Spectroscopic properties of a freestanding MnPS₃ single layer

M. K. Kinyanjui,¹ J. Koester,¹ F. Boucher,² A. Wildes,³ and U. Kaiser¹

¹Central Facility of Electron Microscopy, Ulm University, Ulm, Germany
²Institut des Matériaux Jean Rouxel (IMN), Université de Nantes, CNRS, 2 rue de la Houssinière, BP 32229, 44322 Nantes Cedex 3, France
³Institut Laue-Langevin, CS 20156, 38804 Grenoble Cedex 9, France

(Received 8 December 2017; revised manuscript received 11 May 2018; published 10 July 2018)

Quasi-two-dimensional manganese thiophosphate, MnPS₃, is interesting due to its two-dimensional antiferromagnetic structure observed at low temperatures as well as possible technological applications. While the spectroscopic properties of bulk MnPS₃ structures have been extensively studied, the spectroscopic characteristics of freestanding MnPS₃ layers are yet to be explored. We present an experimental study on the spectroscopic properties of a freestanding MnPS₃ single layer obtained through exfoliation from bulk MnPS₃. We find that the position of the main peak in the electron-energy-loss spectrum (EELS) is shifted from approximately 19 eV in bulk MnPS₃ to 15 eV in single MnPS₃ layer. Theoretical calculations show that this peak corresponds to a volume plasmon in bulk MnPS₃ and a damped plasmon peak in single-layer MnPS₃. In addition, the dispersion of this peak was investigated using momentum-resolved electron-energy-loss spectroscopy. The peak dispersion for the single-layer MnPS₃ displays a square-root behavior characteristic of a two-dimensional plasmon. We show that EELS spectra provide both a means to identify single-layer MnPS₃ from bulk structures and also show the effects of low dimensionality on the electronic excitations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Transition-metal thiophosphates (MPX₃) are layered structures where a 3d transition metal (M) is bonded to phosphorous (P) and chalcogen atoms (X = S, Se) [1,2]. Bulk MPX₃ structures are usually Mott insulators which also show antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering at low temperatures [3–5]. The bulk structure of MPX₃ layers can be described using (1) an ionic model where high-spin M²⁺ cations are bonded to anionic [P₂X₄]⁻ clusters; (2) an MX₂ model similar to transition-metal dichalcogenides where a third of the cation (M) sites are substituted by a pair of phosphorous atoms, giving M₂/(P₂)₃/2S₂ [1]. Individual MPX₃ layers are bonded through weak van der Waals (vdW) interactions. The structure of bulk MnPS₃ is shown in Fig. 1, where a single MnPS₃ layer (Mn₂P₂S₆ slab) and the vdW gap between individual MnPS₃ layers are indicated [1].

Due to their layered nature, MPX₃ structures can be intercalated with metal ions and organic molecules [6]. The intercalation of the molecules and ions takes place within the vdW gap and is also associated with charge transfer from the intercalate to the host structure [6–8]. The weak vdW bonding interaction between individual MPX₃ layers makes it possible to isolate single MPX₃ layers using either chemical or mechanical exfoliation [9–16]. The obtained single MPX₃ layers are unique since they are insulators and are also characterized by AFM magnetic ordering not observed in graphene or transition-metal dichalcogenides single layers [13,14].

Understanding the electronic structure of such single layers is therefore of great interest both for fundamental solid-state research and potential applications [9]. It is especially important to understand how the spectroscopic properties of single freestanding layers differ from bulk structures [10,15]. This includes, among others, understanding the nature of various electronic excitations such as excitons and plasmons in freestanding single layers. Spectroscopic properties of bulk MPX₃ structures have been well studied [17–23]. On the other hand, the spectroscopic properties of freestanding MPX₃ layers have not been explored. In this paper we investigate a freestanding MnPS₃ single layer using momentum-resolved electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (MREELS). EELS has been extensively used to study the nature of electronic excitations in freestanding layers [24–29]. There are several reasons for including (1) high spatial resolution; (2) ability to study freestanding single layers. Most other spectroscopy methods on the other hand can only study single layers on a substrate; and (3) ability to investigate the momentum dependence of various excitations.

