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ABSTRACT

Terror management theory was developed to explain the motivational underpinnings of phenomena such as self-esteem defense and prejudice. The theory posits that to manage the potential for terror engendered by the awareness of mortality, humans sustain faith in worldview—their beliefs about the meaningfulness and coherence of their existence, their expectations for a meaningful future, their hopes and ambitions, and their sense that they can control their fate. In this view, a catastrophic loss of faith can lead to a loss of meaning, which can trigger a loss of self-esteem. To prevent this, people may engage in a variety of adaptive strategies to maintain their worldview and self-esteem, including denial, wishful thinking, and self-blame. The theory is supported by a wide range of studies showing that self-esteem and worldview provide protection against anxiety and death-related cognition, and that people who are more concerned with death tend to be more likely to engage in worldview bolstering and self-esteem striving, and to view the death of a loved one as a threat to their own survival.

TERROR MANAGEMENT THEORY

Terror management theory was born out of a fundamental dissatisfaction with the field of social psychology circa 1980 shared by three graduate students at the University of Kansas: Sheldon Solomon, Tom Pyszczynski, and the senior author of this chapter. Fundamentally, the idea is rooted in a long tradition of thought regarding human awareness of death and its role in psychological functioning. The theory posits that to manage the potential for terror engendered by the awareness of mortality, humans sustain faith in worldview—their beliefs about the meaningfulness and coherence of their existence, their expectations for a meaningful future, their hopes and ambitions, and their sense that they can control their fate. In this view, a catastrophic loss of faith can lead to a loss of meaning, which can trigger a loss of self-esteem. To prevent this, people may engage in a variety of adaptive strategies to maintain their worldview and self-esteem, including denial, wishful thinking, and self-blame. The theory is supported by a wide range of studies showing that self-esteem and worldview provide protection against anxiety and death-related cognition, and that people who are more concerned with death tend to be more likely to engage in worldview bolstering and self-esteem striving, and to view the death of a loved one as a threat to their own survival.
us - dûh - that this was precisely what we had been trained to do - to derive testable hypotheses from theories, and then test them.

We believe that TMT explains some fundamental things about human beings and their social behavior. But TMT was not like your standard variety social psychological theory. Most theories in social psychology are mini-theories focused on a specific set of processes pertinent to a particular topic within the field: stereotype threat (predjudice); the elaboration likelihood model (persuasion); the culture of honor (aggression); self-verification theory (self). TMT is about the role of the unconscious fear of death in just about everything we humans do. But soon we would be able to derive hypotheses from this broad existential psychodynamic theory and strategies for testing them in collaboration with our graduate students.

Realizing resistance was going to be strong, we put together six studies, a larger set than was customary at that time, before submitting to the Journal of Personality and Social Psychology. The paper was accepted (Rosenblatt et al., 1989); the reviews were along the lines of, “This can’t be right, I don’t like it, but I can’t explain their findings, so take it.” We thought at the time, “Fair enough.”

Since then, the empirical support for TMT has blossomed into a literature consisting of over 400 studies and counting, conducted in 16 countries. This body of work, which has included numerous theoretical expansions and refinements, has benefited greatly from the second (and now third) generation of TMT researchers, former students of the original trio including the junior author of this chapter. In the last decade, researchers from independent labs throughout the world have also made valuable contributions to this continually expanding literature. We believe that the growth of this area of study reflects the generative value of a broad theory that integrates widely varied human endeavors by exploring deeply rooted forces that drives humans to behave the way they do. Indeed, the junior author of this chapter became enthralled as an undergraduate at Skidmore College with the broad existential perspective TMT offered and the promise of subjecting such ideas to empirical scrutiny. In due course, we will provide a brief overview of the literature that has developed over the past 20 years with an emphasis on recent directions pertinent to contemporary concerns. But first we should step back to acknowledge the rather extensive roots of the theory.

The Distal and Proximal Roots of TMT

Although not with surprise and skepticism when introduced to social psychologists in the 1980s, a decent case can be made that TMT is an ancient theory that can be traced back to one of the first narrative texts from around 3000 BC, the Sumerian Epic of Gilgamesh. A central theme of this story, which influenced the major religions that later emerged out of the Middle East, is the main character’s deep concerns about death and his consequent search for immortality. Gilgamesh, devastated by the death of his friend Enkidu, realizes that he too will die. He roamed the desert, lamenting: “How can I rest, how can I be at peace? Despair is in my heart.” What my brother now, that will I be when I am dead … I am afraid of death…” He then embarked on a journey to find immortality.

