearity of the N₃-group in favour of a trans-configuration has again been clearly established: no real solution for the parameters could be found if the azido-group was forced to a linear arrangement. No error limits for the parameters have been given in this rigid rotor calculation because these are determined by the unknown

vibrational effects. However, the distances should be accurate within ± 1.3 pm and the angles within $\pm 1.5^\circ$ estimated from the uncertainty of the assumption and a contribution from the non-rigidity. The difference in φ of 1.24° between diagonalization of the coupling tensor and from the structure calculation according to 'Method II' seems negligible at first glance; but because the influence on the structure is so serious, it could be real and a hint of a divergence of the directions of χ_{zz} and the I–N-connecting line.

It is also most interesting to compare the bond characteristics of the two isoelectronic molecules iodine azide and iodine isocyanate as they become manifest in the principal values of the quadrupole coupling tensor and their derived quantities. This is done in Table 7: ionic character and π -character were calculated according to the theory of Townes and Dailey [17] as worked up in Ref. [18], shielding included. The close analogy is evident; but the numbers show that iodine azide has even less double bond and ionic character than the isocyanate.




Fig. 5. Geometry of iodine azide: parameters in Table 6 under 'Method II'.

Table 7

Comparison of the I–N-bond characteristics in iodine azide and iodine isocyanate

	IN ₃ ^a	INCO ^b
χ_{xx}/MHz	1372.80	1499.5
χ_{yy}/MHz	1375.28	1486.58
χ_{zz}/MHz	–2748.08	–2986.1 ^c
η	0.0009	–0.004
i^d (%)	15	23
π^e (%)	0.1	0.4

^a This work.

^b Ref. [8].

^c Sign error in Ref. [8].

^d Ionic character.

^e π -Bond character.

Acknowledgements

We are very indebted to Prof. Dr T.M. Klapötke, Institut für Anorganische Chemie der LMU München, for suggesting this investigation, for providing materials, and for helpful discussions. We also thank Dr dr.hab. Z. Kisiel for his fitting program. The supports of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] A. Hantzsch, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 33 (1900) 522.
- [2] P. Butzek, T.M. Klapötke, P.v.R. Schleyer, I.C. Tornieporth-Oettingand, P.S. White, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 32 (1993) 275.
- [3] A. Schulz, I.C. Tornieporth-Oetting, T.M. Klapötke, Inorg. Chem. 34 (1995) 4343.
- [4] P. Geissler, T.M. Klapötke, H.-J. Kroth, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 51 (1995) 1075.
- [5] M.-J. Crawford, T.M. Klapötke, Internet J. Vibr. Spectrosc., <http://www.ijvs.com/volume3/edition6/section2.html>
- [6] M. Hargittai, J. Molnar, T.M. Klapötke, I.C. Tornieporth-Oetting, M. Kolonits, I. Hargittai, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994) 10095.
- [7] H.-O. Munz, H.-K. Bodenseh, T.M. Klapötke, 14th Colloquium on High Resolution Molecular Spectroscopy, September 11–15, Dijon, France, 1995, #D16.
- [8] H.M. Jemson, W. Lewis-Bevan, N.P.C. Westwood, M.C.L. Gerry, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 119 (1986) 22.
- [9] H.-O. Munz, Dissertation Ulm, 1999.
- [10] J.K.G. Watson, in: J.R. Durig (Ed.), Vibrational Spectra and Structure: a Series of Advances, vol. 6, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1977, pp. 1–89.
- [11] H.M. Jemson, W. Lewis-Bevan, N.P.C. Westwood, M.C.L. Gerry, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 118 (1986) 481.
- [12] C.C. Costain, H.W. Kroto, Can. J. Phys. 50 (1972) 1453.
- [13] B.P. Winnewisser, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 82 (1980) 220.
- [14] D. Christen, H.G. Mack, G. Schatte, H. Willner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110 (1988) 70.
- [15] R.L. Cook, M.C.L. Gerry, J. Chem. Phys. 53 (1970) 2525.
- [16] N. Heineking, M.C.L. Gerry, Z. Naturforsch. 44a (1989) 669.
- [17] C.H. Townes, B.P. Dailey, J. Chem. Phys. 17 (1949) 782. B.P. Dailey, C.H. Townes, J. Chem. Phys. 23 (1955) 118.
- [18] W. Gordy, R.L. Cook, Microwave molecular spectra, in: A. Weissberger (Ed.), Technique of Organic Chemistry, second ed., vol. IX, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1970, pp. 551–616.