In EELS the measured single-scattering spectra are described by the energy-loss function (ELF), which is related to the dielectric function as

$$\epsilon(q,\omega) = \epsilon_0 \frac{1}{\epsilon(q,\omega)}$$

where \(\epsilon(q,\omega)\) is the complex dielectric function [30–35]. The scattering geometry of an EELS experiment is presented in Fig. 2. In the EELS experiment, incident electrons characterized by energy \(E_0\) and momentum \(k_0\) are inelastically scattered at a scattering angle \(\theta\), where the momentum transfer between the probe electron and the electrons in the solid is given by \(\hbar q\). The scattering vector \(q\) is given by \(k_0 - k'\), where \(k_0\) and \(k'\) are the wave vectors of incident and inelastically scattered electrons, respectively [31,32]. For low scattering angles the momentum transferred by the inelastically scattered electron is related to the scattering angle through

$$q^2 = k_0^2 \sin^2 \theta + k_E^2 \sin^2 \theta_E$$

where \(\theta\) and \(\theta_E\) are the scattering and characteristic angles, respectively. For an incident electron energy \(E_0\) and energy loss \(\Delta E\) the characteristic angle is

$$\theta_E = \arcsin \left( \frac{\Delta E}{q} \right)$$

Understanding the electronic structure of such single layers is therefore of great interest both for fundamental solid-state research and potential applications [9]. It is especially important to understand how the spectroscopic properties of single freestanding layers differ from bulk structures [10,15]. This includes, among others, understanding the nature of various electronic excitations such as excitons and plasmons in freestanding single layers. Spectroscopic properties of bulk MPX₃ structures have been well studied [17–23]. On the other hand, the spectroscopic properties of freestanding MPX₃ layers have not been explored. In this paper we investigate a freestanding MnPS₃ single layer using momentum-resolved electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (MREELS). EELS has been extensively used to study the nature of electronic excitations in freestanding layers [24–29]. There are several reasons for including (1) high spatial resolution; (2) ability to study freestanding single layers. Most other spectroscopy methods on the other hand can only study single layers on a substrate; and (3) ability to investigate the momentum dependence of various excitations.

In EELS the measured single-scattering spectra are described by the energy-loss function (ELF), which is related to the dielectric function as

$$\epsilon(q,\omega) = \epsilon_0 \frac{1}{\epsilon(q,\omega)}$$

where \(\epsilon(q,\omega)\) is the complex dielectric function [30–35]. The scattering geometry of an EELS experiment is presented in Fig. 2. In the EELS experiment, incident electrons characterized by energy \(E_0\) and momentum \(k_0\) are inelastically scattered at a scattering angle \(\theta\), where the momentum transfer between the probe electron and the electrons in the solid is given by \(\hbar q\). The scattering vector \(q\) is given by \(k_0 - k'\), where \(k_0\) and \(k'\) are the wave vectors of incident and inelastically scattered electrons, respectively [31,32]. For low scattering angles the momentum transferred by the inelastically scattered electron is related to the scattering angle through

$$q^2 = k_0^2 \sin^2 \theta + k_E^2 \sin^2 \theta_E$$

where \(\theta\) and \(\theta_E\) are the scattering and characteristic angles, respectively. For an incident electron energy \(E_0\) and energy loss \(\Delta E\) the characteristic angle is
given by $\theta_E = \Delta E/2E_0$. The scattering wave vector $q$ can be decomposed into momentum transfer parallel to the beam ($q||$) and perpendicular to the beam direction ($q\perp$). For small scattering angles, $q\perp = k_0 \sin \theta \approx k_0 \theta$ and $q|| = k_0 (\Delta E/2E_0)$. For the low-energy losses investigated in this paper ($\Delta E < 30$ eV), $q||$ is very small and momentum transfer is mostly perpendicular to the electron beam ($q\perp \approx k_0 \theta$) [26].

