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Abstract

During the last years, the genomes of more and more species have been sequenced, providing data for phylogenetic reconstruction based on genome rearrangement measures, where the most important distance measures are the reversal distance and the transposition distance. The two main tasks in all phylogenetic reconstruction algorithms is to calculate pairwise distances and to solve the median of three problem. While the reversal distance problem can be solved in linear time, the reversal median problem has been proven to be NP-complete. The status of the transposition distance problem is still open, but it is conjectured to be more difficult than the reversal problem. Therefore, it suggests itself that also the transposition median problem is NP-complete. However, this conjecture could not be proven yet. We now succeeded in giving a non-trivial proof for the NP-completeness of the transposition median problem.
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1. Introduction

Due to the increasing amount of sequenced genomes, the problem of reconstructing phylogenetic trees based on these data is of great interest in computational biology. In the context of genome rearrangements, a genome is usually represented as a permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), where each element represents a gene or synteny block [1], i.e. the permutation represents the shuffled ordering of the genes or synteny blocks on the genome. Additionally, the strandedness of the genes can be taken into account by giving each element an orientation. In the multiple genome rearrangement problem, one searches for a phylogenetic tree describing the most “plausible” rearrangement scenario for multiple genomes. Formally, given \( k \) genomes and a distance measure \( d \), find a tree \( T \) with the \( k \) genomes as leaf nodes and assign ancestral genomes to internal nodes of \( T \) such that the tree is optimal w.r.t. \( d \), i.e. the sum of rearrangement distances over all edges of the tree is minimal. If \( k = 3 \), i.e. one searches for an ancestor such that the sum of the distances from this ancestor to three given genomes is minimized, we speak of the median problem. This is the simplest form of multiple genome rearrangement problem, and it is used in all current state-of-the-art algorithms as a subroutine. However, even this problem has been proven to be NP-complete for most distance measures. In the context of comparative genomics, the following distance measures have been extensively studied during the last decades.

- The breakpoint distance is trivial to compute, and therefore has been proposed by Sankoff and Blanchette to be used in the multiple genome rearrangement problem [2]. The breakpoint median problem is a special
case of the travelling salesman problem (TSP) \cite{2} and has been proven to be NP-complete by Pe’er and Shamir \cite{3}. Nevertheless, it can be solved very fast in practice by using algorithms for the TSP \cite{2}.

- The reversal distance is more complex than the breakpoint distance, but still can be computed in linear time \cite{4}. However, using the reversal distance results in biologically more realistic scenarios \cite{5}. The reversal median problem has been proven to be NP-complete by Caprara \cite{6}, and currently both heuristic \cite{7–9} and exact \cite{6, 10} algorithms are used.

- The status of the transposition distance is still open. Small instances can be solved using branch-and-bound techniques \cite{11, 12}, for larger instances there are good approximation algorithms \cite{13, 14}. The problem is conjectured to be more difficult than the reversal distance problem, and with the NP-hardness of the reversal median, it suggests itself that also the transposition median problem is NP-hard. However, this conjecture has not been proven so far. Because of the open status of the transposition distance problem, the transposition median problem has rarely been studied, and there are currently only two algorithms that tackle this problem \cite{15, 12}.

- The reversal and transposition distance takes into account both reversals and transpositions, where the operations can be weighted differently. The complexity of this problem directly depends on the complexity of the transposition distance problem, therefore it is still open, and the distance can currently only be computed by approximation algorithms \cite{16–18}. Also the status of the reversal and transposition median
problem remains open, and there is currently only one algorithm that tackles this problem [12].

- To overcome the problems of the reversal and transposition distance, Yancopoulos et al. [19] introduced the DCJ distance. This distance can be computed very fast, and also allows to focus on multichromosomal genomes. Although the DCJ median problem is NP-complete [6, 20], it has been studied intensively during the last years, resulting in several exact and heuristic algorithms that are fast enough for practical use [21–24].

In this paper, we will prove that the transposition median problem is NP-complete. The proof is inspired by Caprara’s proof of the NP-completeness of the reversal median problem. Caprara used a series of reductions, beginning with the Eulerian cycle decomposition problem (ECD), which has been proven to be NP-complete by Holyer [25]. As first step, he reduced ECD to the alternating cycle decomposition problem (ACD) [26]. Then, he reduced ACD to the cycle median problem (CMP) and finally CMP to the reversal median problem [6]. Adapting these reductions to the transposition median problem raises two problems. First, the transposition distance does not depend on the overall number of cycles in the so-called multiple breakpoint graph, but on the number of odd cycles, i.e. we have to prove the NP-completeness of the odd cycle median problem (OCMP). Second, the last reduction requires us to know whether a permutation is hurdle-free w.r.t. another permutation. While this is easy to decide for the reversal distance, this is an open problem for the transposition distance.

The paper is organized as follows. We give the basic definitions and results
from the literature in Section 2. In Section 3, we start a series of reductions, beginning with a modified version of ECD. We will show that OCMP is NP-complete, and use another reduction to prove the NP-completeness of the transposition median problem. In Section 4, we discuss the consequences of this proof for closely related distance measures.