From that early time on, the notion that we humans fear death and urgently desire to deny or transcend it in some way has been a prominent theme in literature, religious writings, and philosophy. Indeed, Schopenhauer declared death the muse of philosophy; we couldn’t even begin to review its role in philosophical thought here. But we should note that the first person to put the basic points of TMT together seems to have been the famous Greek lyricist Thucydides.

Around 400 BC, Thucydides was focused on understanding the vicious intergroup conflicts that plagued ancient Greece. He proposed that the fear of inevitable death drove people to seek immortality in three ways: through heroic, noble actions restoring justice, which qualified them for a divinely awarded afterlife; through memories of their heroic deeds; and through identification with death transcending group identifications. As Ahrensford (2000: 591) put it: “Thucydides contends that they will seek to escape by somehow overcoming their mortal condition, by living on after their death — either through their work, or through their glory, or in an afterlife — and by winning the gods’ favor through the vehement affirmation of their own nobility or piety, or justice.” Thucydides also noted that the increasing salience of mortality once conflicts begin intensifies the desire to heroically vanquish the enemy.

Jumping forward about 2000 years to the modern English literary tradition, poets from Shakespeare to Wordsworth, Keats, and Shelley, to Dickinson and Emerson, among hundreds of others, have acknowledged the role of the fear of death and desire to escape it in the human psyche. Similarly, novelists such as ghostly figure, Dickens, Dostoevsky, and Tolstoy down to twentieth-century writers such as James Baldwin, Don Delillo, James Joyce, Phillip Roth, Milan Kundera, and Kurt Vonnegut have explored how the fear of death drives various forms of human behavior.

Here, Baldwin concisely captures the gist of TMT:

Life is tragic simply because the earth turns and the sun inexorably rises and sets, and one day, for each of us, the sun will go down for the last, last time. Perhaps the whole root of our trouble, the human trouble, is that we will sacrifice all the beauty of our lives, will imprison ourselves in totems, taboos, crosses, blood sacrifices, steeples, mosques, races, armies, flags, nations, in order to deny the fact of death, which is the only fact we have (James Baldwin, The Fire Next Time, 1963).

Awareness of the role of death in the human psyche has not been limited to the great philosophers, poets, and novelists, but can also be found extensively in visual art (e.g., Van Gogh, Klimt), music (e.g., Schubert and Mahler), and film (e.g., Woody Allen and Ingmar Bergman). And it is not limited to representations of “high culture;” indeed, it seems increasingly prevalent in contemporary pop culture also. As we’ve been writing this chapter in early 2009, two films, David Fincher’s Fitzgerald-inspired The Curious Case of Benjamin Button, and Frank Miller’s comic-book-inspired The Spirit, as well as the second 2009 episode of the sitcom Scrubs have focused squarely on the psychological problem of mortality. Recognition of this problem in a man of the products of Western culture makes it all the more interesting that in the 1980s social psychology texts made no mention of death and TMT was treated by most people in the field as outlandish and irrelevant to understanding human social behavior.

As noted earlier, TMT was formalized out of three of Becker’s books, The Birth and Death of Meaning, The Denial of Death, and Escape from Evil. These books combine insights from anthropology, evolutionary biology, philosophy, psychoanalysis, and sociology. We urge anyone interested in understanding human behavior to read them.

Around the time Becker was putting his synthesis together, evolutionary philosopher Susanne Langer and psychoanalytic historian Robert Jay Lifton were coming to the same view; and a bit later in 1980, so did existential psychologist and sociologist, Donald Yalom. The primary influences on Becker’s analysis were Kierkegaard, William James, Freud, Gregory Zilboorg, Erving Goffman, Norman Brown, and especially Otto Rank. Within psychology, Rank, Freud’s disciple and an impressive interdisciplinary scholar back in the 1930s, first granted the fear of death and the urge toward immortality a central role in human culture and social behavior. By systematizing these existential psychodynamic ideas into a coherent explanatory framework, TMT integrates much of what we know about human behavior and provides a fertile basis for generating a wealth of new hypotheses. The TMT research in turn has inspired useful expansions and refinements of the theory.
THE BASICS OF TMT

