

Systematic Design of Stabilizing Model Predictive Controllers for Nonholonomic Systems

Emilia F. P. Krendelsberger*, **Jasmin Krauspenhaar†**, **Lea Bold***,
Mario Rosenfelder‡, **Peter Eberhard†**, **Henrik Ebel‡**, **Karl Worthmann***

* Optimization-based Control Group, Institute of Mathematics, Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany, E-Mails: {emilia.krendelsberger, lea.bold, karl.worthmann}@tu-ilmenau.de

†Institute of Engineering and Computational Mechanics (ITM), University of Stuttgart, Germany, E-Mails: {jasmin.krauspenhaar, mario.rosenfelder, peter.eberhard}@itm.uni-stuttgart.de

‡Department of Mechanical Engineering, LUT University, Lappeenranta, Finland, E-Mail: henrik.ebel@lut.fi

Nonholonomic systems, which are characterized by the nonholonomic constraints on their velocities, are ubiquitous in applications such as transportation and robotics, yet their control remains challenging. In order to achieve asymptotic stability of a desired equilibrium, model predictive control (MPC) schemes without stabilizing terminal conditions have recently been proposed for nonholonomic kinematic systems, see the previous works [2, 6]. Here, stability was gained through the use of mixed-exponent stage costs, since conventional quadratic stage costs generally do not provide asymptotic stability of the MPC closed loop [5]. However, this approach still required lengthy system-specific calculations.

This work presents the recent development of a systematic design for MPC stage costs tailored to nonholonomic systems [4], where only a single calculation of parameters and coordinates is required. These are specific to the geometry of the nonholonomic system and can be used to form a controllable approximation of the system, as is described in [3]. Further, it is proven in [4] that, using a time-continuous MPC controller with these stage costs, the stability of the MPC closed loop is guaranteed through the homogeneity properties of the aforementioned approximation, using the results of [1].

Moreover, the proposed design methodology is extended beyond purely kinematic models to a wider class of systems with non-negligible dynamics, for which stability is shown in [4]. Then, the systematic design procedure is demonstrated, using the example of an articulated vehicle from [4]. The simulated setpoint stabilization is shown for the proposed stage costs, and compared to the use of conventional stage costs with quadratic exponents.

Literatur

- [1] Coron, J. M., Grüne, L., Worthmann, K.: *Model Predictive Control, Cost Contrabillity, and Homogeneity*. SIAM J. Control Optim., Vol. 58, No. 5, pp.2979-2996, 2020.
- [2] Ebel, H., Rosenfelder, M., Eberhard, P.: *A note on the predictive control of non-holonomic systems and underactuated vehicles in the presence of drift*. PAMM, vol. 23, no. 4, p. e202300022, 2023.
- [3] Jean, F.: *Control of Nonholonomic Systems: from Sub-Riemannian Geometry to Motion Planning*. Springer International Publishing, Cham 2014.

- [4] Krendelsberger, E. F. P., Krauspenhaar, J., Bold, L., Rosenfelder, M., Eberhard, P., Ebel, H., Worthmann, K.: *Systematic Design of Stabilizing Model Predictive Controllers for Nonholonomic Systems*. Soon to be published.
- [5] Müller, M. A., Worthmann, K.: *Quadratic costs do not always work in MPC*. Automatica, vol. 82, pp. 269–277, 2017.
- [6] Rosenfelder M., Ebel, H., Krauspenhaar, J., Eberhard, P., *Model predictive control of non-holonomic systems: Beyond differential-drive vehicles*. Automatica, vol. 152, p. 110972, 2023.