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The MnPS$_3$ layers investigated in this work were prepared from bulk MnPS$_3$ material using the mechanical exfoliation method. The thickness of the MnPS$_3$ layers obtained during the exfoliation process was estimated using the optical contrast of MnPS$_3$ layers on a SiO$_2$/Si substrate. This approach has already been successfully used to determine the number of layers in many two-dimensional layered materials including graphene, transition-metal dichalcogenides, as well transition-metal thiophosphates [36–39]. The method relies on the changes in the optical contrast of a SiO$_2$/Si substrate as a function of the nature and number of layers on it. The contrast is determined from the difference in grayscale values between a layer or a number of layers lying on the substrate and the substrate. This is done using a green channel image of the layers and the substrate to mimic contrast through illumination with green light. In this case contrast $C$ is determined as $C = I_{\text{lay}} - I_{\text{sub}}/I_{\text{sub}} + I_{\text{lay}}$, where $I_{\text{sub}}$ is the grayscale value at the SiO$_2$/Si substrate and $I_{\text{lay}}$ is the grayscale value on the MnPS$_3$ layers. The obtained experimental contrast is then compared to expected layer contrast obtained through calculations for varying layers thicknesses and substrate thickness. The expected contrast for a single MnPS$_3$ layer on a SiO$_2$/Si substrate was calculated using the Fresnel-law-based model described in literature [36,38]. The parameters used during the calculation of contrast for MnPS$_3$ layers are thickness of the SiO$_2$, expected thickness of a single MnPS$_3$ layer, and the complex dielectric functions of MnPS$_3$, SiO$_2$, and Si. Using a SiO$_2$ layer thickness of 90 nm, MnPS$_3$ single-layer thickness of 0.67 nm, and refractive index of 2.41 for MnPS$_3$ [13], we calculated an expected contrast value of 7% for a single MnPS$_3$ layer. Figure 3(a) shows an image of exfoliated MnPS$_3$ layers on a SiO$_2$/Si as observed in the optical microscope.

FIG. 3. MnPS$_3$ layers on SiO$_2$/Si substrate. (a) Under an optical microscope. (b) Green-channel image. (c) Comparison between grayscale values across MnPS$_3$ layers and SiO$_2$/Si substrate (open sphere) and calculated contrast (solid line) profiles along the MnPS$_3$ flakes and SiO$_2$/Si substrate obtained from positions 1 to 2.
as a function of position across the MnPS$_3$ layers and the SiO$_2$/Si substrate. The regions marked by dotted rectangle in Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) correspond to a contrast of 7%. This corresponds to the expected calculated contrast for a single MnPS$_3$ layer on SiO$_2$/Si substrate. The exfoliated MnPS$_3$ layers were then transferred onto perforated carbon sample grids for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) investigations. TEM investigations were done on freestanding layers lying on top of a hole in the supporting TEM sample grids. EELS spectra were acquired using a Gatan-Tridiem spectrometer attached to a Titan 80–300-kV TEM operating at 80 kV. The energy and momentum resolutions were determined to be 0.60 eV and 0.05 Å, respectively. Short exposure times on the order of 0.05–0.1 s were used during the acquisition of the spectrum.

We also calculated band structure and theoretical spectra from bulk and single MnPS$_3$ layer in order to interpret and understand the nature and origins of spectral features observed in the experiments. The electronic structure and theoretical spectra were obtained within the density-functional-theory (DFT) framework, using the full potential linearized augmented plane-wave approximation as implemented in WIEN2K code. The exchange and correlation energy were described within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional. The calculations presented here were based on a monoclinic unit-cell space group $P\bar{1}$ for bulk MnPS$_3$ and a trigonal unit-cell space group $P-31m$ for single-layer MnPS$_3$. The lattice parameters used during the calculations were $a = b = 6.077$ Å for the single-layer MnPS$_3$ and $a = 6.077$ Å, $b = 10.552$ 40 Å, $c = 6.7960$ Å for bulk MnPS$_3$ [2,40]. A 20-Å vacuum slab was used to reproduce a DFT calculation on a single MnPS$_3$ layer. We used muffin-tin radii of 1.96, 2.5, and 1.86 a.u. for S, Mn, and P atoms, respectively. The parameter $R_{\text{mt}}$, $K_{\text{max}}$ was set to 7, where $R_{\text{mt}}$ is the smallest atomic sphere radius in the unit cell and $K_{\text{max}}$ is the magnitude of the largest $K$ vector. The numbers of $k$ points in the irreducible part of the Brillouin zone were 69 and 52 for bulk MnPS$_3$ and single-layer MnPS$_3$, respectively. The GGA + $U$ method, which refers to the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Hubbard parameter $U$ (GGA + $U$), was used in order to treat the effects of local Coulomb interactions due to Mn-3$d$ electrons. The value of the effective Coulomb parameter was set to $U_{\text{eff}} = 5$ eV for both sets of calculations. In addition, AFM supercells were used for both bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$. The GGA + $U$ method underestimates the band-gap value in insulators and therefore a scissor operator of 2 eV was used during the optical calculations. We did not include local field effects (LFE) in our calculations. Random-phase approximation calculations without LFE have been shown to reproduce the EELS spectra for low momentum values quite well [35–37].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Position and nature of the observed peaks

Figure 4 presents the experimental EELS spectra from bulk (dotted curve) and single MnPS$_3$ layer (solid curve), respectively. The spectra are not momentum resolved and are considered to be integrated over all $q$.

