

A Critical Perspective on Radically Innovating Personal Mobility

REGINA BERNHAUPT*, Department of Industrial Design, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

MARK COLLEY*, Institute of Media Informatics, Ulm University, Germany

DAVID GOEDICKE*, Cornell Tech, USA

ALEXANDER MESCHTSCHERJAKOV*, Center for Human-Computer Interaction, University of Salzburg, Austria

BASTIAN PFLEGING*, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Germany

ANDREAS RIENER*, Technische Hochschule Ingolstadt, Germany

SHADAN SADEGHIAN*, University of Siegen, Germany

Automotive research today is mostly concerned with incremental improvement. With an automated vehicle in mind, increased safety, reduced fuel consumption, and the possibility of non-driving-related activities are anticipated. However, the challenges of future mobility require a critical rethinking of mobility in its entirety, including the availability of personalized and motorized mobility. With this video, we want to stimulate discussions on more radical innovation in mobility. In detail, we want the audience to imagine what challenges a world without individually driven cars would pose.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **HCI design and evaluation methods; HCI theory, concepts and models.**

Additional Key Words and Phrases: video prototyping; radical innovation; critiquing; mobility; automated traffic

ACM Reference Format:

Regina Bernhaupt, Mark Colley, David Goedicke, Alexander Meschtscherjakov, Bastian Pfleging, Andreas Riener, and Shadan Sadeghian. 2022. A Critical Perspective on Radically Innovating Personal Mobility. In *14th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications (AutomotiveUI '22 Adjunct)*, September 17–20, 2022, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544999.3551689>

1 INTRODUCTION

Driving automation promises to increase safety and fuel efficiency and relieve people from driving-related tasks. This is expected to change the future of everyday mobility: People will be able to engage in tasks such as working, reading, sleeping, or even painting in times they used to drive before [14, 25]. Moreover, people like children, the elderly, or the physically impaired who are currently not able to drive, will have the possibility to travel independently. While the driving automation technology aims at reducing CO2 emissions, the aforementioned possibilities it provides can lead to increasing rates of commutes and, consequently, environmental issues [28].

Currently, on-road transportation highly relies on individual mobility and is predicted to contribute to 10-20% of worldwide climate change by 2050s [31]). It is, therefore, required to introduce new modes of mobility that are not designed for solo drivers/passengers. In the last years, several researchers and industries have introduced futuristic

*All authors contributed equally to this research.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

Manuscript submitted to ACM

concepts for shared mobility, such as ride-sharing and automated public transport systems. However, the overarching question is: **How integratable are these concepts in people’s everyday mobility practices?**

Therefore, we see the need to trigger rethinking mobility fundamentally. Current visions of manufacturers or consultants often predict a more or less similar traffic organization of today’s mobility: foremost individual motorized traffic (e.g., see KPMG’s vision of 2030’s mobility¹).

In the Dagstuhl Seminar 22222 “Radical Innovation and Design for Connected and Automated Vehicles”, we envisioned numerous scenarios to remodel mobility fundamentally. In one exercise, the question of “What if?” was raised in combination with the topic of *no more individual motorized traffic* in the context of a card game (see Figure 1). Afterward, the perspective of several users and stakeholders were investigated. In this video, we show some of the direct users’ views, for example, of a craftsman. Indirect stakeholders such as manufacturers or city planners were not included but are relevant.



Fig. 1. Game cards. The black card represents the scenario, the white cards the perspective the actors take. After drawing the scenario, the “players” have to imagine the experiences of their exemplary person for the scenario.

2 INNOVATION IN MOBILITY

Current trends in automotive research are characterized by evolutionary steps instead of revolution. Looking at the large scale of traffic, Soe [32] refers to evolution as “future smart cities will be only incrementally autonomous” and revolution as “future smart cities will have fully autonomous traffic”. With the current approaches employed by established European manufacturers such as Mercedes Benz or Audi, evolution can also be expected².

This is also the case in automotive user interface research where effects of automation are mostly evaluated in terms of external communication [5, 6, 11–13, 15, 21, 23], information needs within the vehicle [7, 8, 10, 20, 24], cooperation and takeovers [3, 4, 34], socially acceptable behavior [26, 27, 29], and novel interactions within the vehicle [1, 2, 25]. Additionally, simulators are designed and evaluated to allow for realistic experiences both in manual and automated vehicles [9, 16–19].

