





#### Markets, Portfolios and Arbitrage

Skander Souissi | 13. July 2015 | Institute of Stochastics, Faculty of Mathematics and Economics

Seminar Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Evgeny Spodarev Seminar Advisor: Alexander Nerlich

# Outline

Motivation

Continous time model

Arbitrage

Equivalent martingale Measure

References

## Motivation

- Let {S<sub>t</sub>}<sub>t∈ℕ0</sub> be the daily price process of a risky asset A.
- We view {S<sub>t</sub>}<sub>t∈N<sub>0</sub></sub> as a (discrete) stochastic process on some filtred probability space (Ω, F, P, (F<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t∈N<sub>0</sub></sub>) encorporating the states of the world.
- ► At a given day *t*, a financial analyst wants to determine the fair price S<sub>t+1</sub> of A.
- Since it is risky, the expected return R<sub>t</sub> of A should exceed the risk-free rate r<sub>t</sub>, i.e.

   E [1 + R<sub>t</sub>|S<sub>t</sub>] = (1 + r<sub>t</sub>)(1 + µ) for some µ > 0
- ► This implies  $\mathbb{E}[R_t|S_t] \approx r_t + \mu$ . We can consider  $\mu$  as a 1-day risk premium.

## Motivation

- ► To price *A* correctly, the analyst has to consider  $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{1+R_t}S_{t+1}|S_t\right] = S_t.$
- ► ~→ Problem: The left hand side is complicated to evaluate.
- ▶  $\rightsquigarrow$  Idea: Perform a transformation in order to eliminate the risk premium  $\mu$ .
- Ideally find some probability P̃ ~ P describing the odds of some "risk-neutral world "where E [ 1/(1+r<sub>t</sub> S<sub>t+1</sub> | S<sub>t</sub>] = S<sub>t</sub>.

## Defintion of Continous time market

- ► let  $B(t) = (B_1(t), ..., B_m(t))$  be *m*-dimensional Brownian motion,  $0 \le t \le T$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .
- ►  $\mathbb{F}^m = \{\mathcal{F}_t^m \forall t \in [0, T] : \mathcal{F}_t^m = \sigma(B_s : 0 \le s \le t)\}$ represents the flow of information generated by  $\{B(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ .
- A market is an  $\mathcal{F}_t^m$ -adapted (n + 1)-dimensional Ito-process  $X(t) = (X_0(t), X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t))$  such that

$$dX_0(t) = \rho(t,\omega)X_0(t)dt; X_0(0) = 1$$

and

$$dX_i(t) = \mu_i(t,\omega) + \sigma_i(t,\omega) dB_t^m; X_i(0) = x_i,$$
  
for  $i = 1, ..., n$ 

where  $\mu(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ ,  $\sigma(t, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  meet the existence conditions and  $\rho(t, \omega)$  is bounded.

## Portfolio in the market

- A portfolio θ(t) = (θ<sub>0</sub>(t, ω), θ<sub>1</sub>(t, ω)..., θ<sub>n</sub>(t, ω)) in the market {X(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> is a (t, ω)-measurable and *F*<sup>m</sup><sub>t</sub>-adapted stochastic process for 0 ≤ t ≤ T.
- ► The value at time *t* of a portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is  $V(t, \omega) = \theta(t, \omega) \cdot X(t, \omega), \forall t \in [0, T].$
- The gain process of the portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is defined by

$$G(t) = \int_0^t \mu(s) \cdot heta(s) \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(s) heta(s) \mathrm{d}B.$$

In order for *G* to be well defined, we require that  $\int_0^t |\mu(s)\theta(s)| \mathrm{d}s < \infty \text{ and } \int_0^t ||\sigma(s)\theta(s)||^2 \mathrm{d}s < \infty \text{ a.s.}$ 

## Selffinancing strategy and normalized Market

- ► A Portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is called self-financing if V(t) = V(0) + G(t) or dV(t) = dG(t).
- Equivalently we can write  $dV(t) = \theta(t)dX(t)$ .
- ► Let  $X_0(t) = exp(\int_0^t \rho(s, \omega) dt) > 0$ , then the Process  $\overline{X}(t) = \left(1, \frac{X_1(t)}{X_0(t)}, \dots, \frac{X_n(t)}{X_0(t)}\right)$  is called the normalized market.
- We think of the risk-free asset as a bank account paying interest with return rate ρ(t, ω).

