Textual Representation of Plan Explanations

1

Contents

• Fundamentals

• Generating Textual Explanations

Demonstration

1. Fundamentals

• Hybrid Plans

Formal Explanations

Natural Language Generation

1.1. Hybrid Plans



Proving a task's necessity

Explaining a task's necessity

Three relations:

- Necessary(t)
- CausalRelation(t1,φ,t2)
- DecompositionRelation(t1,m,t2)

C-CH:

∀t:Task . [Necessary (t) ← ∃t':Task ; φ:Formula . [CausalRelation(t,φ,t') ∧ Necessary (t')]

D-CH:

∀t:Task . [Necessary (t) ← ∃t':Task ; m:Method . [DecompositionRelation(t,m,t') ∧ Necessary (t')]

1.	Necessary(press_newAppointment)	C-Ch 4,5
2.	CausalRelation(press_newAppointment, ϕ , set_Name)	Basic
3.	DecompositionRelation(set_Name, m, configure_Appointment)	Basic
4.	CausalRelation(press_newAppointment, ϕ , configure_Appointment)	C-Prop 2,3
5.	Necessary(configure_Appointment)	C-Ch 8,9
6.	CausalRelation(set_Time, isSet_Time_Appointment, Date, press_OK)	Basic
7.	DecompositionRelation(set_Time, m, configure_Appointment)	Basic
8.	CausalRelation(configure_Appointment, isSet_Time _{Appointment,Date} , press_OK)	P-Prop 6,7
9.	Necessary(press_OK)	C-Ch 10,11
10.	CausalRelation(press_OK, created _{Appointment} , goalTask)	Basic
11.	Necessary(goalTask)	Basic

1.3. Natural Language Generation

Goal: natural and easy to read Text

• Six characteristic phases

1.3. Natural Language Generation

- Text Planning:
 - Content Determination
 - Discourse Planning

Sentence Aggregation

1.3. Natural Language Generation

Lexicalization

Referring Expression Generation

Linguistic Realization

2. Generating Textual Explanations

- Goals
- Text Planning
- Sentence Aggregation
- Lexicalization
- Referring Expression Generation
- Linguistic Realization

2.1. Goals

Goal:

A system that generates an easy to read, variety rich textual explanation in the english language from a formal explanation.

2.1. Goals

Suggestion:

1.	Necessary(press_newAppointment)	C-Ch 4,5
2.	CausalRelation(press_newAppointment, ϕ , set_Name)	Basic
3.	DecompositionRelation(set_Name, m, configure_Appointment)	Basic
4.	CausalRelation(press_newAppointment, ϕ , configure_Appointment)	C-Prop 2,3
5.	Necessary(configure_Appointment)	C-Ch 8,9
6.	CausalRelation(set_Time, isSet_Time _{Appointment,Date} , press_OK)	Basic
7.	DecompositionRelation(set_Time, m, configure_Appointment)	Basic
8.	CausalRelation(configure_Appointment, isSet_Time _{Appointment,Date} , press_OK)	P-Prop 6,7
9.	Necessary(press_OK)	C-Ch 10,11
10.	CausalRelation(press_OK, created _{Appointment} , goalTask)	Basic
11.	Necessary(goalTask)	Basic

"press_newAppointment is necessary since this task is necessary for configure_Appointment, that one is needed for press_OK and that one is necessary for establishing the goal."

2.1. Goals

- More appropiate expressions for tasks etc.
- Involving relations
- Adjustable Lexicalization
- Fast adjustability to switched domains etc.
- Preparing all necessary input files for the Smartphone-Domain

• Input: formal explanation

• Output: Text Tree

Types of inner nodes:

- Sequence (STOP)
- Sequence (COMMA)
- Elaboration
- Message

Types of messages (leaves):

