

Dr. Lucia Draque Penso Dr. Jens Maßberg Institut für Optimierung und Operations Research Sommersemester 2014

Mathematics of Games

Exercise Session 1

A class will be given on 28.04.2014, 12pm-2pm, in N24-H14. Exercise Session 1 due on 05.05.2014, by 12:15pm, N24-H14. Total : 20 Points Hand-in individual!

1. Each of *n* players gets a unique marker color. Now each player $i \ (i \in \{1, ..., n\})$ simultaneously chooses his *position*: a real number $x_i \in [0, 1]$. All points in [0, 1] are colored: Each point *y* gets player *i*'s marker color for that *i* with x_i closest to *y*.

If a point $y \in [0, 1]$ has the same distance to more than one player's position x_i , its color is determined at random (uniformly). If one position x_i is chosen by more than one player, the points with minimum distance to x_i are colored randomly (uniformly) with one of those players' marker colors. Each player wants to color a largest possible part of the interval with his marker color.

- (i) If there are two players, does a pure-strategy Nash Equilibrium exist for? If so, is it unique? Either give a pure-strategy NE (with justification) or explain why one does not exist. [1 Points]
- (ii) If there are two players, which pure-strategy for player *i* strictly dominate $x_i = 0$? Which pure-strategy for player *i* strictly dominate $x_i = 1$? (The pure-strategy $s \in S_i$ strictly dominates $s' \in S_i$ if $u_i(s, s_{-i}) > u_i(s', s_{-i}) \forall s_{-i} \in \prod_{j \neq i} S_j$.) [1 Point]
- (iii) If there are three players, does a pure-strategy Nash Equilibrium exist for? If so, is it unique? Either give a pure-strategy NE (with justification) or explain why one does not exist. [2 Points]
- (iv) If there are $n \ge 4$ players, does a pure-strategy Nash Equilibrium exist for? If so, is it unique? Either give a pure-strategy NE (with justification) or explain why one does not exist. [4 Points]
- (v) If there are $n \ge 2$ players and the coloring rule is changed to 'Each point y gets player *i*'s marker color for that *i* with x_i most distant from y.', does a purestrategy Nash Equilibrium exist for? If so, is it unique? Either give a pure-strategy NE (with justification) or explain why one does not exist. [2 Points]

[10 Points]

- 2. Suppose there are $n \ge 2$ firms in the Cournot oligopoly model. Let q_i denote the quantity produced by firm *i*, and let $Q = q_1 + \ldots + q_n$ denote the aggregate quantity on the market. Let *P* denote the market-clearing price and assume that inverse demand is given by P(Q) = a - Q (assuming Q < a, else P = 0). Assume that costs are assymetric: the total cost of firm *i* from producing quantity q_i is $C_i(q_i) = c_i q_i$. Following Cournot, suppose that the firms choose their quantities simultaneously. What is the pure-strategy Nash Equilibrium if $0 < c_i < a/n$ for each firm? Consider n = 2 at first and then $n \ge 3$.
 - [2 + 4 = 6 Points]
- 3. A seller has one indivisible unit of an object for sale. There are I potential buyers, or bids, with valuations $0 \le v_1 \le \ldots \le v_l$ for the object, and these valuations are common knowledge. The bidders simultaneously submit bids $s_i \in [0, \infty)$. The highest bidder wins the object and pays the second bid (i.e., if he wins $(s_i > \max_{j \ne i} s_j)$, bidder i has utility $u_i = v_i - \max_{j \ne i} s_j$, and the other bidders pay nothing (and therefore have utility 0). If several bidders bid the highest price, the good is allocated randomly among them. Give a pure-strategy Nash Equilibrium for this second-price auction. Would the Nash Equilibrium still be valid if the bidders would not know about one another's valuations?

[4 Points]