Both bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$ are anisotropic and hence the ELF varies with the crystallographic direction. The experiments presented here were conducted with the electron beam parallel to the $c$ axis [001]. For low-energy losses investigated here the direction of momentum transfer is mostly perpendicular to the direction of the electron beam. Therefore the experiments probed the spectra parallel to the MnPS$_3$ plane. The spectra displayed in Fig. 4 are divided into energy regions (i) between 0 and 15 eV and (ii) above 15 eV. The energy region (i) is characterized by small peaks between 0 and 10 eV in both single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$. In bulk MnPS$_3$ the spectra in region (ii) is dominated by a peak at around 19 eV. On the other hand the most prominent peak in single-layer MnPS$_3$ is observed at 15 eV. We note that the main spectral difference between the single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$ is observed in energy region (ii). In order to describe the observed spectra differences between single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$ it is important to identify the nature and origin of the excitations giving rise to the observed spectral features.

The region of EELS spectra shown in Fig. 4 is known as the valence EELS (VEELS) and is characterized by energy losses found below 50 eV. The excitations observed in the VEELS region include relativistic excitations, surface excitations, excitons, interband transitions, and plasmon excitations [31–35]. The VEELS spectrum in bulk MnPS$_3$ has been shown to be mostly dominated by plasmon excitations and interband transitions [22]. In order to differentiate these two types of excitations it is necessary to derive and study the behavior of the dielectric function [33,34]. This can be done through the derivation of experimental dielectric function from single-scattering experimental EELS spectra or through theoretically derived spectra and dielectric functions [34,41,42]. In this paper we use the theoretical approach. The motivation for this is that the theoretical approach also provides the possibility to investigate if the observed experimental difference in the spectra between bulk and single layers can be theoretically reproduced by calculations. From the electronic structure and spectra calculations we obtained band gaps for bulk and
The inset in (c) shows the position at which the energy region (i) is similar in both calculated ELFs for single-regions (i) and (ii). Comparing both spectra we observe that the energy axis with a positive slope.

MnPS$_3$ and (b) single MnPS$_3$ layer. Calculated imaginary part of function $\varepsilon_\nu$ peaks observed in the imaginary part of the dielectric function curve decreases steadily at higher energies. In general the band gap of approximately 2 eV with regard to experimental MnPS$_3$ the interband transitions have been shown to be of the following nature: (1) crystal-field excitations involving $S^{-2}$, $P^{-2}$, and $P^{-2}$ bands to Mn $3d$ states; and (3) transitions between $S-s$, $P-s$ states with the hybridized antibonding $S-p$, $P-p$ states [22]. These transitions are mainly found in the energy region between 0 and 15 eV in both single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$. The $\varepsilon_1$ curve on the other hand shows an important difference between bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$. As shown in Fig. 5(c), for bulk MnPS$_3$ as the $\varepsilon_2$ curve decreases toward zero, the $\varepsilon_1$ curve crosses the energy axis with a positive slope after 19 eV. The crossing of the $\varepsilon_1$ curve is shown in the inset of Fig. 5(c). At this energy the condition for plasmon excitation is thus fulfilled since $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_2$ is small [33–35]. The large peak in region (ii) in bulk MnPS$_3$ is therefore confirmed to be a volume plasmon peak. However in contrast to the bulk structure in MnPS$_3$ single layer the $\varepsilon_1$ curve does not vanish and is characterized by positive values for all energy losses.

This is a behavior commonly observed in damped plasmons. A damped plasmon does not fulfill the plasmon conditions $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon_2$ is small. In addition to having positive values of $\varepsilon_1$, damped plasmons also lead to the broadening of the spectra. Indeed, our calculations show that the calculated spectra for single layer are broadened with respect to that of bulk MnPS$_3$. The damping of the plasmon peak is due to the influence of interband transitions which are observed in the vicinity of the plasmon excitations. In this case these are interband transitions observed in the energy range up to 15 eV. Damped plasmons have also been observed in graphite with increased interlayer distance [43]. In graphite, the redshift of the plasmon with increased interlayer distance brings it into the vicinity where interband transitions are strong such that collective excitations are mixed with single-particle excitations. This leads to the damping of the plasmon excitation. Damped plasmons have been shown in several two-dimensional layers including transition-metal dichalogenide single layers, and $h$-BN [43–46]. In addition, damped plasmons have also been observed in transition-metal oxides [47–49]. In YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ the real part of the dielectric function ($\varepsilon_1$) in superconducting oxides is positive in the region where one expects the plasmon excitation [47]. This has been shown to be due to plasmon excitations being damped by interband transitions in the vicinity.