2. Preliminaries

Let \( \pi = (\pi_1 \ldots \pi_n) \) be a permutation of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). A transposition \( t(i, j, k) \) (with \( i < j < k \)) cuts the segment \( \pi_i \ldots \pi_{j-1} \) out of \( \pi \), and reinserts it before the element \( \pi_k \), yielding the permutation \( t(i, j, k)\pi = (\pi_1 \ldots \pi_{i-1}\pi_j \ldots \pi_{k-1}\pi_i \ldots \pi_{j-1}\pi_k \ldots \pi_n) \). The transposition distance \( d(\pi^1, \pi^2) \) is the minimum number of transpositions that is required to transform the permutation \( \pi^1 \) into the permutation \( \pi^2 \). The transposition median problem (short TMP) is defined as follows. Given three permutations \( \pi^1, \pi^2, \) and \( \pi^3 \), find a permutation \( \sigma \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^3 d(\sigma, \pi^i) \) is minimized. In order to prove the NP-hardness of TMP, it is more convenient to write it as a decision problem. Let \( \pi^1, \pi^2, \) and \( \pi^3 \) be permutations, and let \( k \) be an integer. Then, \( (\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3, k) \in TMP \) if and only if there is a permutation \( \sigma \) with \( \sum_{i=1}^3 d(\sigma, \pi^i) \leq k \). The proof consists of several polynomial reductions, beginning at the well-known 3SAT problem. More precisely, we will prove that \( 3SAT \leq_p MDECD \leq_p OCMP \leq_p TMP \), where MDECD is the marked directed Eulerian cycle decomposition problem and OCMP is the odd cycle median problem. The problem MDECD is defined as follows. Let \( k \) be an integer, and let \( G = (V, E) \) be a directed graph where \( k \) of its edges are marked. Then, \( (G, k) \in MDECD \) if and only if \( G \) can be partitioned
into edge-disjoint cycles such that each marked edge is in a different cycle. Note that the decomposition may contain cycles that do not contain a marked edge. This problem is a slight modification of the *Eulerian cycle decomposition problem* (short ECD), which has been proven to be NP-hard by Holyer [25]. The problem OCMP requires the definition of the *multiple breakpoint graph*, therefore a mathematical definition of OCMP will be given after the introduction of this graph in Subsection 2.2.

2.1. Sorting by Transpositions revisited

Before we focus on TMP, we first have to examine the transposition distance between two permutations $\pi^1$ and $\pi^2$ more closely. A key tool for this is the *breakpoint graph*, which has been introduced in [27] and can be constructed as follows. First, write $\pi^1$ on a straight line. Then, replace each element $\pi^1_i$ by the two nodes $v_{\pi^1_it}$ and $v_{\pi^1_ih}$, and add the node $v_b$ at the beginning and $v_e$ at the end. Note that this gives us an ordering on the nodes, i.e. we can compare two nodes by the $<$ operator. Now, add *red edges* $\{(v_{\pi^1_ih}, v_{\pi^1_{i+1}t}) \mid 1 \leq i < n\} \cup \{(v_b, v_{\pi^1_1t}), (v_{\pi^1_{n-1}h}, v_e)\}$, and *black edges* $\{(v_{\pi^2_ih}, v_{\pi^2_{i+1}t}) \mid 1 \leq i < n\} \cup \{(v_b, v_{\pi^2_1t}), (v_{\pi^2_{n-1}h}, v_e)\}$ (note that we changed the coloring of the edges from black/gray in [27] to red/black, because this corresponds to the colors we use in the multiple breakpoint graph). An example of a breakpoint graph is given in Fig. 1. The breakpoint graph naturally decomposes into cycles of edges with alternating colors. A cycle is called an *$l$-cycle* if it contains $l$ black edges. If $l \geq 3$, the cycle is called a *long cycle*, otherwise it is called a *short cycle*. An $l$-cycle is called an *odd cycle* if $l$ is odd, otherwise it is called an *even cycle*. Let $c_{\text{odd}}(\pi^1, \pi^2)$ denote the number of odd cycles in the breakpoint graph of $\pi^1$ and $\pi^2$. We say two black edges
Figure 1: The breakpoint graph for $\pi^1 = (1\ 3\ 2)$ and $\pi^2 = (1\ 2\ 3)$.

$(u, v)$ and $(x, y)$ (with $u < v$ and $x < y$) intersect if $u < x < v < y$ or $x < u < y < v$. Two cycles intersect if they have intersecting black edges. A transposition on $\pi^1$ removes three red edges $(u, v), (x, y)$, and $(a, b)$ (with $u < v < x < y < a < b$), and replaces them by the red edges $(u, y), (a, v)$, and $(x, b)$. We say the transposition acts on these edges. A configuration is a subgraph of a breakpoint graph. If a transposition just acts on edges of a given configuration, cycles that are not in this configuration remain unchanged. Thus, configurations are useful to examine the local effect of a transposition.

**Lemma 1.** [28] For permutations $\pi^1$ and $\pi^2$, the following inequation holds.\[\frac{n+1-\text{c}_{\text{odd}}(\pi^1, \pi^2)}{2} \leq d(\pi^1, \pi^2) \leq \frac{1.5(n+1-\text{c}_{\text{odd}}(\pi^1, \pi^2))}{2}\]

If for two permutations $\pi^1$ and $\pi^2$, the transposition distance equals the lower bound, we say that $\pi^1$ is hurdle-free w.r.t $\pi^2$ and vice versa.

**Lemma 2.** Let $\pi^1$ and $\pi^2$ be two permutations. If there is a transposition $t(i, j, k)$ such that $\text{c}_{\text{odd}}(t(i, j, k)\pi^1, \pi^2) - \text{c}_{\text{odd}}(\pi^1, \pi^2) = 2$ and $t(i, j, k)\pi^1$ is hurdle-free w.r.t. $\pi^2$, then $\pi^1$ is hurdle-free w.r.t. $\pi^2$. 
Proof.

\[
d(\pi^1, \pi^2) = d(t(i, j, k)\pi^1, \pi^2) + 1 \\
= \frac{n + 1 - c_{\text{odd}}(t(i, j, k)\pi^1, \pi^2)}{2} + 1 \\
= \frac{n + 1 - c_{\text{odd}}(\pi^1, \pi^2)}{2}
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 3.** If the breakpoint graph of \(\pi^1\) and \(\pi^2\) contains only short cycles, then \(\pi^1\) is hurdle-free w.r.t. \(\pi^2\).

