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ABSTRACT
To filter and shut out an increasingly loud environment, many resort to the use of personal audio technology. They drown out unwanted sounds, by wearing headphones. This uniform interaction with all surrounding sounds can have a negative impact on social relations and situational awareness. Leveraging mediation through smarter headphones, users gain more agency over their sense of hearing: For instance by being able to selectively alter the volume and other features of specific sounds, without losing the ability to add media. In this work, we propose the vision of interactive auditory mediated reality (AMR). To understand users’ attitude and requirements, we conducted a week-long event sampling study (n = 12), where users recorded and rated sources (n = 225) which they would like to mute, amplify or turn down. The results indicate that besides muting, a distinct, “quiet—but-audible” volume exists. It caters to two requirements at the same time: aesthetics/comfort and information acquisition.
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INTRODUCTION
Today’s urban environments are populated by rich and dynamic soundscapes (i.e. acoustic environments [16]). Parts of these soundscapes are aesthetically pleasing to the population. Noise pollution however, is composed of unpleasant, loud or irrelevant sounds. Unlike vision, hearing is not directed and can not be averted easily. These aspects, in conjunction with the rise of ubiquitous personal audio devices, are reflected in the increasing use of headphones and similar technology. Personal audio is an interaction with the entire soundscape in a uniform fashion – mainly filtering and drowning out all sounds alike. Putting on headphones therefore drowns out every single source, independently of aesthetic and relevance. While this allows users to curate what they hear [3], personal audio impacts situational awareness [9] and has distinct health risks [4].

Apart from being merely a tool for media consumption, personal audio is an attempt to re-gain agency over one’s own hearing: users attempt to filter distracting, unpleasant or irrelevant sounds by drowning them out [3]. With devices like Hearables, auditory perception can be mediated through a digital device. This is already implemented by some products [2, 5] and was subject of previous research [6, 11, 13]. Mediation, in turn, opens up a large design space for addition and alteration [12, 18]. Ultimately, users would re-gain full agency and control over their sense of hearing.

While this is a compelling vision, practical requirements for such devices have not been determined yet. An evident aspect is the alteration of volume or loudness of certain sound sources. In an initial step towards understanding the requirements for AMR, we conducted a week-long event sampling study with 12 participants, followed up by semi-structured interviews. They recorded and rated sources they would like to increase or decrease in volume. Based on the collected data, we were able to gain insights into relevant aspects of interactive AMR. There is a level between “muted” and “unaltered”, which retains awareness and completeness of the soundscape, but caters to users’ desire for silence and acoustical comfort. Furthermore,
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Figure 1. Schematic flow of AMR: Instead of interacting with the soundscape as a whole, users are able to curate their hearing on a per-source-basis, while retaining functionality of personal audio and their vital sense of hearing.
users identify sound sources predominantly as objects, rarely as textures and never by their acoustical properties. If given the chance to change the volume of any sound source, users mostly reduce or mute and rarely increase the level. Furthermore, systems have to follow certain guidelines to ensure soundscape understanding, allow for brief interaction, and respect user’s need for information via the auditory channel.

CONCEPT AND VISION
Mediated reality is the foundation for altered, modulated perception of the world. For auditory perception, it is already present in hearing aids, where it restores abilities [7]. However, it may also be used to add new abilities (e.g. the ability to change sound volume on a per-source basis). Deliberate, augmentative alteration or modulation was discussed far less often [18, 19] than additive augmentation [1, 15, 17].

Interactive AMR can provide people with agency on a more refined level. Each user is able to alter the volume and all other features of sound sources based on their personal preference. This preference consists of an individually weighted set of requirements: comfort, information acquisition, desire to isolate. Parts of this alteration may be automated, while others have to remain under the users’ control.

A schematic process of altering one’s own personal soundscape can be seen in figure 1. A system provides the users with a segmented soundscape, essentially defining interaction targets. Users can then change the volume of each source (increase, decrease, mute), after determining their own personal need for information and comfort. The ability to add media, as provided by personal audio devices, is kept.

PRELIMINARY STUDY
To gain better insights into user requirements in real-world environments, we created an app which allowed users to record and rate sound sources which they would like to alter. The app provides the users with a segmented soundscape, essentially defining interaction targets. Users can then change the volume of each source (increase, decrease, mute), after determining their own personal need for information and comfort. The ability to add media, as provided by personal audio devices, is kept.

The following insights were gathered based on the collected data: 1) Muting sound sources as an action is not sufficient – it caters to comfort only, ignoring the informativity of a soundscape. A level which is “quiet-but-audible” likely suffices. The desired level of sources may follow requirements: comfort, filtering relevant sounds is a source of danger. Mutating are used. 4) The desired level of sources may follow dynamically changing requirements, making interactivity a necessary component.

DESIGNING FOR AMR
To gain more specific requirements, we conducted interviews about the general vision of AMR directly after the study. After a thematic analysis, the following categories were developed:

- **Clear Metaphors:** When selectively altering level, objects are helpful for selection. Abstract concepts like “background” and physical concepts like frequency are less useful.
- **Transparency of Alterations:** Whenever a source is altered, the effect has to be clear to the user. This allows them to weigh advantages and risks appropriately.
- **Leveraging Human Abilities:** Humans are already able to segment a soundscape into sources. Leveraging selective attention to define filters would make AMR open to users.
- **Mediating Risks:** While interactive AMR may provide more comfort, filtering relevant sounds is a source of danger. Mutating has to be treated carefully, especially if auditory comfort can be reached without total removal of sounds.
- **Interactivity:** Participants were divided concerning the modality of the interaction. However, they all highlighted that it is necessary and has to be brief and unobtrusive.

CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK
We proposed the concept of interactive AMR for per-source alteration of volume and presented insights from a preliminary study. Paired with suitable interaction techniques, it may hand back agency over the sense of hearing to users. Current personal audio technology forces users to adapt their usage
or accept consequences of it, as it implies a uniform, almost binary, alteration of the soundscape. Interactive AMR is able to bridge the gap between unobstructed hearing and mobile media consumption, without sacrificing the sense of hearing. With this augmentation, users could balance informativeness of the soundscape with their own dynamic requirements for acoustical comfort. This is reflected in the study results, where "muting" sounds (equivalent to headphones with loud media), is the most common, but by far not the only type of alteration.

This work focused on one dimension of sound: volume. While it is the most "graspable" one to users, aspects like spatial position, frequency and various others are relevant for future research. Additionally, specific interactions and visualisations can be implemented and evaluated with the proposed guidelines in mind.
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