



Universität Ulm | Stab QBR | 89081 Ulm | Germany

To
Mr.
Prof. Dr. Karsten Urban

personal / confidential

Rüdiger Fiebig
Office for Quality Improvement
Albert-Einstein-Allee 11
89081 Ulm, Germany
Tel: +49 731 50-25104
Fax: +49 731 50-12-25103
ruediger.fiebig@uni-ulm.de

: cf'gi V^WfgdYVZ]W]bei Jf]Yg
d'YUgY'i gY'h YVt:bhUWf'jghVYck "

Course evaluation report

Dear Mr. Prof. Dr. Urban,

enclosed you will find the results of your evaluation for the course "High Performance Computing 2" in the SS17.

If you have any questions regarding the evaluation please feel free to contact me at any time.

Best regards,

7 cbhUWfg'Z:f'gi V^Wfg'UbX'XYdUfha Ybfg

Advanced Materials: Dr. Maria-Verena Kohnle (maria-verena.kohnle@uni-ulm.de)
Biology: Annemarie Windeck (annemarie.windeck@uni-ulm.de)
Chemistry: Tobias Moritz (tobias.moritz@uni-ulm.de)
Chemical Engineering: Dr. Maria-Verena Kohnle (maria-verena.kohnle@uni-ulm.de)
Computational Science and Engineering: Beate Mayer (beate.mayer@uni-ulm.de)
Energy Science & Technology: Dr. Maria-Verena Kohnle (maria-verena.kohnle@uni-ulm.de)
Humboldt-Studienzentrum: Bettina Meyer-Quintus (bettina.meyer-quintus@uni-ulm.de)
Informatics: Paula Pfalzer, Nadine Harsch (paula.pfalzer@uni-ulm.de, nadine.harsch@uni-ulm.de)
Engineering: Matthias Wehl (matthias.wehl@uni-ulm.de)
Mathematics: Anastasia Schulz (anastasia.schulz@uni-ulm.de)
Physics: Richard Waltrich (richard.waltrich@uni-ulm.de)
Psychology: Eva Mader (eva.mader@uni-ulm.de)
Language Center: Christian Timm (christian.timm@uni-ulm.de)
Economics: Canan Kaplan (canan.kaplan@uni-ulm.de)

Prof. Dr. Karsten Urban

High Performance Computing 2 (MATH4022.010)
No. of responses = 6




Overall indicators

Organisation of the Course		av.=4,3 dev.=0,8
Clearness of the Subject Matter		av.=3,3 dev.=1,1
Teaching Style of the Lecturer		av.=4,7 dev.=1
Increase of Knowledge		av.=4 dev.=0,9
Promotion of Interest		av.=4,4 dev.=1,1
Overall Assessment		av.=4,3 dev.=1,2

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

Mean value is below the quality guideline.

Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.

Mean value is within the quality guideline.

Preparation and follow-up

Why do you attend this course? (multiple choice is possible)


mandatory	<input type="checkbox"/>	83.3%	n=6
interest	<input type="checkbox"/>	50%	
lecturer	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	
exam	<input type="checkbox"/>	66.7%	
test	<input type="checkbox"/>	0%	
relevant topic	<input type="checkbox"/>	16.7%	

How much time do you spend for preparing and recapitulating the course (hours/week)?


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Organisation of the course


The course follows an easily comprehensible structure throughout the semester.




The subject's structure (line of thought) is very easily comprehensible in every lesson of the course.



The lecturer always gives helpful summaries and subject overviews.



Teaching aids (e.g. board, audio-visual presentations, etc) always support the course usefully.



The applied materials (e.g. slides, scripts) are very useful for understanding the content.


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

The applied materials (e.g. slides, scripts) are always designed clearly.


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Course contents

The speed of teaching is always adequate.




The subject matter is not too difficult.




The lecturer's teaching style

The lecturer often establishes relations between the contents.




Difficult facts are always explained clearly.




The lecturer uses a varied teaching approach (in the course).

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


I find the lecturer always presents the course in an interesting way.




The lecturer always manages to keep up contact (e.g. eye contact) with the audience.



The lecturer always encourages active participation (e.g. asking questions, discussion).



The general climate of the course is very pleasant.



The lecturer always deals adequately with interruptions (e.g. noise or disturbance by participants or technical problems).


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Your knowledge


My state of knowledge has considerably grown since the course.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Now I have a considerably deeper knowledge than before the course.



I've understood the most important content very well.



Due to this course I am capable of giving a good overview about the covered topic.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Due to this course I am capable of clearly describing the complex facts covered.


The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Overall course assessment


I learn things in this course which inspire me.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


The course stimulates my interest in the subject material.




The course stimulates my interest in the studies



I would recommend this course.



All in all the course is very good.



Course preparation and recapitulation were adequately supported by Lecture Capture.

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.


Course preparation and recapitulation were adequately supported by E-Learning activities (e.g. Moodle).

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

What semester are you in?

The evaluation will not be displayed due to low response rate.

Course of Studies (multiple choice possible)




Profile


Subunit: Mathematik
Name of the instructor: Prof. Dr. Karsten Urban
Name of the course: High Performance Computing 2
 (Name of the survey)
Comparative line: Lectures (english), Mathematik, SS16 + WS16/17 [AVen3]

Values used in the profile line: Mean


Organisation of the course




Course contents




The lecturer's teaching style



Your knowledge



Overall course assessment




(*) Note: If the number of responses to a question is too low the evaluation will not be displayed in the profile line.


Presentation template

High Performance Computing 2
Prof. Dr. Karsten Urban
No. of responses = 6


Organisation of the Course




Clearness of the Subject Matter




Teaching Style of the Lecturer




Increase of Knowledge



Promotion of Interest



Overall Assessment



Comments Report

What do you particularly like in the course?

- Sehr gute Atmosphäre in der Vorlesung und in der Übung. Gute Unterstützung und Hilfe in der Übung (2 Counts)
- Sehr gute Atmosphäre in der Vorlesung und in der Übung. Gute Unterstützung und Hilfe in der Übung Tafelaufschrieb bei Herr Urban sehr strukturiert
- Tafelaufschrieb bei Herr Urban sehr strukturiert

What could be improved? (if any, name suggestions for improvement)

- Funken hat beim Tafelaufschrieb keine nachvollziehbare Struktur Stoffvermittlung zu schnell (2 Counts)
- Vorlesung wird sehr schnell gehalten! Schwer zu folgen!
- Zwei Dozenten in einem Fragebogen zu beurteilen ist schwer möglich