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction on the number of 2-cycles in the breakpoint graph. If it contains only 1-cycles, then \(d(\pi^1, \pi^2) = 0\), and \(\pi^1\) is clearly hurdle-free w.r.t. \(\pi^2\). Otherwise, according to [27], there are two consecutive transpositions that transform two 2-cycles into four 1-cycles (note that the number of even cycles is always even, see [11]), i.e. both transpositions increase the number of odd cycles by 2. As the resulting permutation is hurdle-free by induction hypothesis, the proposition follows by applying Lemma 2 twice. \[\square\]

**Lemma 4.** If a breakpoint graph contains red edges \((u, v), (x, y), (a, b)\) (with \(u < v\), \(x < y\), and \(a < b\)) and intersecting black edges \((u, y), (x, b)\), then a transposition acting on these red edges splits an \(l\)-cycle into an \((l - 2)\)-cycle and two 1-cycles with edges \((u, y)\) and \((x, b)\).

Proof. As the black edges are intersecting, the ordering of the nodes must be \(u < v < x < y < a < b\) or \(a < b < u < v < x < y\) or \(x < y < a < b < u < v\). In all cases, the transposition creates red edges \((u, y), (x, b),\) and \((a, v)\). The
black edges remain unchanged, thus the two 1-cycles with edges \((u, y)\) and \((x, b)\) are split from the \(l\)-cycle. For an illustration, see Fig. 2.

### 2.2. Multiple breakpoint graphs

The key tool to examine TMP is the *multiple breakpoint graph* (short MB graph), due to [6]. Before defining the MB graph, we first need some definitions. Given a set of nodes \(V = \{v_b, v_{1t}, v_{1h}, v_{2t}, v_{2h}, \ldots, v_{nt}, v_{nh}, v_e\}\), a *perfect matching* \(M\) is a set of edges such that each node in \(V\) is endpoint of exactly one edge in \(M\). The perfect matching associated with a permutation \(\pi\) is defined by

\[
M(\pi) = \{(v_b, v_{\pi_1}), (v_{\pi_n}, v_e)\} \cup \{(v_{\pi_i}, v_{\pi_{i+1}}) \mid 1 \leq i < n\}
\]

If a perfect matching \(M\) is associated with a permutation, i.e. \(M = M(\sigma)\) for a permutation \(\sigma\), then \(M\) is called a *permutation matching*. Given permutations \(\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q\), the MB graph \(G(\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q) = (V, E)\) is an edge colored multigraph (i.e. it can contain parallel edges with common endpoints) with node set \(V = \{v_b, v_{1t}, v_{1h}, v_{2t}, v_{2h}, \ldots, v_{nt}, v_{nh}, v_e\}\) and edge set \(E = M(\pi^1) \cup \cdots \cup M(\pi^q)\), where the edges of \(M(\pi^i)\) have the color \(i\). In the
Figure 3: The MB graph for $\pi^1 = (1\ 2\ 3)$, $\pi^2 = (1\ 3\ 2)$, and $\pi^3 = (3\ 2\ 1)$. The graph contains 2 odd red/green cycles, 2 odd green/blue cycles, and 2 even red/blue cycles.

Following, let color 1 be red, let color 2 be green, and let color 3 be blue. For an example, see Fig. 3. The edges of two perfect matchings $M(\pi^i)$, $M(\pi^j)$ decompose the MB graph into cycles, corresponding to the cycles in the breakpoint graph of $\pi^i$ and $\pi^j$. The odd cycle median problem (short OCMP) is defined as follows. Let $\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3$ be permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and let $k$ be an integer. Then, $(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3, k) \in OCMP$ if and only if there is a permutation $\sigma$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\sigma, \pi^i) \geq k$. Solving an OCMP instance is equivalent to finding a permutation matching $M(\sigma)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\sigma, \pi^i)$ is maximized. This sum is also called the solution value of $M(\sigma)$. In the following, let the edges of every permutation matching we examine be black.

We will now examine which conditions a graph has to fulfill to be a valid MB graph.

**Lemma 5.** Let $V^t$ and $V^h$ be two disjoint node sets, and let $G' = (V^t \cup V^h, M^1 \cup M^2 \cup \ldots M^q)$ be an edge-colored graph, where each $M^i$ is a perfect matching, each edge in $M^i$ has color $i$, and each edge connects a node in $V^t$ with a node in $V^h$. Furthermore, let $H$ be a perfect matching such that each edge in $H$ connects a node in $V^t$ with a node in $V^h$, and $H \cup M^i$ defines
a Hamiltonian cycle of $V^t \cup V^h$ (i.e. a cycle that visits every node in the graph) for $1 \leq i \leq q$. Then, there exist permutations $\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q$ such that $G'$ is isomorphic to the MB graph $G(\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q)$.

Proof. We will give a constructive proof on how to create the permutations $\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q$ such that $G'$ is isomorphic to the MB graph $G(\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q)$. For this, set $n = |V^t| - 1$. Now, arbitrarily label the nodes in $V^t$ with $v_{1t}, v_{2t}, \ldots, v_{nt}, v_b$. Label the nodes in $V^h$ such that $H = \{(v_{1t}, v_{ih}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{(v_b, v_e)\}$. Let $v_b, v_{j_1t}, v_{j_1h}, v_{j_2t}, \ldots, v_{j_nh}, v_e, v_b$ be the Hamiltonian cycle defined by $H \cup M^j$. Then, set $\pi^j = (j_1 \ldots j_n)$. It is clear to see that $\pi^j$ is a valid permutation, and the perfect matching associated with $\pi^j$ is $M^j$. Therefore, with the given node labeling, $G(\pi^1, \ldots, \pi^q) = G'$.

In the following, such a matching $H$ is called a base matching of the graph. For a closer examination whether a base matching exists, we need another important notion, introduced in [6]. Given a perfect matching $M$ on a node set $V$ and an edge $e = (u, v)$, $M/e$ is defined as follows. If $e \in M$, $M/e = M \setminus \{e\}$. Otherwise, letting $(a, u), (b, v)$ be the two edges in $M$ incident to $u$ and $v$, $M/e = M \setminus \{(a, u), (b, v)\} \cup \{e\}$.

Lemma 6. [6] Given two perfect matchings $M, L$ of $V$ and an edge $e = (u, v) \in M$ with $e \notin L$, $M \cup L$ defines a Hamiltonian cycle of $V$ if and only if $(M/e) \cup (L/e)$ defines a Hamiltonian cycle of $V \setminus \{u, v\}$.