We also compared the observed plasmon peaks positions for bulk and MnPS$_3$ single layer in the experimental and calculated spectra with the peak positions calculated using Horie’s formula $(\hbar \omega_f)^2 = (\hbar \omega_j)^2 + (E_g)^2$, where $\hbar \omega_f$ is the plasmon energy of an insulator, $\hbar \omega_j$ is the free-electron plasmon energy, and $E_g$ is the band gap [50–53]. Free-plasmon energy is given as $\hbar \omega_f^2 = \hbar^2 N^2 e^2/(\varepsilon_0 m_e q^2)$, where $N$ is the density of outer-shell valence electrons, $m_e$ is the effective mass, and $\varepsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space [30]. Horie’s formula has been shown to be quite accurate in determining the position of plasmon peaks in semiconductors and insulators [51]. In general, the plasmon energy position shows a general dependence (dispersion) with magnetic transition $q$ which can be expressed as $\hbar \omega_j(q) = \hbar \omega_j + \alpha(\hbar/m_e q^2)$, where $\alpha$ is the polarizability [52,53]. The spectrum for single-layer MnPS$_3$ presented in Fig. 5(b) was calculated based on a single layer approximated using a single Mn$_2$P$_2$S$_6$ slab [17,20]. This slab contains a total of 60 valence electrons including 10e from $2 \times Mn^{11+}(3d^9, 4s0); 2e$ from $2 \times P^{14+}(3s^4, 3p0); 48e$ from $6 \times S^{1-}(3p^2, 3p6)$ [20]. The position of the plasmon peaks in single (Mn$_2$P$_2$S$_6$ slab calculated using Horie’s formula is 14.6 eV. This is close to the experimentally obtained value.
The bulk MnPS$_3$ structure is on the other hand represented as Mn$_4$P$_4$S$_{12}$. The calculated theoretical value for plasmon energy in bulk MnPS$_3$ is 20.4 eV, which is close to the obtained experimental value \[22\]. The calculated values for free plasmons are 14.3 and 20.2 eV for single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$, respectively. Since the volume plasmon is a collective excitation of all valence electrons, the total valence electron density in both structures will determine the plasmon energy excitation of all valence electrons, the total valence electron number of valence electrons per unit cell.

The spin-up (↑) and spin-down (↓) partial density of states (PDOS) in bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$ are displayed in Fig. 6. Figures 6(a)–6(c) display the bulk MnPS$_3$ for P-s and P-p, (b) bulk S-s and S-p, (c) bulk Mn-s and Mn-d, (d) single-layer P-s and P-p, (e) single-layer S-s and S-p, and (f) single-layer Mn-s and Mn-d.

FIG. 6. Spin-up (↑) and spin-down (↓) PDOS for (a) bulk P-s and P-p, (b) bulk S-s and S-p, (c) bulk Mn-s and Mn-d, (d) single-layer P-s and P-p, (e) single-layer S-s and S-p, and (f) single-layer Mn-s and Mn-d.

The bulk MnPS$_3$ structure is on the other hand represented as Mn$_4$P$_4$S$_{12}$. The calculated theoretical value for plasmon energy in bulk MnPS$_3$ is 20.4 eV, which is close to the obtained experimental value \[22\]. The calculated values for free plasmons are 14.3 and 20.2 eV for single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$, respectively. Since the volume plasmon is a collective excitation of all valence electrons, the total valence electron density in both structures will determine the plasmon energy excitation of all valence electrons, the total valence electron number of valence electrons per unit cell.

The spin-up (↑) and spin-down (↓) partial density of states (PDOS) in bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$ are displayed in Fig. 6. Figures 6(a)–6(c) display the bulk MnPS$_3$ for P-s/P-p, S-s/S-p, and Mn-s/Mn-d respectively. The corresponding PDOS for the MnPS$_3$ single layer are displayed in (d) and (e) for P-s/P-p and S-s/S-p, respectively. Mn-3d states dominate the energy states found between $-5$ eV and the top of the valence band. P-p and S-p states are found below $-5$ eV. From the PDOS results presented in Fig. 6 we observe that the electronic structures in both single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$ are very similar. In both structures the valence region between $-10$ eV and the Fermi level contains contributions from Mn-4s, Mn-3d, P-3s, P-3p, S-3s, and S-3p states. Therefore, the electronic states used to calculate the plasmon peak positions in the Horie approach are similar in both bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$. We used density of states calculations to confirm that the valence electronic structures in both structures are similar and the only difference between the two is the number of valence electrons per unit cell.