¹<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4B7mZFU2sB4>; Accessed: 05.06.2022

²<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-daimler-autonomous-level3-idUSKBN27C3A0>; Accessed: 07.06.2022

When designing for (radical) innovation in mobility, one must incorporate relevant stakeholders. As described by Van der Hoogen et al. [33], mobility is a multi-stakeholder problem. They distinguish four categories of stakeholders: Enablers that allocate resources (e.g., government, businesses), providers working on innovative research and design (e.g., universities), utiliser that create suitable products and services (e.g., companies), and users (e.g. citizens, workers, investors). Even within these groups, the goals can vary strongly. Therefore, in this video, we take the perspective of different users.

Furthermore, besides addressing difference between individual goals, the design of future mobility should consider the integration of these radical concepts into peoples' everyday mobility practices. This tackles questions such as: Is this innovation inclusive for different mobility practices? Is it generalizable to the practices of all target users? Can it be accepted as an alternative to the existing practices?

3 METHODOLOGY

Video Prototyping is a long-adapted method in Human-Computer Interaction. The benefits include the easy implementation of otherwise difficult-to-achieve interaction paradigms or scenarios. Therefore, the possibility to view impacts and provide a common basis for discussions on human-machine interfaces is crucial to radical innovations. While video prototyping often relates to solution-based approaches [22, 30], we employed the technique to enable critical thinking about various scenarios. Therefore, in an entertaining fashion, our videos aim to highlight some of these potential challenges. This does not mean that the underlying goal of the scenario is undesirable but that multiple views are to be explored.

4 PERSPECTIVE ON FUTURE MOBILITY

In the video, the question of the impact of *no more individual motorized traffic* on different user groups is explored. Particularly, we highlight the following scenarios/problem fields:

- (1) Inability to use unmotorized (individual) traffic due to injury
- (2) Requirements of workers/craftspeople to take along tooling to the workplace
- (3) Social acceptability and fun to ride in the case of an enthusiastic listener of music
- (4) Emergency use cases, for example, firefighter who has to extinguish a fire

In particular, this methodology enabled us to provide a common understanding of the use case and an approachable way to describe unwanted side effects of radical innovations. This video should spark discussion within the AutoUI community to rethink the mobility of the future more critically.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was conducted within the Dagstuhl Seminar 22222 (see <https://www.dagstuhl.de/22222>). The authors thank Schloss Dagstuhl for the great service.

REFERENCES

- [1] Melanie Berger, Regina Bernhaupt, and Bastian Pfleging. 2019. A Tactile Interaction Concept for In-Car Passenger Infotainment Systems. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications: Adjunct Proceedings* (Utrecht, Netherlands) (*AutomotiveUI '19*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 109–114. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3349263.3351914>
- [2] Melanie Berger, Aditya Dandekar, Regina Bernhaupt, and Bastian Pfleging. 2021. An AR-Enabled Interactive Car Door to Extend In-Car Infotainment Systems for Rear Seat Passengers. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Yokohama, Japan) (*CHI EA '21*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 404, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411763.3451589>