- Necessary
- Decomp
- Causal
- Phi
- Goal
- Тор

Two variations of Text Planning

• Simple: Constructs a Simple Text Tree

Advanced: Uses Phi Nodes

1.	Necessary(t1)	D-Ch 2,3
2.	DecompositionRelation(t1, m, t2)	Basic
3.	Necessary(t2)	C-Ch 6,7
4.	CausalRelation(t3, ϕ_3 , t4)	Basic
5.	DecompositionRelation(t3, m, t2)	Basic
6.	CausalRelation(t2, ϕ_4 , t4)	P-Prop 4,5
7.	Necessary(t4)	C-Ch 8,9
8.	CausalRelation(t4, ϕ_5 , goalTask)	Basic
9.	Necessary(goalTask)	Basic



• Input: Text Tree

• Output: Sentence Tree

 delete redundant information, shorten the textual explanation and simplify the sentence structure

 Sentences can contain more than one elaboration node

• Sequence Nodes (COMMA) can be used to easily concatenate elaboration nodes

 Necessary Messages from inner elaboration nodes can be deleted since their information is already expressed through the context

• Three Variations:

- Simple: Does not change the tree
- Content-based: unites subtrees with similiar messages
- Length-based: unites subtrees to sentences with randomly chosen length



• Input: Sentence Tree

• Output: lexicalized Sentence Tree

Choose for each message a predefined sentence fragment

• Inserting task names, arguments, etc.

• Three variations

Simple Lexicalization:

- task names remain unchanged
- arguments of tasks can not be included
- resulting text is similar to the example

Advanced Lexicalization:

- requires additional input
- can express tasks and their arguments in a seemingly natural way

Input Supporting Lexicalization:

- offered sentence fragments can be modified
- other functions identical to the Advanced Lexicalization

Example (Sentence Fragment):

;is part of;<=>0,i,to;1,c,;<=>0;0;-1;-1;1;1

means:

"to" + <task No. 0 in infinitive form> + "is part of" + <task No. 1 in continuous form>

Example (Task):

Task: enter_Number_ForSMS<=> "enter number"<=> enter %1%'s number<=> entering %1%'s number

2.5. Referring Expression Generation

Produced text without R.E.G.:

Pressing the [eMail] button is crucial since to press the [eMail] button is part of entering the eMail menu, the task "enter the eMail menu" is needed for pressing [New eMail] and the task "press [New eMail]" is necessary as pressing [New eMail] is needed for pressing [Send]. To press [Send] is crucial since it establishes a goal.

2.5. Referring Expression Generation

• 'it', 'that' and 'which' are supported

 Precursing sentence fragment offers tasks to be replaced in the following sentence

 Following sentence accepts the offered task at specified locations when they match its own task at that position

2.5. Referring Expression Generation

Example:

Necessary Message:

```
;is needed;<=>0,c,;<=>0;0;0;0;-1;-1
```

Causal Message:

;is needed for;<=>0,i,to;1,n,the task;<=>0;0;-1;-1;1;1

=> task 0 will be expressed through 'it'

2.6. Linguistic Realization

• Reducing the tree to a string

• Punctuation, whitespaces

• Expressions of inner nodes

3. Demonstration

1	Necessary(pressHome.EMail)	D-Ch 2,3
2	DecompositionRelation(pressHome.EMail, m, enterMode.EMail)	[*]
3	Necessary(enterMode.EMail)	C-CH 4,5
4	CausalRelation(enterMode.EMail, inMode_EMail, pressEMail.NewEMail)	[*]
5	Necessary(pressEMail.NewEMail)	C-Ch 6,7
6	CausalRelation(pressEMail.NewEMail, ϕ_1 , pressEMail.NewEMail.Send)	[*]
7	Necessary(pressEMail.NewEMail.Send)	C-Ch 8,9
8	CausalRelation(pressEMail.NewEMail.Send, ϕ_2 , goalTask)	[*]
9	Necessary(goalTask)	Basic

3. Demonstration

• Simplest:

The task press_Home.EMail is necessary because it belongs to the task enterMode_EMail. That is obligatory since it is needed for the task press_EMail.NewEMail and that is crucial as it is needed for the task press_EMail.NewEMail.Send. That is necessary since it establishes a goal.

3. Demonstration

• Most advanced:

Pressing the [eMail] button is crucial since it is part of the task "enter the eMail menu", which is needed for pressing [New eMail] and that is necessary as it is needed for pressing [Send]. That is crucial since it establishes a goal.