TMT starts with two simple observations. The first is that humans are animals with many systems designed to keep them alive, including a fight-or-flight response to impending threats to their continued existence. The second is that the cognitive abilities of humans have led to the awareness that death is inevitable and could come at any time due to a host of potential causes. The theory posits that this knowledge, in an animal built to avert death, creates an ever-present potential for intense anxiety, or terror, which must be managed continuously. The terror is managed by sustaining faith in a view of the world and oneself that denies the precarious and transient nature of one’s own existence.

Cultural worldviews have been shaped to serve this function from ancient times to now. Presumably there was a point in time when the cerebral cortex of our species became sufficiently developed to provide self-awareness and the ability to think in terms of past, present, and future. These largely adaptive cognitive capacities also led to the awareness of mortality. Although fear in response to imminent threat is often adaptive, constant anxiety regarding the fragility of continued existence and its inevitable end would not be. At one point, our ancestors constructed and gravitated toward shared conceptions of reality that most effectively quelled the potential fear that knowledge of our vulnerability and mortality engendered. These cultural worldviews imbue external reality with order, stability, meaning, and purpose, and offer ways in which people can believe they will endure beyond death either literally through an everlasting soul, symbolically through a death transcending identity, or for most worldviews, in both ways.

At the most basic level, all cultural worldviews allow people to live out their lives largely within a conception of reality in which they view themselves as symbolic or spiritual beings who exist in a meaningful world, rather than as mere transient animals fated only to obliteration upon death. Indeed, the content of consciousness is structured by the cultural worldview in which a given individual is raised. We think in terms of names, dates, months, days of the week, hours, minutes, social roles, and categories. But these are ultimately elaborate window dressing that lends artificial and largely arbitrary structure to an ongoing subjective experience of unique sensations and perceptions that begins at birth and ends at death. Questions like “Who are you?” “Where are you?” “What time is it?” can only be answered with reference to culturally created constructs.

How do people become imbedded in a cultural worldview that provides them with their fundamental psychological security? Developmentally, the human newborn is the most helpless and dependent of all living creatures. It is also a highly distressed creature because, as Rank (1932/1989) noted, it was conceived and developed attached to its mother and was suddenly, violently separated from its warm home, the womb, at birth. From exposure to the first words that flow out of safety and security but explicitly with the literal immortality of heaven and the symbolic immortal- ity of being part of death-transcending entities such as the family line and the nation, and lasting cultural achievements in science, politics, and the arts. In these ways, the significant person in a society can feel like he or she is an eternal part of an enduring reality.

Summary of TMT and its basic implications

In sum, the simple formula for effective terror management is faith in a meaning providing cultural worldview and the belief that one is a valuable contributor to that meaning- ful world (the TMT conceptualization of self-esteem). We initially derived two basic implications from the theory (Solomon et al., 1991). First, self-esteem and the worldview upon which it is predicated serve a critical anxiety-buffering function. So people strive for and defend self-esteem in the service of psychological security and these efforts depend on the specific cultural worldview to which the individual subscribes. Second, because these constructs are ultimately fragile social constructions, people will react negatively to anyone or anything that under- mines faith in their worldview or their self- worth. We believe that this provides a very basic insight into prejudice and intergroup conflict. People who criticize one’s own worldview, or simply adhere to a worldview very different from one’s own, call into ques- tion the validity of one’s own basis of psy- chological security.

TMT therefore posited that such different people are inherently threatening and are reacted to with four defenses. The first, most widespread, is derogation. If these others are ignorant or evil, then their alternative beliefs can be dismissed. The second is assimilation. If these people are wrong, then I can help them see the light, which will make me all the more certain my worldview is the right one. Missionary activity provides a vivid example of this strategy, which involves appealing to the literal immortality of heaven and the symbolic immortality of being part of death-transcending entities such as the family line and the nation, and lasting cultural achievements in science, politics, and the arts. In these ways, the significant person in a society can feel like he or she is an eternal part of an enduring reality.