- [3] Shadan Sadeghian Borojeni, Lars Weber, Wilko Heuten, and Susanne Boll. 2018. From Reading to Driving: Priming Mobile Users for Take-over Situations in Highly Automated Driving. In *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services* (Barcelona, Spain) (*MobileHCI '18*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 14, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3229434.3229464>
- [4] Mark Colley, Ali Askari, Marcel Walch, Marcel Woide, and Enrico Rukzio. 2021. ORIAS: On-The-Fly Object Identification and Action Selection for Highly Automated Vehicles. In *13th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 79–89. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409118.3475134>
- [5] Mark Colley, Elvedin Bajrovic, and Enrico Rukzio. 2022. Effects of Pedestrian Behavior, Time Pressure, and Repeated Exposure on Crossing Decisions in Front of Automated Vehicles Equipped with External Communication. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (New Orleans, LA, USA) (*CHI '22*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 367, 11 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517571>
- [6] Mark Colley, Jan Henry Belz, and Enrico Rukzio. 2021. Investigating the Effects of Feedback Communication of Autonomous Vehicles. In *13th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Leeds, United Kingdom) (*AutomotiveUI '21*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 263–273. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409118.3475133>
- [7] Mark Colley, Christian Bräuner, Mirjam Lanzer, Walch Marcel, Martin Baumann, and Enrico Rukzio. 2020. Effect of Visualization of Pedestrian Intention Recognition on Trust and Cognitive Load. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (*AutomotiveUI '20*). ACM, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409120.3410648>
- [8] Mark Colley, Benjamin Eder, Jan Ole Rixen, and Enrico Rukzio. 2021. Effects of Semantic Segmentation Visualization on Trust, Situation Awareness, and Cognitive Load in Highly Automated Vehicles. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 155, 11 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445351>
- [9] Mark Colley, Pascal Jansen, Enrico Rukzio, and Jan Gugenheimer. 2022. SwiVR-Car-Seat: Exploring Vehicle Motion Effects on Interaction Quality in Virtual Reality Automated Driving Using a Motorized Swivel Seat. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.* 5, 4, Article 150 (dec 2022), 26 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3494968>
- [10] Mark Colley, Svenja Krauß, Mirjam Lanzer, and Enrico Rukzio. 2021. How Should Automated Vehicles Communicate Critical Situations? A Comparative Analysis of Visualization Concepts. *Proc. ACM Interact. Mob. Wearable Ubiquitous Technol.* 5, 3, Article 94 (2021), 23 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3478111>
- [11] Mark Colley, Stefanos Can Mytilineos, Marcel Walch, Jan Gugenheimer, and Enrico Rukzio. 2020. Evaluating Highly Automated Trucks as Signaling Lights. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (*AutomotiveUI '20*). ACM, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409120.3410647>
- [12] Mark Colley, Marcel Walch, Jan Gugenheimer, Ali Askari, and Enrico Rukzio. 2020. Towards Inclusive External Communication of Autonomous Vehicles for Pedestrians with Vision Impairments. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Honolulu, HI, USA) (*CHI '20*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376472>
- [13] Mark Colley, Bastian Wankmüller, Tim Mend, Thomas Vath, Enrico Rukzio, and Jan Gugenheimer. 2022. User gesticulation inside an automated vehicle with external communication can cause confusion in pedestrians and a lower willingness to cross. *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour* 87 (2022), 120–137.
- [14] Henrik Detjen, Bastian Pfleging, and Stefan Schneegass. 2020. A Wizard of Oz Field Study to Understand Non-Driving-Related Activities, Trust, and Acceptance of Automated Vehicles. In *12th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Virtual Event, DC, USA) (*AutomotiveUI '20*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409120.3410662>
- [15] Debargha Dey, Azra Habibovic, Andreas Löcken, Philipp Wintersberger, Bastian Pfleging, Andreas Rienner, Marieke Martens, and Jacques Terken. 2020. Taming the eHMI jungle: A classification taxonomy to guide, compare, and assess the design principles of automated vehicles' external human-machine interfaces. *Transportation Research Interdisciplinary Perspectives* 7 (2020), 100174.
- [16] David Goedicke, Alexandra W.D. Bremers, Sam Lee, Fanjun Bu, Hiroshi Yasuda, and Wendy Ju. 2022. XR-OOM: MiXed Reality Driving Simulation with Real Cars for Research and Design. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (New Orleans, LA, USA) (*CHI '22*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 107, 13 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517704>
- [17] David Goedicke, Jany Li, Vanessa Evers, and Wendy Ju. 2018. VR-OOM: Virtual Reality On-ROad Driving SiMulation. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Montreal QC, Canada) (*CHI '18*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173739>
- [18] David Goedicke, Carmel Zolkov, Natalie Friedman, Talia Wise, Avi Parush, and Wendy Ju. 2022. Strangers in a Strange Land: New Experimental System for Understanding Driving Culture Using VR. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* 71, 4 (2022), 3399–3413.
- [19] Sven Krome, David Goedicke, Thomas J. Matarazzo, Zimeng Zhu, Zhenwei Zhang, J. D. Zamfirescu-Pereira, and Wendy Ju. 2019. How People Experience Autonomous Intersections: Taking a First-Person Perspective. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Utrecht, Netherlands) (*AutomotiveUI '19*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 275–283. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3342197.3344520>
- [20] Mirjam Lanzer, Tanja Stoll, Mark Colley, and Martin Baumann. 2021. Intelligent mobility in the city: the influence of system and context factors on drivers' takeover willingness and trust in automated vehicles. *Frontiers in Human Dynamics* 3 (2021), 42. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fhumd.2021.676667>
- [21] Andreas Löcken, Carmen Golling, and Andreas Rienner. 2019. How Should Automated Vehicles Interact with Pedestrians? A Comparative Analysis of Interaction Concepts in Virtual Reality. In *Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular*