Given an MB graph $G = (V, M(\pi^1) \cup \cdots \cup M(\pi^q))$, the contraction of an edge $e = (u, v)$ yields the graph $G/e = (V \setminus \{u, v\}, M(\pi^1)/e \cup \cdots \cup M(\pi^q)/e)$. For an example, see Fig. 4.
Figure 4: The contraction of the edge $(v_3h, v_e)$ in the left graph (dotted edge) yields the right graph.

**Lemma 7.** Let $V^t$ and $V^h$ be two disjoint node sets, and let $G = (V = V^t \cup V^h, M^1 \cup M^2)$ be an edge-colored graph, where $M^1$ ($M^2$) is a perfect matching with red (green) edges, and each edge connects a node in $V^t$ with a node in $V^h$. If $M^1 \cup M^2$ define an even number of even cycles on $V$, then $G$ has a base matching $H$.

**Proof.** We prove this lemma by an induction on the size of $V^t$. If $|V^t| = 1$, then the graph consists of just one parallel red and green edge, and there trivially exists a base matching $H$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 5, i.e. both $H \cup M^1$ and $H \cup M^2$ define a Hamiltonian cycle on $V$. For $|V^t| > 1$, we must distinguish two cases. If $M^1 \cup M^2$ defines at least two cycles on $V$, then there are nodes $u \in V^t$ and $v \in V^h$ such that these nodes are in different cycles. The contraction of $e = (u, v)$ merges these two cycles, and the resulting cycle is even if and only if exactly one of the merged cycles was even. In other words, the contraction of $e$ reduces $|V^t|$ by 1 and does not change the parity of the number of even cycles. Due to the induction hypothesis, $G/e$ has a base matching $H$. According to Lemma 6, $H \cup \{e\}$ is a base matching of $G$. The case where $M^1 \cup M^2$ defines just one cycle
can be proven similarly. This cycle must be odd, and has at least length 3. Therefore, there are nodes $u, v$ such that the edge $e = (u, v)$ is neither in $M^1$ nor in $M^2$. The contraction of $e$ splits the cycle, and again the parity of the number of even cycles cannot be changed. With the same argumentation as above, it follows that a base matching $H$ of $G/e$ can be extended to a base matching $H \cup \{e\}$ of $G$. \hfill \Box

3. The Complexity of OCMP and TMP

In this section, we will use a series of reductions to show that both OCMP and TMP are NP-hard. The proof that both problems are in NP is trivial, thus it directly follows that both problems are NP-complete. The starting problem in our hardness proofs is MDECD, which is a small modification of ECD.

**Theorem 1.** [25] ECD is NP-hard.

**Lemma 8.** MDECD is NP-hard.

**Proof.** By following the proof for ECD in [25] and simply directing the edges in the graph construction, one can prove that partitioning a directed graph into edge-disjoint cycles of length 3 is NP-hard (see also [29]). Furthermore, the edges of the graph can be partitioned into 3 groups such that each cycle of length 3 must contain one edge of each group. While Holyer used this fact to extend his proof to cycles of arbitrary length, we mark all edges of one group, i.e. each possible cycle of length 3 contains exactly one marked cycle. This completes the proof for $k = |E|/3$. \hfill \Box
In the following, we will assume that for an MDECD instance, each node has the same in- and out-degree.

3.1. Reduction from MDECD to OCMP

In order to prove the NP-hardness of OCMP, we first have to show that MDECD is NP-hard even when the in- and out-degree of all nodes is bounded by 2. Next, we provide a transformation from a directed graph $G$ with bounded degree to an MB graph $G'(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3)$ such that $(G,k) \in MDECD \iff (\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3, f(G,k)) \in OCMP$ (where $f(G,k)$ is a function that can be evaluated in polynomial time).

A permutation network is a directed graph $Y_d$ where $2d$ of the nodes are labelled by $i_1, \ldots, i_d, o_1, \ldots, o_d$ (the input and output nodes). Furthermore, for each permutation $\rho \in \Sigma_d$, there are edge-disjoint paths $p_1, \ldots, p_d$ in $Y_d$ such that path $p_j$ goes from $i_j$ to $o_{\rho(j)}$.

Lemma 9. [30] For each $d$, a permutation network $Y_d$ of size $O(d \log d)$ can be constructed in polynomial time. Furthermore, for each node $v$ in $Y_d$, the following proposition holds.

- $\text{deg}_{\text{in}}(v) \leq \text{deg}_{\text{out}}(v) \leq 2$ if $v$ is an input node.
- $\text{deg}_{\text{out}}(v) \leq \text{deg}_{\text{in}}(v) \leq 2$ if $v$ is an output node.
- $\text{deg}_{\text{in}}(v) = \text{deg}_{\text{out}}(v) \leq 2$ if $v$ is an inner node.

By adding edges from the output nodes to the input nodes, it is possible to obtain a permutation network $Y_d'$ where $\text{deg}_{\text{out}}(i) - \text{deg}_{\text{in}}(i) = 1$ for all input nodes $i$, and $\text{deg}_{\text{in}}(o) - \text{deg}_{\text{out}}(o) = 1$ for all output nodes $o$.

Let $G$ be a directed graph with $k$ marked edges. We obtain the graph $\hat{G}$ by
replacing each node $v$ in $G$ with degree $d > 4$ by a $Y'_d/2$. The incoming edges in $v$ are arbitrarily connected to the input nodes of the corresponding $Y_{d/2}$, and the outgoing edges in $v$ are connected to its output nodes (see Fig. 5). Note that in $\hat{G}$, all nodes $v$ satisfy $deg_{in}(v) = deg_{out}(v) \leq 2$.

Lemma 10. $(G, k) \in MDECD$ if and only if $(\hat{G}, k) \in MDECD$.

