

Warmup

Exercise 1 (Cardinality Constraints).

Extend `bool.e.pl` (from assignment #4) to handle cardinality constraints `card/4` with semantics given in the lecture.

- a) Implement the rules together with the required auxiliary predicates.
- b) Introduce a constraint `labeling` together with appropriate rule(s) to label variables.
- c) Cardinality constraints can be combined with the existing Boolean constraints, e.g.

```
card2and @ card(0,1,[X,Y],2) <=> and(X,Y,0).  
card2neg @ card(1,1,[X,Y],2) <=> neg(X,Y).
```

Find similar rules for (at least) `xor` and `nand`.

Constraint-system Rational Tree

Exercise 2.

Implement the CHR-constraint `X eq Y` that succeeds iff $CET \models X \doteq Y$.

Clark's equality theory CET should be coded "naturally", i.e., implement the axioms as propagation rules (whenever possible).

Hints:

- $f(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \dots [f \mid X_1, \dots, X_N]$
- Rules leading to immediate contradiction should go first in the program text.
- For termination reasons pay attention not to have multiple copies of a constraint in the store.

Queries: Unification examples from assignment #1.

Extend your implementation, s.t. queries like `X eq f(Y)`, `Y eq f(X)` can be treated (occur-check). A simple solution introduces one (or several) rule(s) for variable-substitution.

Exercise 3. The constraint theory CT should define the (purely) syntactic inequality $\dot{\neq}$ between two terms along the lines of CET :

<i>irreflexivity</i>	$\forall(x \dot{\neq} x \rightarrow \perp)$
<i>symmetry</i>	$\forall(x \dot{\neq} y \rightarrow y \dot{\neq} x)$
<i>compatibility</i>	$\forall(x_1 \dot{\neq} y_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n \dot{\neq} y_n \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \dot{\neq} f(y_1, \dots, y_n))$
<i>decomposition</i>	$\forall(f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \dot{\neq} f(y_1, \dots, y_n) \rightarrow x_1 \dot{\neq} y_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n \dot{\neq} y_n)$
<i>distinctness</i>	$\forall(\top \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \dot{\neq} g(y_1, \dots, y_m)) \quad \text{if } f \neq g \text{ or } n \neq m$
<i>cylicity</i>	$\forall(\top \rightarrow x \dot{\neq} t) \text{ if } t \text{ is not a variable and } x \text{ appears in } t$

The to be implemented CHR-constraint `X neq Y` should succeed iff $CT \models X \dot{\neq} Y$.

Use the RT-solver implementation from the lecture as blueprint for your implementation. Disjunction, needed for *compatibility* and *decomposition*, should be implemented by a CHR^\vee constraint `one_neq/2` as negated `same_args/` constraint. The two arguments of `one_neq/2` are lists of same length and the CHR^\vee should succeed iff at least on pair of list-elements is unequal.

Note: Using disjunction in CHR^\vee -bodies requires a (mandatory) guard in SICStus Prolog:
`rule @ Head <=> true | (Goal1 ; Goal2).`

Queries:

- (1) `?- X neq f(X)`
- (2) `?- f(a,X) neq f(X,Y)`
- (3) `?- f(g(X),a) neq f(Y,X)`