B. Momentum dependence of the observed peaks

We used momentum-resolved EELS spectra to determine the dispersion behavior of the observed plasmon excitations in both single-layer and bulk MnPS$_3$. The momentum information was acquired by acquiring EELS spectra in the reciprocal space (at the diffraction plane of the TEM) \[27,31,32\]. By using a selecting slit placed above the spectrometer, the electrons which have been scattered at a certain given scattering angle can be selected and allowed into the spectrometer. The direction and magnitude of momentum transfer $|\mathbf{q}|$ are then obtained from the projected direction and magnitude of the scattering angles in the electron diffraction pattern \[32\]. Figure 7(a) shows an electron diffraction pattern from bulk MnPS$_3$ layer obtained with the sample oriented parallel to the [001] orientation. The diffraction pattern was indexed based on monoclinic MnPS$_3$ structure with space group $C2/m$. The dotted rectangle shows the pair of 2 $0\,0$ and $-2\,0\,0$ diffraction spots that were selected using a slit to acquire momentum-resolved EELS spectra. This corresponds to MREEELS spectra parallel to the $\Gamma$-A direction of the bulk MnPS$_3$ Brillouin zone (BZ). The respective diffraction pattern for MnPS$_3$ single layer is shown in Fig. 7(b). The structure for MnPS$_3$ single layer was approximated with a trigonal space group $P31m$. The direction probed in the single layer is therefore parallel to $-1\,2\,0$ and $1\,-2\,0$ spots.

The resulting momentum-resolved EELS spectrum shows energy loss $\Delta E$ (horizontal axis) as a function of momentum $q$ (vertical axis). The obtained MREEELS spectrum along the $\Gamma$-A direction in bulk MnPS$_3$ BZ is shown in Fig. 7(c). Momentum-resolved spectra at individual momentum-transfer values $q$ are then obtained from intensity profiles at various $q$ of the MREEELS spectra shown in Fig. 7(c).

These are presented in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b) for bulk and single MnPS$_3$ layers, respectively. The spectra are shown for a range of momentum-transfer values between 0 and 1 $\AA^{-1}$. We observe that the plasmon peak for the single-layer MnPS$_3$ disperses from a value of $\sim 15$ to $23$ eV. The volume plasmon peak in bulk MnPS$_3$ disperses from a value of 18 to 24 eV. In addition, the width of the peaks increases with increased momentum transfer as a result of increased Landau damping of the plasmon excitation.

The dispersion curves for both bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$ are shown in Figs. 9(a) and 9(b), respectively. We also fitted the dispersion curves with quadratic ($\gamma = A_0 + B\mathbf{q}^2$) and square root ($\gamma = A_0 + B\mathbf{q}^{3/2}$) functions for bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$, respectively. It can be seen that the dispersion curve for bulk MnPS$_3$ can be described using the quadratic dispersion behavior characteristic for a bulk plasmon \[32,43,54–56\]. On the other hand, the dispersion curve for the single-layer MnPS$_3$ can be described by a square-root dispersion which is characteristic for a two-dimensional plasmon \[57–59\].

It is important to note that the determination of the dispersion curves displayed in Fig. 9 is influenced by several factors. The first influencing factor is the increased effects of surface and relativistic losses for very thin samples \[30,60,61\]. However, the intensity (I) of surface losses decreases rapidly with increasing momentum transfer in the order of $I \sim q^{-3}$. This is in contrast to bulk losses whose intensity decreases as $I \sim q^{-2}$ \[30,57\]. Therefore, the influence of these effects on the obtained MREEELS spectra is minimized by avoiding spectra at very low momentum values of $|\mathbf{q}| \approx 0 \AA^{-1}$ \[30,60\]. The analysis of the nature of surface plasmons in MnPS$_3$ is shown in more detail in the Appendix. The second factor arises from uncertainties from low signal-to-noise at high momentum-transfer values and finite momentum and energy
FIG. 7. Indexed selected area electron diffraction from (a) bulk MnPS$_3$ obtained along the [001] crystalline direction. The diffraction pattern is indexed using the monoclinic bulk structure with space group $C_{2}/m$. (b) Single-layer MnPS$_3$ indexed according to the trigonal structure with space group $P-31m$. (c) Momentum-resolved electron-energy-loss spectra ($q$ vs $\Delta E$) parallel to the 200 and $-200$ diffraction spot direction. The dotted rectangle shows the integration window width $\Delta q_z = 0.05 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ used to obtain individual spectra at specific $q$.