Proof. If $(G, k) \in MDECD$, we can map the cycles in $G$ to cycles in $\hat{G}$ by adding the corresponding paths through the permutation network for each node in a cycle. As the paths through the permutation network are edge-disjoint, the cycles in $\hat{G}$ also are edge-disjoint. Because all nodes $v$ satisfy $deg_{in}(v) = deg_{out}(v)$, the remaining edges in the permutation network can be partitioned into edge-disjoint cycles. Thus, $\hat{G}$ can be partitioned into edge-disjoint cycles and each marked edge is in a different cycle, i.e. $(\hat{G}, k) \in MDECD$. On the other hand, if $(\hat{G}, k) \in MDECD$, then we can remove the paths in the permutation networks from each cycle to obtain a cycle decomposition of $G$, i.e. $(G, k) \in MDECD$.

The transformation from $G$ to $\hat{G}$ can be computed in polynomial time,
i.e., the construction of $\hat{G}$ describes a polynomial reduction from MDECD to MDECD with bounded node degrees.

**Theorem 2.** MDECD is NP-hard even when the degree of all nodes is bounded by 4. Furthermore, the claim still holds for graphs where $|V| + |E| - k$ is odd.

**Proof.** The first proposition directly follows from Lemmas 9 and 10. Now, assume that our transformation resulted in a graph $\hat{G} = (V, E)$ where $|V| + |E| - k$ is even. We further transform $\hat{G}$ into $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ as follows (see also Fig. 6). Let $v_1$ and $v_2$ be two nodes of degree 2 that are not connected by an edge (if no such nodes exist, they can be created by splitting a non-marked edge without changing the parity of $|V| + |E| - k$). Create a new node $v_x$ and set $\tilde{V} = V \cup \{v_x\}$, $\tilde{E} = E \cup \{(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_x), (v_x, v_1)\}$, where $(v_x, v_1)$ is a marked edge. As we added a cycle with one marked edge, it is clear to see that if $(G, k) \in MDECD$, then $(\tilde{G}, k + 1) \in MDECD$. On the other hand, each cycle decomposition of $\tilde{G}$ can be modified such that the added edges form one cycle. This leads to a cycle decomposition of $G$, i.e. $(\tilde{G}, k + 1) \in MDECD$ implies $(G, k) \in MDECD$. Together with the fact that $|\tilde{V}| + |\tilde{E}| - (k + 1)$ is odd, the second proposition follows. \(\square\)

Now, let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph with $k$ marked edges, $\text{deg}_{\text{in}}(v) = \text{deg}_{\text{out}}(v) \leq 2 \ \forall v \in V$, and $|V| + |E| - k$ odd. Let $V_2$ be the nodes with $\text{deg}(v) = 2$, and let $V_4$ be the nodes with $\text{deg}(v) = 4$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be an Eulerian cycle in $G$ (which clearly exists and can be computed in polynomial time). We will now describe a polynomial transformation from $G$ to an MB graph $G' = (V', E')$ (for a graphical representation, see Fig. 7).
Figure 6: Transformation of $\hat{G} = (V, E)$ into $\tilde{G} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$, such that $|\tilde{V}| + |\tilde{E}| - k$ is odd. Marked edges are dashed.

1. For each node $v \in V_2$, $G'$ contains a subgraph $W_2$ with node set $\{v_-, v_+\}$, and a parallel red and green edge $(v_-, v_+)$. The node $v_-$ is called the input node of the $W_2$, and $v_+$ is called the output node.

2. For each node $v \in V_4$, $G'$ contains a subgraph $W_4$ with node set $\{v_1-, v_1+, \ldots, v_4-, v_4+\}$, red edges $\{(v_1-, v_3+), (v_2-, v_2+), (v_3-, v_1+), (v_4-, v_4+)\}$; green edges $\{(v_1-, v_2+), (v_2-, v_1+), (v_3-, v_3+), (v_4-, v_4+)\}$, and blue edges $\{(v_3-, v_4+), (v_4-, v_3+)\}$. The nodes $v_1-$ and $v_2-$ are called the input nodes of the $W_4$, and $v_1+$ and $v_2+$ are called the output nodes.

3. For each marked edge $(v, w) \in E$, there is a blue edge $(v', w')$ in $G'$ which connects the corresponding subgraphs of $v$ and $w$. $v'$ is always an output node of the corresponding subgraph, and $w'$ is an input node of the corresponding subgraph.

4. For each non-marked edge $(v, w) \in E$, $G'$ contains two nodes $v_-, v_+$, a parallel red and green edge $(v_-, v_+)$, and two blue edges $(v', v_-)$ and $(v_+, w')$, where $v'$ is an output node of the subgraph corresponding to $v$, and $w'$ is an input node of the subgraph corresponding to $w$.

5. The endpoints of a blue edge are always chosen such that each node is
Figure 7: Transformation steps from a Graph $G$ to an MB graph $G'$. (a) a $W_2$ (b) a $W_4$ (c) transformation of a non-marked edge.

incident to exactly one blue edge. Furthermore, if $v \in V_4$ and $\mathcal{E}$ contains two consecutive edges $(u, v), (v, w)$, the corresponding blue edges are either of the form $(u', v_1), (v_2, w')$ or $(u', v_2), (v_1, w')$. Thus, the Eulerian cycle $\mathcal{E}$ is transformed into a cycle of alternating green and blue edges that passes each $V_2$ and $V_4$. This cycle contains one green edge for each $V_2$, for each $V_4$, and for each non-marked edge.