The core tests of hypotheses derived from TMT

TMT is consistent with a wide range of evidence from anthropology, archeology, and history, but our challenge was to deduce predictions that put the theory to the test. The central TMT hypotheses are based on our account of the psychological function of cultural worldviews and self-esteem. If these structures provide
protection against death-related fears, then: (a) reminding people of their mortality should increase bolstering of the worldview and striving for self-worth; (b) bolstering these structures should reduce anxiety in response to threat and defensive reactions to reminders of death; and (c) threatening the structures should arouse anxiety and bring death-related concerns closer to consciousness.

Hundreds of studies have supported these hypotheses. First, let’s consider hypothesis (a). Reminding people of their mortality (mortality salience or MS) increases positive reactions to people who validate aspects of participant’s worldview and negative reactions to people who challenge aspects of their worldview or suppose a different one (see Greenberg et al., 2008 for a review). For example, MS leads to negative evaluations of Jews by Christians, of a critic of the US by Americans, and of foreign products by Germans. MS even leads to increased aggression against a critic of one’s preferred political orientation, whether liberal or conservative. On the other hand, MS increases positive reactions to heroes and celebrities, one’s national soccer team, and members of one’s own religious group, and leads to more donations to valued charities. MS also increases striving for self-worth (see Greenberg et al., 2008). In people who value such things, MS increases bold driving, displays of physical strength, proenvironmental intentions, focus on one’s appearance, interest in self-esteem enhancing dating partners, and ratings of the importance of and desire for fame and wealth.

A substantial number of studies have also supported hypothesis (b). Bolstering self-esteem reduces anxiety in response to threat (Greenberg et al., 1992b) and defensive reactions and death-thought accessibility following reminders of mortality (Harmon-Jones et al., 1997). Similarly, bolstering or defending one’s worldview also reduces defensive reactions and death-thought accessibility following reminders of mortality (e.g., Arndt et al., 1997).

Recent research has also supported Hypothesis (c). Reminders that humans are animals, criticism of participants’ worldviews, and threats to participants’ self-esteem all increase the accessibility of death-related thoughts and not the accessibility of other negative thoughts (e.g., Friedman and Rhodes, 2007; Schimel et al., 2007).

This body of research has operationalized reminding people of their mortality in a variety of ways and has extensively compared reminders of mortality with reminders of other negative thoughts (see Greenberg et al., 2008). People have been reminded of death via two simple questionnaire items, writing one sentence about death, fear of death scales, proximity to cemeteries and funeral homes, and subliminal death primes. Thinking about mortality has been compared to thinking about being paralyzed, failing, feeling uncertain, general anxiety, giving a speech in public, intense pain, dental pain, disease, unpredictable, intense bouts of pain, life being meaningless, being socially excluded, upcoming exams, unexpected events, and worries after college.

Although some studies have found similar effects of other aversive thoughts in circumscripted contexts (e.g., McGregor et al., 2001; van den Bos, 2001) the vast majority have found quite different effects for reminders of mortality. TMT theorists (e.g., Greenberg et al., 2008) have argued that this is because death is the only inevitable future event, it is what human biological systems, including the flight or fight system, are most focused on avoiding, and it threatens to undermine all human desires, whether for belonging, cognition, control, or growth. One possibility is that when these other threats lead to defenses similar to those instigated by MS, they may undermine structures that serve terror management, thereby bringing thoughts of death closer to focal awareness. For example, Landau et al. (2004a) found that threatening individuals’ belief in a just world led to elevated death-thought accessibility. These other aversive experiences may also, under some conditions, be threatening in their own right.

Given the clear evidence that thoughts of mortality so often arouse different responses than these other aversive cognitions, an important direction for future inquiry research is understanding when psychological defenses are serving terror management and when they are serving other concerns.

