

2.6.2017

Chapter 2 Holomorphic Semigroups

§ 16 Holomorphic functions.

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ open, X complex Banach space.

(16.1) Definition: $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$

holomorphic \Leftrightarrow

$$f'(z) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}$$

exists for all $z \in \Omega$.

Consequence: a) $x' \circ f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ hol.

$$\forall x' \in X' \quad \& \quad (x' \circ f)' = x' \circ f'$$

$$\& \quad \sup_{z \in K} \|f'(z)\| < \infty$$

compact.

(16.2) Theorem. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow X$
 be [locally] bounded. Let
 $\phi \subset X'$ be separating. If
 $\phi \circ f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 is hol. $\forall \phi \in \phi$, then f is
 holomorphic.

Pf. Green Book. Appendix

(16.3) Corollary. Let $T: \Omega \rightarrow Z(x, y)$
 such that for all $x \in X, y' \in Y'$
 $\langle T(\cdot), x, y' \rangle$ is holomorphic.
 Then T is holomorphic.

Pf. $\phi = \{ \varphi_{x, y'} : x \in X, y' \in Y' \}$
 $\varphi_{x, y'}(s) = \langle s, x, y' \rangle \quad (s \in Z(x, y'))$

(16.4) Lemma Let $g_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

be hol., $|g_n(z)| \leq M,$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \Omega,$

$$g(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(z) \quad \forall z \in \Omega.$$

Then g is holomorphic.

Proof. Let $\overline{B}(z_0, r) \subset \Omega \Rightarrow$

$$g_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-z_0|=r} \frac{g_n(w)}{w-z} dw$$

$$\forall z \in B(z_0, r) \quad n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow$$

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w-z_0|=r} \frac{g(w)}{w-z} dw$$

$\Rightarrow g$ hol. on $B(z_0, r)$

W.l.o.g. $z_0 = 0$ $\frac{1}{w-z} = \frac{1}{w} \frac{1}{1-\frac{z}{w}}$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{w^{n+1}} \Rightarrow g(z) =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=r} \frac{g(w)}{w^{n+1}} dw}_{a_n} z^n$$

□

(16.5) Proposition. Let $T_n: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$
 be holomorphic, $\|T_n(z)\| \leq M$
 $\forall z \in \Omega, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume
 that

$$T(z)x := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(z)x$$

exists $\forall x \in X$.

Then

$$T: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$$

is holomorphic.

Proof. a) $T(z) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ ~~is clear.~~
 (~~Baranch Steinhaus Thm~~)

b) Let $y' \in Y', x \in X$ (16.4) \Rightarrow
 $\langle T(\cdot)x, y' \rangle: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic
 (16.3) $\Rightarrow T$ is hol. \square

(16.6) Uniqueness Theorem. Ω connected

$f, g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ hol.

$\exists z_k \in \Omega$, $\lim z_k = z_0 \in \Omega$,

$z_k \neq z_0 \quad \forall k$, $f(z_k) = g(z_k) \quad \forall k$

$\Rightarrow f(z) = g(z) \quad \forall z \in \Omega$

§ 17 Holomorphic semigroups

$$\theta \in [0, \pi)$$

$$\Sigma_\theta = \{ r e^{i\alpha} : r > 0, |\alpha| < \theta \}$$

(17.1) Definition A C-sg T is holomorphic if $\exists \theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ & $\tilde{T}: \Sigma_\theta \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$, a hol. extension of T st.

$$\sup_{\substack{z \in \Sigma_\theta \\ |z| \leq 1}} \|\tilde{T}(z)\| < \infty$$

Consequences.

$$a) \quad \tilde{T}(z_1 + z_2) = \tilde{T}(z_1) \tilde{T}(z_2)$$

Pf. 1st case. $z_2 = t \in (0, \infty)$.

$$\text{Then } \tilde{T}(z_1 + t) = \tilde{T}(z_1) \tilde{T}(z_2) \quad \text{if } z_1 \in (0, \infty)$$

uniqueness then $\Rightarrow \forall z_1 \in \Sigma_\theta$.

2nd case Let $z_1 \in \Sigma_0$ Then

$$\tilde{T}(z_1 + z_2) = \tilde{T}(z_1) \tilde{T}(z_2) \quad \text{if } z_2 \in (0, \infty)$$

by case 1. uniqueness theorem

$$\Rightarrow \forall z_2 \in \Sigma_0$$

$$b) \quad \exists M, \omega \quad \|\tilde{T}(z)\| \leq M e^{(\operatorname{Re} z) \omega}$$

$$z \in \Sigma_0$$

Proof $M := \sup_{\substack{z \in \Sigma_0 \\ |z| \leq 1}} \|\tilde{T}(z)\|$

Let $z = r e^{i\alpha} \in \Sigma_0$. $\exists! n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$r = [n, n+1). \quad \|\tilde{T}(z)\| = \|\tilde{T}(r-n)e^{i\alpha}\|$$

$$\|\tilde{T}(n e^{i\alpha})\| \leq M \|\tilde{T}(e^{i\alpha})\|^n \leq M M^n$$

$$\leq M M^n = M e^{\omega n} = M e^{|\alpha| \omega n} \quad \omega = \ln M$$

~~But for $|z| \leq 1$ But $|z| = r$~~

$$= (r \cos \alpha) \frac{1}{|\cos \alpha|} \leq \operatorname{Re} z \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}$$

$$\omega = \frac{\omega'}{\cos \alpha}$$

□

$$e) \quad \lim_{\substack{z \in \Sigma_0 \\ z \rightarrow 0}} \tilde{T}(z)x = x \quad \forall x \in X$$

Pf 1. $x = T(t)y \quad t > 0.$

Then $\tilde{T}(z)x = \tilde{T}(z+ty) \rightarrow \tilde{T}(t)y = x$
 $z \rightarrow 0$

2. $\{T(t)y : t > 0, y \in X\}$ dense in X
 Equicontinuity Lemma \Rightarrow claim. \square

d) $\tilde{T}(z)x \subset D(A)$ and

$$\frac{d}{dz} \tilde{T}(z) = A \tilde{T}(z)$$

Pf. Let $z \in \Sigma_0$. Then

$$\tilde{T}(z)' = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tilde{T}(z+t) - \tilde{T}(z)}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(t)\tilde{T}(z) - \tilde{T}(z)}{t} \quad \square$$

eg) Let $z \in \Sigma_0$. Then $T_z(t) = \tilde{T}(tz)$

defines a C_0 -sg. Generator: $z \cdot A$

Proof. $B :=$ generator of \tilde{T}_z .

1. Let $y = \tilde{T}(w)y$, $\rightsquigarrow w \in \Sigma_0$

$$\frac{T_z(t)x - x}{t} = z \frac{\tilde{T}(w + tz)y - \tilde{T}(w)y}{tz}$$

$$\longrightarrow z A \tilde{T}(w)y \quad (t \downarrow 0)$$

by f)

Thus $x \in D(B)$ & $Bx = zAx$.

2. $D = \text{span} \{ \tilde{T}(w)y : w \in \Sigma_0 \}$
 is dense in X , invariant by \tilde{T}_z ,
 and $D \subset D(B)$. Thus D is a
 core of B .

But $D \subset D(A)$ & $A Bx = zAx$

($x \in D$). Thus $B = \overline{zA|_D} = zA$. \square