**Lemma 11.** $G'$ is an MB graph, and a base matching $H$ can be calculated in polynomial time.

**Proof.** The node set $V'$ can be divided into $V^-$ and $V^+$ such that $V^-$ contains all nodes $v_-, v_{1-}, v_{2-}, v_{3-}, v_{4-}$, and $V^+$ contains all nodes $v_+, v_{1+}, v_{2+}, v_{3+}, v_{4+}$. Thus, all red, green, and blue edges connect a node in $V^-$ with a node in $V^+$. According to Lemma 5, it remains to show that there is a base matching $H$. This base matching can be built iteratively as follows. For each $W_4$ in $G'$, we add the edges $(v_{2-}, v_{3+}), (v_{3-}, v_{2+})$, and $(v_{4-}, v_{1+})$ to $H$. Then, we contract
these edges. Let \((u, v_1), (v, v_2), (w, v_1), (x, v_2)\) be the incoming/outgoing blue edges of a \(W_4\). Then, after the contraction, we have the blue edges 

\((u, v_1), (x, v_2), (v, w)\) and a parallel red and green edge \((v_1, v_4)\). If we would now merge \(v_1\) and \(v_4\), we would restore the Eulerian cycle \(E\). Therefore, we have now an Eulerian cycle of alternating blue and red/green edges. This cycle contains one green edge for each \(V_2\), for each \(V_4\), and for each non-marked edge. This is equivalent to the number of vertices plus the number of non-marked edges in \(G\). Because we assumed that in \(G\), \(|V| + |E| - k\) is odd, this cycle is also odd. Therefore, the preconditions of Lemma 7 are fulfilled, and we can continue with the algorithm devised there.

**Lemma 12.** Let \(G'\) be an MB graph with base matching \(H\) that has been constructed as described above. Then, every perfect matching \(M\) containing only edges parallel to red and green edges is a permutation matching.

**Proof.** If we divide \(V'\) into \(V^-\) and \(V^+\) as described above, every edge of \(M\) connects a node in \(V^-\) with a node in \(V^+\). For every \(W_4\) in the MBG, \(M\) must be parallel either to all green edges or to all red edges. After contracting all edges of \(H\) in each \(W_4\), the set of red and green edges and \(M\) are identical. Because the red and green edges are permutation matchings, \(M\) must also be a permutation matching.

We call a perfect matching canonical if, whenever there is a parallel red and green edge, these edges are also parallel to an edge in \(M\).

**Lemma 13.** Given a permutation matching \(M(\sigma)\) on \(G'\), it is always possible to find a canonical matching whose solution value is at least as good.
Proof. Let $M$ be a perfect matching, and let $v$ and $w$ be two nodes that are connected by a red and a green edge, but not by a black edge. Assume that there are black edges $(x, v)$ and $(y, w)$. We replace these edges with the black edges $(x, y)$ and $(u, v)$. This splits both a red/black and a green/black cycle, but may merge two blue/black cycles. If the red/black cycle or the green/black cycle was an even cycle, or we did not merge two odd blue/black cycles, the number of odd cycles is not decreased. Otherwise, the number of odd cycles is decreased by 2, and the red/black, green/black, and blue/black cycle containing the black edge $(x, y)$ are even cycles. Therefore, exchanging the endpoints of $(x, y)$ with those of another black edge cannot remove any further odd cycles. However, such an exchange is possible such that the red/black cycle is split into two odd cycles, i.e. this operation increases the number of odd cycles by at least 2. Both operations together do not decrease the overall number of odd cycles. These steps can be repeated until $M$ is a canonical matching.

Lemma 14. A canonical matching has at most $k$ odd blue/black cycles.

Proof. By construction, $G'$ contains pairs of blue edges that are separated by a parallel red and green edge. These pairs contain all blue edges, except those that correspond to a marked edge in $G$. Thus, every odd blue/black cycle must contain at least one blue edge corresponding to a marked edge in $G$. As there are only $k$ marked edges in $G$, there can be at most $k$ odd blue/black cycles if the black edges are a canonical matching.

Lemma 15. For every perfect matching, the sum of the number of red/black and green/black odd cycles is $\leq 2|V_2| + 6|V_4| + 2|E| - 2k$. The equality holds if and only if all black edges are parallel to a red or green edge.
Proof. If $e$ is a black edge in a red/black $k_r$-cycle, then let the red score of $e$ be $1/k_r$ if $k_r$ is odd, 0 otherwise. The green score is defined analogously for green/black cycles. The score of a black edge is the sum of its red and green score. Clearly, the number of red/black and green/black odd cycles is the sum of the scores of all black edges. If a red edge, a green edge, and a black edge are parallel, then the score of the black edge is 2, which maximizes this value. This score can be achieved by at most $|V_2| + |V_4| + |E| - k$ black edges, because this is the number of parallel red and green edges. The second best possible score is $4/3$, and it is achieved if and only if a black edge is in a red/black 1-cycle and a green/black 3-cycle or vice versa. If all edges in a perfect matching are parallel to a red or green edge, the black edges in each $W_4$ are parallel to edges of the same color, forming four 1-cycles with this color and a 1-cycle and a 3-cycle with the edges of the other color. Thus, the number of black edges with score 2 is maximized, all other black edges have score $4/3$, leading to an overall score of $2 \cdot \#W_2 + 2 \cdot \#W_4 + 2 \cdot \#\text{non-marked edges} + 4 \cdot \#W_4 = 2|V_2| + 6|V_4| + 2|E| - 2k$. If the matching contains a black edge that is neither parallel to a red nor to a green edge, then the score of this edge is $< 4/3$, and the sum of all scores can no longer be maximal. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.** There is a permutation matching $M(\sigma)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\sigma, \pi^i) = 2|V_2| + 6|V_4| + 2|E| - k$ if and only if $(G, k) \in MDECD$.

**Proof.** According to Lemma 13, it is sufficient to consider canonical matchings. Together with Lemmas 14 and 15, it follows that the maximum number of cycles is $2|V_2| + 6|V_4| + 2|E| - k$, and this can only be achieved if each black edge is parallel to a red or green edge. Then, all black edges in one $W_4$ must be parallel to edges of the same color. Depending on whether they are
parallel to the red or the green edges, we get blue/black paths from \( v_{1-} \) to \( v_{1+} \) and from \( v_{2-} \) to \( v_{2+} \), or from \( v_{1-} \) to \( v_{2+} \) and from \( v_{2-} \) to \( v_{1+} \). Thus, there is a one-to-one correspondence between black/blue cycles in \( G' \) and cycles in \( G \) (except for possible even black/blue cycles that are completely within a \( W_4 \)).