- Applications* (Utrecht, Netherlands) (*AutomotiveUI '19*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 262–274. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3342197.3344544>
- [22] Wendy E Mackay. 1988. Video Prototyping: a technique for developing hypermedia systems. In *CHI'88 Conference Companion Human Factors in Computing Systems*, Vol. 5. Citeseer, 1–3.
- [23] Alexander G. Mirmig, Magdalena Gärtner, Vivien Wallner, Michael Gafert, Hanna Braun, Peter Fröhlich, Stefan Suetterle, Jakob Sypniewski, Alexander Meschtscherjakov, and Manfred Tscheligi. 2021. Stop or Go? Let Me Know! A Field Study on Visual External Communication for Automated Shuttles. In *13th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Leeds, United Kingdom) (*AutomotiveUI '21*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 287–295. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409118.3475131>
- [24] So Yeon Park, Dylan James Moore, and David Sirkin. 2020. What a Driver Wants: User Preferences in Semi-Autonomous Vehicle Decision-Making. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376644>
- [25] Bastian Pfleging, Maurice Rang, and Nora Broy. 2016. Investigating User Needs for Non-Driving-Related Activities during Automated Driving. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Mobile and Ubiquitous Multimedia* (Rovaniemi, Finland) (*MUM '16*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 91–99. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3012709.3012735>
- [26] Shadan Sadeghian, Marc Hassenzahl, and Kai Eckoldt. 2020. An Exploration of Prosocial Aspects of Communication Cues between Automated Vehicles and Pedestrians. In *12th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Virtual Event, DC, USA) (*AutomotiveUI '20*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 205–211. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409120.3410657>
- [27] Shadan Sadeghian, Marc Hassenzahl, and Kai Eckoldt. 2020. An Exploration of Prosocial Aspects of Communication Cues between Automated Vehicles and Pedestrians. In *12th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications* (Virtual Event, DC, USA) (*AutomotiveUI '20*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 205–211. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3409120.3410657>
- [28] Shadan Sadeghian, Alexander Meschtscherjakov, Bastian Pfleging, Birsen Donmez, Andreas Riener, Christian P. Janssen, Andrew L. Kun, Wendy Ju, Christian Remy, and Philipp Wintersberger. 2020. Should I Stay or Should I Go? Automated Vehicles in the Age of Climate Change. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Honolulu, HI, USA) (*CHI EA '20*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3334480.3375162>
- [29] Hatice Sahin, Heiko Mueller, Shadan Sadeghian, Debargha Dey, Andreas Löcken, Andrii Matviienko, Mark Colley, Azra Habibovic, and Philipp Wintersberger. 2021. Workshop on Prosocial Behavior in Future Mixed Traffic. In *13th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive Vehicular Applications*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 167–170. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3473682.3477438>
- [30] David Sirkin and Wendy Ju. 2014. Using embodied design improvisation as a design research tool. In *Proceedings of the international conference on Human Behavior in Design (HbID 2014)*, Ascona, Switzerland.
- [31] Ragnhild Bieltvedt Skeie, Jan Fuglestedt, Terje Berntsen, Marianne Tronstad Lund, Gunnar Myhre, and Kristin Rypdal. 2009. Global temperature change from the transport sectors: Historical development and future scenarios. *Atmospheric Environment* 43, 39 (2009), 6260–6270.
- [32] Ralf-Martin Soe. 2020. Mobility in Smart Cities: Will Automated Vehicles Take It Over? In *Smart governance for cities: perspectives and experiences*. Springer, Cham, 189–216.
- [33] Anthea Van der Hoogen, Brenda Scholtz, and Andre Calitz. 2019. A smart city stakeholder classification model. In *2019 Conference on Information Communications Technology and Society (ICTAS)*. IEEE, IEEE, New York, NY, USA, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTAS.2019.8703633>
- [34] Marcel Walch, Mark Colley, and Michael Weber. 2019. CooperationCaptcha: On-The-Fly Object Labeling for Highly Automated Vehicles. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (Glasgow, Scotland Uk) (*CHI EA '19*). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3313022>