A theoretic refinement: The dual process model

After the Rosenblatt et al. (1989) paper was published, a German psychologist, Randolph Ochsman, reported having difficulty replicating MS effects. In contrast to two brief items, Ochsman was reminding people of death by leading them through an extensive guided imagery exercise of imagining their own death and interment. This discrepancy led to an important insight into the processes instigated by MS. Realizing that the initial terror management studies invariably included some kind of intervening experience between the MS manipulation and assessment of the dependent measures (e.g., mood scales, experimenter instructions) we posited that terror management defenses do not occur when death is prominent in consciousness but rather when death is highly accessible but no longer in focal attention. Studies (see Pyszczynski et al., 1999 for a review) initially supported this idea by showing, for example, that MS only leads to worldview defense if there is a non-death-related task between the MS induction and the dependent measure. They also showed that immediately after an MS induction, the accessibility of death-related thought is low. After a delay, in contrast, death-related thought is no longer in focal attention but becomes high in accessibility. Given people’s reluctance to consciously dwell on their own mortality, we hypothesized that, confronted with such thoughts, people initially suppress them. A series of studies supported this reasoning, finding, for example that MS led to immediate increases in death-related accessibility if participants were placed under high cognitive load. Another series of studies further clarified that role of consciousness in MS-induced worldview defenses by showing that worldview defenses emerge in response to unconscious thoughts of death (e.g., when people are exposed to subliminal reminders of mortality).

A dual process model of terror management emerged from this line of research. Explicit thoughts of death instigate direct proximal defenses to remove death-related thoughts from current focal attention. Such “pseudo-rational” mechanisms make death seem like a far-off problem, thereby allowing the individual to stop thinking about it. However, after thoughts of death have been removed from focal attention, death-thought accessibility increases, heightening the potential to experience death-related anxiety. This in turn instigates symbolic distal defenses such as bolstering one’s worldview or self-worth. These terror management defenses then bring death thought accessibility down to baseline levels.

Summary

TMT was originally developed to explain two facts of human experience, namely that people have difficulty getting along with those who are different and that people have a penchant need to feel good about themselves. Research shows that both these propensities are strands in the fabric by which people insulate themselves from deeply rooted fears of mortality. Further, studies have supported a dual process model of the defenses instigated by reminders of mortality. Based on this foundation, the theory has guided investigation of a variety of aspects of human experience, many more so than were envisioned on that snowy day in Utah.

THE GRAVE MATTERS: APPLYING TMT TO CONTEMPORARY HUMAN CONCERNS

TMT is relevant to a wide range of domains of human behavior. Although we have been accused on occasion of trying to explain
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activated thoughts of death, to request higher SPF sun lotions when offered compensatory samples, especially among those who derive self-esteem from extrinsically defined standards (e.g., Arndt et al., 2009). Indeed, following MS, showing those who smoke to fit in with others a commercial about how smoking reduces one’s popularity leads to increased smoking cessation intentions.

The third direction of TMHM research builds from Goldenberg and colleagues’ (2000) work on how reminders of our physical, creatively nutrue intensity terror management concerns. Confrontations with the physical body threaten the illusion of symbolic significance, and thus our psychological security. Research has shown both reminders of death and of the creatively aspects of the body influence health-related attitudes and behavior. For example, they increase negative reactions to breastfeeding and pregnant women, increase perceptions of discomfort regarding mammograms, and reduce the thoroughness of breast self-exams. In sum, TMHM research has shown that the awareness of mortality plays a significant role in attitudes and behavior pertinent to cancer detection and prevention as well as to more general healthful living.

TMT, politics, and intergroup conflict

The awareness of mortality also contributes to intergroup strife, in two primary ways. First, as Becker (1971) noted, the mere presence of groups with worldview very different from one’s own threatens individuals’ terror-assuaging faith in their worldview. We have reviewed research showing that reminders of mortality lead to derogation and even aggression against those who criticize the participant’s worldview or simply subscribe to a different one.

However, in a chapter called “the Nexus of Unfreedom” from The Denial of Death, Becker (1973) elucidated an even more destructive consequence of the fear of death.

He argued that the most blood has been spilled not by those with evil motives but by those who are serving the great leader, God, and country. Our mortality requires us to find something bigger than ourselves for our salvation. When that individual or institution designates some other group as evil or that group is perceived as a threat to the terror-assuaging leader, deity, or entity, violent attempts to eradicate that evil threat commonly result.

In his final book, Becker (1975) also noted that no matter what our worldview is, residual death anxiety will exist and people will seek controllable sources of that anxiety to mask its true cause — scapegoats. So the greatest sense of death transcendence is provided by participating in a heroic triumph over evil. In other words, concerns about mortality will draw people toward worldviews and leaders who provide them most compellingly with a sense that they are part of something great and have a mission to heroically triumph over those who are evil; rigid worldviews and charismatic leaders that clearly delineate who is good and who is evil will do this best. Becker used this analysis to explain something that otherwise seems inexplicable: the rise of Nazism in Germany culminating in the Holocaust (as well as other similar historical phenomena). Indeed, much of the course of human history seems to have been guided by efforts to heroically triumph over evil in the service of the death-transcending ideologies and leaders in which people have invested.

