Prof. Dr. Karsten Urban Mazen Ali

# Numerical Finance – Sheet 7

(due 11.06.2018)

# **Exercise 1: Higher Order Schemes**

Derive the following higher order Taylor scheme:

$$Y_{n+1} = Y_n + \left[a - \frac{1}{2}bb'\right]\Delta_n + b\Delta W_n + \frac{1}{2}bb'(\Delta W_n)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[aa' + \frac{1}{2}b^2a''\right]\Delta_n^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left[a'b + b'a + \frac{1}{2}b''b^2\right]\Delta_n\,\Delta W_n.$$
 (1)

Consider only the terms that are not already in the Milstein scheme. Use the fact that one can replace the integral

$$I_{t_0,t} := \int_{t_0}^t (W_s - W_t) ds$$

by its conditional expectation

$$\mathbb{E}\left[I_{t_0,t} \mid W_{t_0}, W_t - W_{t_0}\right] = \frac{1}{2}(t - t_0)\left(W_t - W_{t_0}\right).$$

## **Exercise 2: Multidimensional Schemes**

Consider a *d*-dimensional Itô process  $X(t) = (X_1(t), \ldots, X_d(t))^T$ , driven by an *m*-dimensional Brownian motion  $W(t) = (W_1(t), \ldots, W_m(t))^T$ , i.e.  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) dW(s)$  with  $a: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d, b: [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ .

Use the multidimensional Itô formula to derive the appropriate Euler and Milstein schemes.

#### Hint:

- Consider each component  $X_i$  separately, proceed as in Section 6.7 and keep in mind that we neglect almost all double integrals.
- Integrals  $I_{t_0,t}^{[k,l]} := \int_{t_0}^t \int_{t_0}^s dW_k(z) dW_l(s)$  with  $k \neq l$  can be approximated by

$$I_{t_0,t}^{[k,l]} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[ (W_k(t) - W_k(t_0))(W_l(t) - W_l(t_0)) - V_{kl} \right]$$

where  $V_{kl}$  is a random variable with  $V_{kl} = -V_{lk}$  for l > k and  $V_{kl} = \pm \Delta_n$  with probability  $\frac{1}{2}$  for l < k.

• Multidimensional Itô Formula: For a *d*-dim. Itô process  $X, f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  with appropriate partial derivatives,  $\Sigma := b \cdot b^T$  and Y(t) := f(t, X(t)), it holds with  $b_{i,.}$  the *i*-th row of *b* 

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) - Y(t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^t \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(s, X(s)) + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s, X(s))a_i(s, X(s)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(s, X(s)) \Sigma_{i,j}(s, X(s)) \right] ds \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(s, X(s))b_{i,\cdot}(s, X(s))dW(s). \end{aligned}$$

### **Programming Exercise 1: Higher Order Schemes**

#### (13 + 2 Points)

Consider (again) the European Put from Programming Exercise 2, Sheet 4. For the three methods

- Euler-Maruyama,
- Milstein,
- the scheme from (1),

#### compute

- (i) the strong errors,
- (ii) the weak errors w.r.t. the option payoff function,
- (iii) the error w.r.t the Black-Scholes option price.

Compare the convergence rates of the errors w.r.t the time discretization, using e.g.  $M = 10^5$  Monte-Carlo simulations. What do you see? What is the strong (weak) convergence order of the Taylor scheme (1)?

\*Compare your results for the error of the option price with those obtained for significantly more MC simulations runs, e.g.  $M = 10^6$ ,  $M = 10^7$  (note that this may take some time!). What do you see? What does this imply for the relation between Monte-Carlo and SDE discretization error?

#### **Programming Exercise 2: Heston Model**

# (11 Points)

As the assumption of constant volatility in the Black-Scholes framework is often not consistent with market option prices, many models use *local* or *stochastic volatility* functions. One example for a stochastic volatility model is the *Heston model*, which models the volatility as a mean reverting square-root diffusion process and in its simplest form looks as follows:

$$dS(t) = rS(t)dt + \sqrt{V(t)S(t)}dW_1(t),$$
  
$$dV(t) = \alpha(\theta - V(t))dt + \sqrt{V(t)}\sigma dW_2(t).$$

Compute the price of a European call with parameters T = 1, K = 100, r = 0.05,  $\sigma = 0.3$ ,  $\alpha = 1.2$ ,  $\theta = 0.04$  and initial values  $S_0 = 100$ ,  $V_0 = 0.04$  in this model,

- a) with the Euler scheme,
- b) with Milstein.

**Hint:**  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are assumed to be independent. This simplifies the multidimensional schemes significantly!