resolutions. The error bars in Fig. 9 represent the uncertainty in determining the energy and momentum positions from the obtained momentum-resolved EELS spectra. The uncertainty in determining the peak position in the energy axis can be determined as $\sigma = \sqrt{\Delta E^2 + \delta E^2} / \sqrt{N}$, where $\Delta E$, $\delta E$, and $N$ are peak width, instrumental energy dispersion, and peak counts, respectively [54]. The accuracy in determining the momentum value is limited by the integration window $\Delta q_z$ used to obtain the $q$ intensity profiles [25]. This was determined to be $0.05 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$. The last factor is the influence from elastic-inelastic multiple-scattering effects which increase at increasing momentum transfer [62,63]. Correction for multiple-scattering effects in momentum-resolved EELS especially at large $q$ remains a challenging task. However, an approach to remove multiple scattering has already been developed which involves deconvolution of a $q$ integrated spectrum obtained from momentum-resolved spectra obtained at large momentum values [63]. Another approach is to work with the MREELS spectra at low momentum-transfer values since the elastic-inelastic scattering effects increase at large momentum-transfer values. Indeed, it has been shown that the elastic-inelastic multiple-scattering effects are small for small momentum-transfer values ($|q| < 1 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$) [62]. This is the approach that was used in this paper. Within the momentum range where we expect reduced effects from surface, relativistic, and

FIG. 8. Momentum-resolved EELS spectra in (a) bulk MnPS$_3$ and (b) single-layer MnPS$_3$ layer for various momentum-transfer values.

FIG. 9. The dispersion of the plasmon peaks for (a) bulk MnPS$_3$ and (b) single-layer MnPS$_3$. The error bars represent the uncertainty due to the integration window of the momentum $\Delta q_z = 0.05 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$ and in measuring the plasmon peak energy position. The dotted curve and dashed-dotted-dotted curves in (a) and (b) represent fitting of the dispersion curves with quadratic and square-root functions, respectively.
elastic-inelastic scattering, $0.1 \leq q \leq 1 \AA^{-1}$, we observe that the dispersion curves indeed follow a quadratic dispersion for bulk and square-root dispersion for single layer. The results presented here show that the position of the plasmon peak and its dispersion are sensitive to the dimensionality of the MnPS$_3$ layer. This also demonstrates that EELS spectra can also be used to differentiate between bulk and single-layer MnPS$_3$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have explored the spectroscopic properties of freestanding MnPS$_3$ layers using momentum-resolved electron-energy-loss spectroscopy and compared them to bulk MnPS$_3$. The MnPS$_3$ single layers investigated in this work were obtained through exfoliation from bulk MnPS$_3$ flakes. We show that the EELS spectra of single MnPS$_3$ layers are distinct from bulk structure, which provides a method to differentiate single-layer MnPS$_3$ from bulk structures. The volume plasmon peak is shifted from 19 eV in bulk MnPS$_3$ to 15 eV in single layer. Theoretical calculations show that this peak corresponds to a damped plasmon peak in single-layer MnPS$_3$. We also investigated the dispersion characteristics of plasmon excitations in bulk and single MnPS$_3$ layer. The plasmon dispersion curve for the single-layer MnPS$_3$ follows the square-root dispersion which is characteristic for a 2D plasmon. This shows the effects of dimensionality on the observed electronic excitations in MnPS$_3$.
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APPENDIX: SURFACE PLASMONS IN MnPS$_3$

In our paper, using experimental electron-energy-loss spectroscopy supported by (1) DFT calculations and (2) Horie calculations, we showed that a single MnPS$_3$ layer is characterized by redshifted plasmon peak positioned at $\sim 15$ eV. However, it is well known that the probability of exciting surface plasmons increases with decreasing sample thickness [30,60]. We therefore expect to see both surface plasmons and bulk plasmons in MnPS$_3$ single layer. Using the free-electron model, the surface plasmon in MnPS$_3$ single layer is expected to be found at the energy position given by $\hbar \omega_s = (\hbar \omega_f) / \sqrt{2}$, where $\hbar \omega_f$ is the value of the bulk plasmon. This corresponds to the energy region between 10 and 14 eV in bulk MnPS$_3$. This is in the same region where we expect to see a characteristic volume plasmon for the single MnPS$_3$ layer. However, it is also known that surface and volume plasmons have different momentum dependency [60]. This fact is normally used to differentiate surface and volume plasmons. Surface plasmons can be well distinguished from bulk plasmons in that they decay rapidly with increasing momentum transfer. In the following analysis we show using experiments and surface calculations that the peak we observed in single-layer MnPS$_3$ is a characteristic of the layer and is not due to surface plasmons.