TMT research has supported these ideas in a number of ways. Reminders of mortality draw people toward charismatic leaders and ideologies that sell a simple vision of the greatness of the ingroup and the need to rid the world of evildoers. Using descriptions of hypothetical gubernatorial candidates, Cohen et al. (2004) found that MS increased the appeal of a candidate with a charismatic style; that is, one who exuded self-confidence and emphasized the greatness of the state and nation. As the 2004 American presidential election approached, Americans were faced with a choice that would allow a unique test of the TMT analysis of attraction to leaders. One was George W. Bush, a folksy, at-ease incumbent president who emphasized the greatness of America and the need to rid the world of evildoers, such as the “Axis of Evil.” The other was John Kerry, a Democratic challenger with a stiff speaking style who had a complex, sometimes hard to decipher view of issues and who was portrayed by the Republican spin machine as a waffling flip-flopper. Landau et al. (2004b) posited that MS, as well as reminders of terrorism by virtue of activating fears of death, would increase the appeal of Bush and reduce the appeal over Kerry. And in a series of studies prior to the 2004 election, that’s exactly what they found. Subsequently, with the help of a Bin Laden tape released days before the election, Bush won re-election even though he had invaded a country and embargoed the US in a protracted war based on false claims.

The Cohen study suggests that the Bush effect resulted from Bush’s charismatic style and simple good-versus-evil worldview. However, Bush could have also had an advantage in that he campaigned as the current leader of the nation. In addition, Jost and colleagues (2003) have suggested that conservative rightwing ideologies may serve terror management better than liberal or leftwing ones. However, in the Landau et al. (2004b) studies, despite increasing the preference for Bush, MS did not increase participants’ self-reported political conservatism. In addition, a recent study by Kosloff et al. (2010) building on Cohen et al. found that MS leads people to prefer a charismatic hypothetical leader only if that leader also espouses policies that match the individual’s pre-existing political orientation, whether conservative or liberal.

So MS seems to move people toward those who espouse more straightforward good-versus-evil ideologies as long as those ideologies fit with the individual’s pre-existing worldviews. Based on these findings, Pyszczynski et al. (2006) wondered if MS would also increase the appeal of engaging in violence against those designated by one’s culture as evil. In their first study, they exposed Iranian college students to MS or a control topic, and then asked them to react to a fellow student who espoused either suicide bombing against Americans or peaceful approaches to addressing issues with the US. In the control condition, the Iranian students preferred the peaceful fellow student. However, after MS, they preferred the supporter of suicide bombing, and expressed increased interest in joining the cause themselves.

Lest we’re tempted to confine such reactions to Iranians, Routledge and Arndt (2008) similarly found that British students after MS reported an increased willingness to die for mother England. Further, people don’t just show a proclivity to blow themselves up after MS, but even more so to simply blow up the enemy. Indeed, in a second study, Pyszczynski et al. (2006) manipulated MS and asked conservative and liberal Americans how much they supported extreme military actions, including the use of nuclear weapons, against perceived threats to the US from the Middle East and elsewhere. Compared to the control condition, MS increased conservative participants’ advocacy of such violent measures. Similarly, MS increased violent reactions among Israeliis upset with the then upcoming 2005 pullout from the Gaza Strip and the northern West Bank (Hafetz and Ein-Dor, 2006). Finally, supporting the terror management value of death to the designated evil other, Hayes et al. (2008) showed that after threatening Christians’ worldviews, informing them that 117 Muslims died in a plane crash actually reduced the accessibility of death-related thought and worldview defense.

Taken together, the TMT literature suggests that the threat of mortality increases negativity toward different others and fuels support for simple “we are good, they are evil” worldviews and desires to rid the world of those designated as evil. Thus, research supports the role of terror management needs in intergroup conflict around the world.
WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?
INSIGHTS INTO BETTER WAYS TO
MANAGE TERROR

Given the often destructive means that people use to manage the fear of mortality, how can we reduce such effects? Fortunately, a number of lines of research have addressed this question and suggest ways such responses can be reduced or even reversed. According to Becker, the key is to identify secure, enduring worldviews that provide widely accessible avenues for self-worth to its constituents, but do so in a way that minimizes the costs both to those inside and outside the culture. These insights inspired two broad approaches to mitigating harmful terror management that have been examined empirically.

