

ulm university universität **UUUIM**

Prof. Dr. Stefan Funken M.Sc. Mladjan Radic, Stefan Hain Department of Numerical Mathematics Ulm University Numerik von gewöhnlichen Differenzialgleichungen SoSe 2016

Sheet 3

Due May 12, 2016.

Exercise 1 (Consistency and Increment Function)

Consider the IVP

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \qquad t \in I := [0, T] \subset \mathbb{R},$$

 $y(0) = y_0.$ (1)

Let h > 0 be the step-size. Every one-step method for determination of the grid-function $\{y_m\}_{m=1}^N$ is of the form

$$y_{m+1} = y_m + h\Phi(t_m, y_m; h), \qquad m = 0, \dots, N-1,$$

where Φ is the so called *increment function*.

- (a) Determine the increment function for the explicit and implicit Euler method.
- (b) Let $y \in C^1(I)$ be the exact solution of the IVP (1). Show: A one-step method is consistent if and only if

 $\lim_{h \to 0} \Phi(t, y(t); h) = f(t, y(t)).$

Use this result to justify why the explicit and implicit Euler method are consistent.

(c) Let $f \in C^2(I \times \mathbb{R})$. Consider the increment function

$$\Phi(t, y; h) = \alpha_1 f(t, y) + \alpha_2 f(t + \beta_1 h, y + \beta_2 h f(t, y)).$$

Determine $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that the order of convergence equals 2. Is it possible to achieve higher order?

(d) Let $f \in C^3(I \times \mathbb{R})$. Consider the increment function

$$\Phi(t, y; h) = \frac{1}{6} \cdot (k_1 + 4 \cdot k_2 + k_3),$$

with

$$k_{1} = f(t, y),$$

$$k_{2} = f\left(t + \frac{h}{2}, y + \frac{h}{2}k_{1}\right),$$

$$k_{3} = f(t + h, y + h(-k_{1} + 2k_{2})).$$

Show that the resulting one-step method is of consistency order p = 3. Which convergence order has the method?

Exercise 2 (Integral Equation III and the classical RKM)

Consider the initial value problem (IVP) (see Exercise 1 on Sheet 1):

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \qquad t \in I := [0, T] \subset \mathbb{R},$$

 $y(0) = y_0$

with $f \in C^4(I \times \mathbb{R})$. On Sheet 1, Exercise 1, we have already shown, that

$$y \text{ is soution of the IVP} \Leftrightarrow y(t) = Ky(t) := y_0 + \int_0^t f(s, y(s)) \, ds.$$
 (2)

We discretize I equidistantly in N subintervals with stepsize $h := \frac{T}{N} > 0$, e.g.

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \ldots < t_N = T$$

with $t_k = k \cdot h$ for k = 0, 1, ..., N. With (2) we obtain therefore

$$\frac{y(t_{k+1}) - y(t_k)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(s, y(s)) \, ds \quad \text{with} \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$
(3)

(a) Derive the classical Runge-Kutta method by using (3) and

- using a quadrature formula (which one?) on $\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} f(s, y(s)) ds$,
- approximation of the function values of y by taylor formula,
- elimination of the derivatives of y.
- (b) Consider the IVP

$$y'(t) = y(t), \qquad t \in I := [0, T],$$

 $y(0) = \alpha,$

with the exact solution $y(t) = \alpha \cdot \exp t$, $0 \le t \le T$. Formulate for this IVP the classical Runge-Kutta method and discuss your observations.

Exercise 3 (Explicit RKM)

Consider for $I := [t0, tN] \subset \mathbb{R}$ the IVP

$$\begin{split} y'(t) &= f(t,y(t)), \qquad t \in I, \\ y(\texttt{t0}) &= \texttt{y0}. \end{split}$$

(a) Consider the classical Runge-Kutta method with the Butcher-tableau:

0				
$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$			
$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$		
1	0	0	1	
	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

Which consistency order has the resulting explicit Runge-Kutta method and prove your statement.

(b) Write a function y = runge_kutta_exp(f,tspan,y0,N), which runs the classical Runge-Kutta method with the Butcher-tableau given in (a). The time interval tspan=[t0,tN] shall be divided in N equidistent subintervals. f is a function handle.

- (c) Apply the method on the following examples and vary $\mathbb{N} \in \{10, 50, 100, 500, 1000\}$
 - (i) $u'(t) = -\lambda u(t), u(0) = 1$, for $\lambda \in \{-10, -1, 1, 10\}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.
 - (ii) $u'(t) = -t^2 u(t)^2$, u(0) = 1, for $t \in [0, 1]$.
 - (iii) $u'(t) = -2tu(t)^2$, u(0) = 1, for $t \in [0, 1]$.
 - (iv) Davis-Skodje problem:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u'(t) \\ v'(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -u(t) \\ -\frac{1}{\varepsilon}v(t) + \frac{u(t)}{\varepsilon(1+u(t))} - \frac{u(t)}{(1+u(t))^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

with the initial values

u(0) = 1 and v(0) = 1

- for $\varepsilon \in \{1, 10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}\}$ and $t \in [0, 4]$.
- (d) Apply further the explicit, implicit Euler method as well as the Crank-Nicolson method on the above examples with the same discretization. Discuss and explain your observations.

Exercise 4 (Implicit RKM)

Consider for $I := [t0, tN] \subset \mathbb{R}$ the IVP

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), \qquad t \in I$$

 $y(t0) = y0.$

(a) Consider two implicit Runge-Kutta methods, which are characterized by the following Butcher-tableaus:

Determine the coefficients α_1, β_{11} and γ_2 of the left Butcher-tableau, such that the resulting implicit Runge-Kutta method is of consistency order 4.

- (b) Write a function y = runge_kutta_imp(f,tspan,y0,N,alpha,gamma,A), with tspan=[t0,tN] which runs the implicit Runge-Kutta method with the Butcher-tableaus (described by alpha,gamma,A) given in (a). The time interval tspan=[t0,tN] shall be again divided in N equidistent subintervals. f is a function handle. Further, for solving the implicit system, use
 - (i) fixpoint iteration,
 - (ii) Newton method.

Compare and discuss the two methods.

(c) Apply the method on the Examples (i)-(iv) from Exercise 3 again with $\mathbb{N} \in \{10, 50, 100, 500, 1000\}$.

Additional remarks to Exercise 3 and 4

Create the plots in a meaningful manner! Make them as neat as possible! Plots without a title, without detailed description of the x-and y- axis or without a legend (if necessary) will not be accepted!

The following keywords could be useful:

- doc figure
- doc plot
- doc subplot
- title
- xlabel,ylabel
- legend
- axis