## Numeraire Invariance

- ► The portfolio  $\theta(t)$  is self-financing with respect to  $\{X(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$  if and only if it is self-financing with respect to  $\{\overline{X}(t)\}_{t\in[0,T]}$ .
- ► Proof:

$$\overline{V}_t(t) = \theta(t)\overline{X}(t) = \theta(t)\xi(t)X(t) = \xi(t)V(t)$$

It follows with Ito-lemma

$$d\overline{V}(t) = \xi(t)dV(t) + V(t)d\xi(t)$$
  
=  $\xi(t)\theta(t)dX(t) - \theta(t)X(t)\rho(t)\xi(t)dt$   
=  $\xi(t)\theta(t)(dX(t) - \rho(t)X(t)dt)$   
=  $\theta(t)d\overline{X}(t).$ 

## Doubling strategy and Admissible Portfolio

- is imposing self-financing condition on a portfolio sufficient for a consistent market model?
- Example :
  - Iet {B(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> be a one dimensional Brownian motion on some probability space (Ω, F, ℙ).
  - $X_0 \equiv 1 \ \forall t \in [0, T].$
  - $dX_1(t) = X_1(t) dB(t)$ ,  $X_1(0) = 1$ .
  - let  $\tau := \inf\{t : \int_0^t (T s)^{-1/2} dB(s) = \alpha\}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .
  - $\tau$  is a stopping time such that  $0 < \tau < T$  a.s.
  - Set  $\theta_1(t) = \frac{1}{X_1(t)\sqrt{T-t}}$  if  $0 \le t \le \tau$  and 0 else.
  - Set  $\theta_0(t) = -\theta_1(t)X_1(t) + \int_0^t \theta_1(s)dX_1(s)$  for  $t \in [0, T]$ .
  - $\theta(0).X(0) = 0$  and  $\theta(T).X(T) = \alpha$  a.s.

### Doubling strategy and Admissible Portfolio

- Despite the natural assumption on the prices dynamics, we can reach any value α without any initial investment.
- Further restrictions on the portfolio are needed.
- A portfolio θ(t) is called admissible if it satisfies the condition for the existence of the gain process and its value process V<sup>θ</sup>(t) is lower bounded, i.e. V<sup>θ</sup>(t, ω) ≥ −K for some real number K > 0 and for a.a. (t, ω) ∈ [0, T] × Ω.

## Arbitrage

- An admissible portfolio θ(t) is called an arbitrage in the market {X(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> if V<sup>θ</sup>(t) satisfies
   V<sup>θ</sup>(0) = 0 and V<sup>θ</sup>(T) ≥ 0 a.s. and
   P(V<sup>θ</sup>(T) > 0) > 0.
- An admissible portfolio θ(t) is an arbitrage for{X(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> if and only if it is an arbitrage for {X(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub>.
- Arbitrage is a sign of lack of equelibrium in the market.
- In financial markets arbitrage dont survive long time: supply and demand eliminate it.
- Arbitrage can be used to determine the fair price of financial assets.

Equivalent martingale Measure and arbitrage freeness

If there is a probability measure Q on  $(\Omega, \mathbb{F})$  such that  $P \sim Q$  and that normalized price process  $\{\overline{X}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$  is a (local)martingale with respect to Q then  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$  admits no arbitrage.

Proof:

- Let  $\theta(t)$  be an arbitrage for  $\{\overline{X}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ .
- $\overline{V}^{\theta}(t)$  is a lower (local)martingale and thus a supermartingale with respect to Q.
- $\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_Q[V^{\theta}(T)] \leq V^{\theta}(0) = 0.$
- ►  $V^{\theta}(T, \omega) \ge 0$  Qa.s. and  $Q(V^{\theta}(T) > 0) > 0$  since  $P \sim Q$  which Implies that  $\mathbb{E}_Q[V^{\theta}(T)] > 0$ .