Fortifying the shield: psychological buffers against thoughts of mortality

The earliest statements of TMT posited that, because self-esteem serves to buffer anxiety, boosting self-esteem or having high dispositional self-esteem should render people less vulnerable to the consequences of awareness of mortality. Harmon-Jones et al. (1997) and others have supported the specific hypothesis that bolstering an individual’s self-worth would enable the person to contemplate mortality—without experiencing subsequent increases in death thought accessibility and associated defensive responses. As long as the threat that other people pose does not undermine their own feelings of self-worth (Ardelt and Greenberg, 1999), self-esteem is capable of offering people psychological protection against responding defensively to reminders of death.

People can also derive protective extension from their attachments with close others. Rank (1941/1958) originally proposed that interpersonal relationships have become an especially important security blanket as dominant religious doctrines have lost some of their luster. In accord with this insight, Mikulincer and others have shown that people who have secure attachments to close others when reminded of death are less likely to respond defensively and advocate violence (e.g., Mikulincer et al., 2003; Weise et al., 2006).

While both relational attachment and self-esteem (and other buffers we don’t have the space to touch on here) reduce consequences of MS, these critical bases of psychological security are often hard to sustain over the lifespan. When they falter, problems with anxiety, depression, and substance abuse are likely. Thus, the more durable the bases of self-worth and attachments, the less destructive an individual’s efforts at terror management are likely to be.

Worldviews exposing tolerance, compassion, and open-mindedness

Another tack for mitigating harmful forms of terror management focuses not on fortifying the existential shield, but on funneled the routes that responses take in more constructive directions. This can be achieved by appealing to worldviews that espouse tolerance and open-mindedness. If an individual values tolerance and MS motivates effort to live up to important values, then MS should increase tolerance. Accordingly, Greenberg and colleagues (1992a) showed that when reminded of death, those who valued morality and felt morally obligated to help others, participants did not respond to MS with increased derogation of those who threatened their beliefs. Such findings of course are hopeful, as people can socialize their children into valuing acceptance of others.

Many components of culture contain such prescriptions, though the message often gets lost. For instance, could religiously advocated compassion encourage more peaceful coexistence with those who are different? To find out, Rothschild et al. (2009) investigated how priming compassionate religious values might redirect the effects of MS on support for violent worldviews. Dutch participants, for example, religious fundamentalists. In these studies, using both American samples as well as a Shia population in Iran, participants were reminded of death or a control topic, and then were presented with either compassionate or neutral religious scripture, or compassionate or neutral secular adages, followed by a measure of support for extreme military responses (against those who challenge the US or toward the US in the case of the Shia). Religious fundamentalists in the control condition were supportive of violent worldview responses regardless of type of teaching. However, fundamentalists reminded of death and exposed to compassionate religious teachings demonstrated a significant decrease in their support for violence. Presumably, the death reminder motivated an increased need to live up to compassionate values when they were linked to the worldview.

Can tolerance and compassion be accentuated without explicit priming? One possibility involves people recontextualizing ingroup identifications to recognize our common humanity with people the world over. For example, Motyl and colleagues (submitted) reminded American participants of their death and then exposed them to photographs of common non-American families from diverse regions of the world, stereotypically American families, or a group of unrelated people. In the neutral and American families conditions, MS elicited an increase in anti-Arab prejudice; however, when reminded of death, participants exposed to humanity shared by all people, MS significantly reduced anti-Arab prejudice. In a second study, Americans were reminded either of death or a control topic, and then presented with brief stories of common childhood experiences ostensibly written by either Americans or people from diverse areas of the world. When presented with American childhood experiences, MS increased hostile prejudice against immigrants; however, when those same childhood experiences where attributed to a foreign author, this negative effect was eliminated.

Encouraging people to consider our shared humanity may serve as an avenue to reducing destructive effects, particularly given the increasing globalization of the world. Such goals may also be facilitated by more generally stimulating open-minded and flexible thinking. By virtue of its utilization of open-minded thinking, creative action may be one way to encourage more acceptance of different others.