A black/blue cycle in \( G' \) is odd if the corresponding cycle in \( G \) contains an odd number of marked edges. Therefore, if there is a permutation matching \( M(\sigma) \) with
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\sigma, \pi^i) = 2|V_2| + 6|V_4| + 2|E| - k,
\]
it defines \( k \) blue/black odd cycles. These cycles describe the partitioning of \( G \) into \( k \) edge-disjoint cycles such that each cycle contains a marked edge, i.e. \((G, k) \in MDECD\).

On the other hand, such a partitioning of \( G \) can be used to obtain a permutation matching \( M(\sigma) \) with
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\sigma, \pi^i) = 2|V_2| + 6|V_4| + 2|E| - k.
\]

**Corollary 1.** OCMP is NP-complete.

### 3.2. Reduction from OCMP to TMP

To prove the NP-hardness of TMP, we describe a transformation from an MB graph \( G(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3) \) into an MB graph \( G(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3) \), such that every permutation \( \tilde{\sigma} \) that minimizes \( \sum_{i=1}^{3} d(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) \) also maximizes \( \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) \).

Let \( G(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3) = (V, M(\pi^1) \cup M(\pi^2) \cup M(\pi^3)) \) be an arbitrary MB graph with base matching \( H \), and let \( M(\sigma) \) be a canonical matching that maximizes \( \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\sigma, \pi^i) \). First, we will modify the MB graph such that \( \sigma \) is hurdle-free w.r.t. \( \pi^1 \). Although we do not know \( M(\sigma) \), we can presume that some edges of \( M(\sigma) \) are given due to the fact that it is a canonical matching. With these edges, we already get some red/black cycles and paths. Thus, there are red edges that are certainly not in a long red/black cycle. Let \((u, v)\) be a red edge that might be in a long red/black cycle, and let \((x, u), (v, y)\) be the
adjacent edges of the base matching $H$. We transform the MB graph into an MB graph $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3) = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{M}^1 \cup \tilde{M}^2 \cup \tilde{M}^3)$ with base matching $\tilde{H}$ as follows (for a graphical representation, see Fig. 8).

1. $\tilde{V} = V \cup \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h\}$.
2. $\tilde{H} = H \setminus \{(x, u), (v, y)\} \cup \{(x, a), (b, c), (d, e), (f, u), (v, g), (h, y)\}$
3. $\tilde{M}^1 = M(\pi^1) \cup \{(a, b), (c, d), (e, f), (g, h)\}$
4. Add green and blue edges $(a, f), (b, e), (c, h), (d, g)$, i.e.
   $\tilde{M}^2 = M(\pi^2) \cup \{(a, f), (b, e), (c, h), (d, g)\}$ and
   $\tilde{M}^3 = M(\pi^3) \cup \{(a, f), (b, e), (c, h), (d, g)\}$.

**Lemma 16.** If $G(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3)$ is a valid MB graph, then $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3)$ is also a valid MB graph.

**Proof.** Let $V^t$ and $V^h$ be two disjoint node sets with $V = V^t \cup V^h$ such that every edge in $M(\pi^1) \cup M(\pi^2) \cup M(\pi^3) \cup H$ connects a node in $V^t$ with a node in $V^h$. W.l.o.g, assume that $u \in V^t$. If we set $\tilde{V}^t = V^t \cup \{a, c, e, g\}$ and $\tilde{V}^h = V^h \cup \{b, d, f, h\}$, then every edge in $\tilde{M}^1 \cup \tilde{M}^2 \cup \tilde{M}^3 \cup \tilde{H}$ connects
a node in $\tilde{V}^t$ with a node in $\tilde{V}^h$. If we contract the red edges $(a, b)$, $(c, d)$, $(e, f)$, $(g, h)$, we get the Hamiltonian cycle $M(\pi^1) \cup H$ on $V$. According to Lemma 6, $\tilde{M}^1 \cup \tilde{H}$ defines a Hamiltonian cycle on $\tilde{V}$. The proof that also $\tilde{M}^2 \cup \tilde{H}$ and $\tilde{M}^3 \cup \tilde{H}$ define Hamiltonian cycles on $\tilde{V}$ is analogous, but with contracting the edges $(a, f)$, $(b, e)$, $(c, h)$, $(d, g)$. Thus, all preconditions of Lemma 5 are fulfilled, and $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3)$ is a valid MB graph.

**Lemma 17.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between canonical matchings $M(\sigma)$ of $G(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{odd}(\sigma, \pi^i) = k$ and canonical matchings $M(\tilde{\sigma})$ of $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{odd}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) = k + 8$.

**Proof.** $M(\tilde{\sigma})$ must contain the edges $(a, f)$, $(b, e)$, $(c, h)$, and $(d, g)$ because it is canonical. These edges define 2 red/black 2-cycles, 4 green/black 1-cycles, and 4 blue/black 1-cycles (overall 8 odd and 2 even cycles). If we remove these cycles from $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3)$, the remaining graph is equivalent to $G$, thus each canonical matching $M(\sigma)$ of $G(\pi^1, \pi^2, \pi^3)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{odd}(\sigma, \pi^i) = k$ corresponds to a canonical matching $M(\tilde{\sigma})$ of $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{odd}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) = k + 8$.

**Lemma 18.** If $\sigma$ is hurdle-free w.r.t. $\pi^2$, then the corresponding permutation $\tilde{\sigma}$ is also hurdle-free w.r.t. $\tilde{\pi}^2$.

**Proof.** If one compares the breakpoint graph of $\sigma$ and $\pi^2$ with the one of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\pi}^2$, one can see that the transformation just added 4 1-cycles without changing the structure of any other cycle. Thus, for each sorting sequence that sorts $\sigma$ into $\pi^2$, there is an equivalent sorting sequence from $\tilde{\sigma}$ to $\tilde{\pi}^2$.