FIG. 10. Experimental EELS spectra for (a) MnPS$_3$ single layer and (b) MnPS$_3$ bulk showing spectra at various momentum-transfer values between 0 and 0.1 Å$^{-1}$. The effects of surface plasmons can be clearly seen between 10 and 13 eV (arrows) in the single layer. The dotted line at 15 eV is a guide for the eye.

1. Experimental results on surface plasmons in MnPS$_3$

In Fig. 10(a) we show experimental spectra for a MnPS$_3$ single layer for momentum-transfer values between 0 and 0.1 Å$^{-1}$. The arrows show the position of the surface plasmons which can be clearly seen between 10 and 13 eV. The dotted line at 15 eV is a guide for the eye. From the figure it is clear that the intensity of the surface plasmons decays rapidly with increasing momentum transfer such that above 0.07 Å$^{-1}$ they become negligible. It is important to emphasize that in single-layer MnPS$_3$, both surface and bulk plasmons occur. The intensity of volume plasmons is hidden by the very intense surface plasmons at low momentum values. Above 0.07 Å$^{-1}$ the volume plasmon can be clearly distinguished. In Fig. 10(b) we show experimental spectra for bulk MnPS$_3$ single layer for momentum-transfer values between 0 and 0.1 Å$^{-1}$. In contrast to single MnPS$_3$ layer the intensity at low momentum transfers is dominated by the bulk plasmons and no significant surface plasmons can be seen.

2. Comparison with surface plasmon calculations

Here we show the results of calculations showing the probability of exciting surface losses for MnPS$_3$ layers of various thicknesses. The surface calculations shown here are based on the local Kröger formalism where the loss probability is quantitatively obtained as a function of scattering angle ($\theta$) and energy loss ($\Delta E$) [64].
FIG. 11. Calculated probability for surface losses for MnPS$_3$ sample thickness of 10, 5, 1, and 0.7 nm.

The Kröger formalism accounts for the relativistic effects in the spectra including Cherenkov losses as well as surface plasmons. The band-structure-based DFT approach used in the paper does not take these effects into consideration. In the calculations presented here we used the approach developed by Bolton and Chen to calculate surface excitations for a vacuum/MnPS$_3$/vacuum layered model [64–66]. The input for these calculations is the MnPS$_3$ dielectric constant which was obtained from the DFT calculations.

Figure 11 shows the calculated probability to excite surface plasmons for MnPS$_3$ sample thicknesses of 10, 5, 1, and 0.7 nm. The spectra are not momentum resolved and are considered to be integrated over all scattering angles. As observed in the experiment (Fig. 10), surface plasmons begin to dominate in the energy region 10–14 eV for small sample thicknesses. We used the dielectric constants for bulk MnPS$_3$ in order to determine where the surface plasmons are observed in bulk structures. Therefore, the bulk plasmon at 20 eV is still visible even for sample of 0.7 nm.

In addition, we also calculated the scattering probability of surface plasmons as a function of energy loss ($\Delta E$) and scattering angle ($\theta$). In Fig. 12(a) we show the calculated scattering probability spectra for a 10-nm-thick MnPS$_3$ film. In bulk structure the spectra are dominated by volume plasmons around 19–20 eV. In Fig. 12(b) we show the calculated scattering probability for a 1-nm-thick MnPS$_3$ slab. In contrast to bulk, the spectra are also characterized by increased losses due to surface plasmons around 10–14 eV. However, it can be clearly seen that the intensity of these surface plasmons decays rapidly with increasing scattering angle. They are observed to dominate the region in the scattering angles below 0.5 mrad. In our paper we investigated the dispersion parallel to the 200 direction in MnPS$_3$ with $|q| = 2.16 \text{ Å}^{-1}$. This corresponds to a scattering angle ($\theta$) of 7.2 mrad. The calculated surface plasmons are shown to dominate the region in the scattering angles below 0.5 mrad which corresponds to momentum transfer of 0.15 Å$^{-1}$. This agrees very well with the experimental results shown in Fig. 10.