## Equivalent martingale measure and arbitrage freeness

- In discret time setting the arbitrage freeness insures the existence of an equivalent martingale measure.
- in the continuous time setting we have to settle with the following

#### Theorem

Let  $\hat{X}(t) = \{X_1(t), \dots, X_n(t)\}$ . The market  $\{X_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$  admits no arbitrage if and only if there exists a process  $u(t, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}^m(0, T)$  satisfying

- $\mathbb{E}[exp(\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|u(t,\omega)\|^2 dt)] < \infty$  (Novikov's condition)
- $\sigma(t,\omega)u(t,\omega) = \rho(t,\omega)\hat{X}(t,\omega) \mu(t,\omega)$  for a.a.  $(t,\omega)$ in  $[0,T] \times \Omega$ .
- Before proving the theorem we need to discuss the Girsanov theorem.

## The Girsanov theorem

Theorem Let  $u(t, \omega) \in \mathcal{V}^m(0, T)$  satisfy the Novikov's condition and  $\xi(t) := \exp(\int_0^t u(s, \omega) dB(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t ||u(s, \omega)||^2 ds)$ . The process  $\tilde{B}(t) := B(t) - \int_0^t u(s, \omega) ds$  is then an  $\mathcal{F}_t^m$ -adapted m-dimensional Brownian motion under a new probability measure  $\tilde{P} \sim P$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  such that  $\tilde{P}(A) = \mathbb{E}^P[\mathbf{1}_A, \xi(T)]$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

## Discussion of the Girsanov theorem

- The theorem states that  $d\tilde{B}(t)$  is obtained by subtracting a drift term from dB(t).
- Both {B(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> and {B̃(t)}<sub>t∈[0,T]</sub> are Brownian motions and thus do not have any drift.
- If dB(t) models the random infinitesimal increments of a given dynamical system then dB̃(t) can represent the unpredictable infinitesimal errors if we switch from P to P̃.

## Arbitrage freeness : The proof

"⇒"

- Assume that X(t) is normalized, i.e. that  $\rho = 0$
- Define  $\tilde{P}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$  as in the Girsanov Theorem.
- $\tilde{B}(t) = B(t) \int_0^t u(s, \omega) ds$  is a  $\tilde{P}$ -Brownian motion and  $\tilde{P} \sim P$ .
- $dX(t) = \sigma d\tilde{B}(t)$  and X(t) is a (local) $\tilde{P}$ -Martingale.
- There is an equivalent martingale measure which means that the market is arbitrage free.

## Arbitrage freeness : the proof

"⇐"

- Let  $A_t = \{\omega : \sigma(t, \omega) u(t, \omega) = -\mu(t, \omega) \text{ has no solutions} \}.$
- ►  $A_t = \{\omega : \exists a(t, \omega) \text{ with } \sigma^T(t, \omega) a(t, \omega) = 0 \text{ and } a(t, \omega) \cdot \mu(t, \omega) \neq 0 \}.$
- ▶ Define  $\theta_i(t, \omega) = \text{sign}(a(t, \omega) \cdot \mu(t, \omega))a_i(t, \omega)$  for  $\omega \in A_t$  and 0 else for i = 1, ..., n.
- choose θ<sub>0</sub>(t, ω) in such a way that makes θ(t) self-financing.
- ►  $V^{\theta}(t,\omega) \ge V^{\theta}(0)$  for  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ . Hence  $\mathbf{1}_{A_t} = 0$  for a.a.  $(t,\omega) \in \Omega \times [0, T]$ .

#### References

- Bernt Øksendal. Stochastic Differential Equations An Introduction with Applications, Fifth Edition, Springer-Verlag Heidelberg New York.
- Darrell Duffie. Dynamic Asset Pricing Theory, Third Edition, Princeton University Press.
- Salih Neftci. An Introduction to the Mathematics of Financial Derivatives, Academic Press.