The initial research examining creativity and terror management (see Greenberg et al., 2008, for a review) built from the theorizing of Otto Rank (e.g., 1932). Creative engagement, by separating the individual from convention, is directed toward individualizing the person’s valued place within the security-providing worldview. Therefore, when reminded of mortality, creative action can lead to feelings of guilt (an emotion that reflects a desire for social reparation). But fortified with an enhanced sense of such social connection, people can engage in creativity after being reminded of death without experiencing guilt, and can then reap the more positive psychological effects of such activity. Accordingly, after being reminded of death, people are more creative if the product is directed toward communal benefit, but less creative if the product is directed toward individualistic benefit.

This work also suggests that as people are faced with managing existential fears, creativity has the potential to facilitate more optimal engagement with life (Routledge and Arndt, 2009). One study found that socially validated creativity inspires a more open-minded, tolerant view of the world, which might reduce people’s tendency to manage terror by derogating those with conflicting beliefs. Another set of studies showed that priming the cultural value of creativity after MS can even increase willingness to expose oneself to ideas that run counter to prevailing cultural beliefs. This and other research suggests that people can manage the awareness of death in ways that actually embrace the rich diversity of perspectives that the world offers, the possibility of authentic self-transcendence, and intrinsic goal pursuit (see, for example, Lykins et al., 2007).

Finally, individual differences in need for structure may play a role in how people cope
with their mortality concerns. Vess, Routledge et al. (2009) showed in a series of studies that while those high in need for structure prefer more rigid routes to epistemic knowledge (as in the work of Landau et al., 2004a, 2006a), those high in need for structure may utilize more explorative forms of discovery and integrative processing to extract meaning and significance in their life. Thus, again, much of the potential for reducing destructive forms of terror management seems to go back to the content of the worldview to which an individual subscribes. When one’s worldview prescribing prosocial behavior, flexible thinking, or tolerance and compassion, constructive responses to the human existential predicament are likely.

LONG DAY’S JOURNEY INTO NIGHT

TMT has brought the problem of death into social psychology, and we hope that you agree that this has been a valuable (albeit not pleasant to think about) contribution. The threat of death lurks behind most, if not all, the things people care about: health, economic wellbeing, the environment, terrorism, war, close relationships, aging, and spirituality. TMT work has helped clarify how the awareness of mortality contributes to attitudes and behavior regarding these topics—and more—more as well. In our defense of our mortality, we all urgently defend our cherished beliefs and strive hard to make the greatest mark on the world we can. TMT research has shown that these urgencies contribute to the most noble and ignoble forms of human behavior.

TMT work has also raised many questions currently being considered. How do defenses aroused by conscious and unconscious concerns relate to each other? What does TMT work imply about the basic nature of the unconscious? What brain regions contribute to the awareness of mortality and the defenses it instigates? What are the effects of sustained heightened mortality salience over time; for example, for professionals such as oncologists and morticians? One study showed that workers who perform death ceremonies in India had stronger pro-India bias than other workers, independent of an MS induction, whereas other workers were as strongly pro-India as the death ceremony workers only if they were first induced to consider their mortality (Fernandez et al., 2010). But much more research on this issue is needed. Are there particular components of cultural worldviews that are particularly important for terror management? Are there certain beliefs and values that are common to all worldviews because of the way evolutionary theory has shaped our basic motivations, ways of thinking, and emotions (e.g., empathy, desire for justice)? Are there other motivations besides terror management which contribute to the desires to preserve faith in a meaningful world and one’s own significance?

We also like to think that TMT has contributed to a general broadening of the field, a greater awareness of the important roles of culture, unconscious motivations and processes, of emotions, and of core human concerns in social behavior. One aspect of this has been the emergence of the subfield within social psychology known as experimental existential psychology (XXP; Greenberg et al., 2004; Pyszczynski et al., 2010). This subfield focuses on the impact on thought, feeling, and behavior of five major existential concerns: death, meaning, identity, isolation, and freedom. We are hopeful that XXP will continue to progress toward a comprehensive understanding of these core facets of the human condition and their roles in the social phenomena that matter to us most; such a prospect gives us a sense of fundamental satisfaction.
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