Of course, this lemma also holds for $\pi^3$. To make $\sigma$ hurdle-free w.r.t. $\pi^1$, we repeat the transformation step for every red edge that might belong to a
red/black \(l\)-cycle with \(l \geq 3\). Let the resulting graph be \(\hat{G}(\hat{\pi}^1, \hat{\pi}^2, \hat{\pi}^3)\).

**Lemma 19.** Let \(M(\hat{\sigma})\) be a canonical matching of \(\hat{G}(\hat{\pi}^1, \hat{\pi}^2, \hat{\pi}^3)\). Then, \(\hat{\sigma}\) is hurdle-free w.r.t. \(\hat{\pi}^1\).

**Proof.** Due to the construction rules, the following condition holds for the breakpoint graph of \(\hat{\sigma}\) and \(\hat{\pi}^1\). For each red edge \(e\) that belongs to a long cycle, the adjacent black edges intersect with the black edges of a 2-cycle \(c\). We call \(c\) the companion of \(e\). The black edges of \(c\) neither intersect with any other black edges of a long cycles nor with black edges of another companion. The configuration of an edge with its companion is illustrated in Fig. 9. We will now describe a sequence of transpositions that sorts \(\hat{\sigma}\) into \(\hat{\pi}^1\) such that each transposition increases the number of odd cycles by 2. We start the sorting with an arbitrary red edge \(e\) of a long cycle. If the black edges adjacent to \(e\) intersect, we apply the transposition described in Lemma 4. This might destroy the companion of \(e\), i.e. the intersection condition of the companion is no longer fulfilled. However, all other red edges in a long cycle still have a valid companion. Now, assume that the black edges adjacent to \(e\) do not intersect. Let \(f\) and \(g\) be the red edges connected to \(e\) by a black edge. Fig. 10 describes a sequence of 3 transpositions where each transposition increases the number of odd cycles by 2. The sequence uses the companions of \(f\) and \(g\). Note that the sequence also works if the black edges adjacent to \(f\) or \(g\) intersect. After the sequence, all edges in a long cycle expect \(e\) still have a companion. Thus, we can repeat this step (always starting with edge \(e\)) until \(e\) is in a short cycle, and then continue with another long cycle. When no long cycle remains, the resulting permutation is hurdle-free due to Lemma 3. \(\square\)
Figure 9: The configuration of the companion $c$ of a red edge $e$. The black edges adjacent to $e$ may also intersect. By construction, $c$ intersects with another 2-cycle $c'$. However, $c'$ is not a companion of a red edge.

We continue the transformation by performing equivalent steps for green and blue edges. Let $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_3)$ be the resulting MB graph, and let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a canonical matching on this graph. Clearly, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is hurdle-free w.r.t. $\tilde{\pi}_1$, $\tilde{\pi}_2$, and $\tilde{\pi}_3$.

**Theorem 4.** Let $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3$ be permutations of size $n$, and let $G(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)$ be their MB graph. Let $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_3)$ be the breakpoint graph obtained by transforming $G(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3)$, and let $m$ be the number of performed steps during the transformation. Then, $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, k) \in OCMP$ if and only if $(\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_3, 3(n+1)+4m-k) \in TMP$.

**Proof.** As we have shown in Lemma 17, $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, k) \in OCMP$ if and only if $(\tilde{\pi}_1, \tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\pi}_3, k + 8m) \in OCMP$. The size of the permutations $\tilde{\pi}_1$, $\tilde{\pi}_2$, and $\tilde{\pi}_3$ is $n + 4m$. Assume there is a permutation matching $M(\tilde{\sigma})$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{odd}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}_i) \geq k + 8m$. W.l.o.g. $M(\tilde{\sigma})$ is a canonical matching, and due to Lemma 19, we can assume that $\tilde{\sigma}$ is hurdle-free w.r.t. $\tilde{\pi}_1$, $\tilde{\pi}_2$, and $\tilde{\pi}_3$. 


Figure 10: A sequence of 3 transpositions that can be applied when the black edges adjacent to $e$ do not intersect. The 2-cycles belong to the companions of $f$ and $g$. The dashed line is a path of alternating black and red edges. The vertical black lines indicate the red edges where the next transposition acts on. Note that the sequence also works if the black edges adjacent to $f$ or $g$ intersect.
Thus,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} d(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{n + 4m + 1 - c_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i)}{2} = \frac{3(n + 1) + 12m - \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i)}{2} \leq \frac{3(n + 1) + 4m - k}{2}.
\]

On the other hand, let there be a permutation \(\tilde{\sigma}\) with \(\sum_{i=1}^{3} d(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) \leq \frac{3(n+1)+4m-k}{2}\). Then, we get (with Lemma 1)
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{n + 4m + 1 - c_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i)}{2} = \frac{3(n + 1) + 12m - \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i)}{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{3} d(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) \leq \frac{3(n + 1) + 4m - k}{2},
\]
and therefore
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} c_{\text{odd}}(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\pi}^i) \geq k + 8m.
\]

In other words, \((\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3, k+8m) \in OCM\) if and only if \((\tilde{\pi}^1, \tilde{\pi}^2, \tilde{\pi}^3, \frac{3(n+1)+4m-k}{2}) \in TMP\).

\textbf{Corollary 2.} TMP is NP-complete.

\section*{4. Conclusion}

In this paper, we have proven that TMP is NP-complete. As a direct consequence, also the reversal and transposition median problem is NP-complete if both operations are weighted equally. For a weight ratio of reversals :
transpositions $= 1 : 2$, the NP-completeness directly follows from [6]. For all weight ratios in between, the complexity is still open. Another closely related problem is the **transposition median problem on the symmetric group** $\mathcal{S}_n$ (short TM$\mathcal{S}$), which has been extensively studied by Eriksen [31, 32] (note that in this problem, transpositions are defined different than in our problem). Although this problem is closely related to the DCJ median problem, its NP-hardness could not be proven so far, mainly because one has to deal with directed graphs [32]. As our proof extends some steps of Caprara’s proof to directed graphs, we hope that it can also give us new insights about TM$\mathcal{S}$.
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