

# A stochastic characterization of maximal parabolic $L^p$ -regularity

Stephan Fackler

Institute of Applied Analysis, University of Ulm

Workshop Probability, Analysis and Geometry (Ulm)

## Problem (MCF-equation)

We consider the mean curvature flow equation

$$(MCF) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial_i u \partial_j u}{1+|\nabla u|^2} \partial_i \partial_j u & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

- $x \mapsto (x, u(t, x))$  is the parameterization of a hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ .
- models the evolution of soap films.
- is a non-linear parabolic PDE.

How can we show the *local* existence and uniqueness of the (strong) solution of the problem?

## Problem (MCF-equation)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial_i u \partial_j u}{1+|\nabla u|^2} \partial_i \partial_j u =: G(u) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

We define the solution operator  $\mathbb{E} \ni u \mapsto Lu = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t u - \Delta u \\ u(0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}$ . Then  $u$  solves (MCF) iff

$$Lu = \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} \Leftrightarrow u = L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So: IF  $L$  is invertible and  $G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{pr}_1 \mathbb{F}$  the equation is reduced to a fixed point problem!

We want to apply the **Banach fixed point theorem** to  $u = L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We need:

- $\mathbb{E} \ni u \mapsto Lu = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t u - \Delta u \\ u(0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}$  invertible, that is for all  $(f, u_0)^T \in \mathbb{F}$  there is a unique  $u \in \mathbb{E}$  with  $Lu = (f, u_0)^T$ . We now use

$$\mathbb{E} := W^{1,p}(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

$$\mathbb{F} := L^p(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times \{u(0) : u \in \mathbb{E}\}.$$

We want to apply the **Banach fixed point theorem** to  $u = L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We need:

- $\mathbb{E} \ni u \mapsto Lu = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t u - \Delta u \\ u(0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}$  invertible, that is for all  $(f, u_0)^T \in \mathbb{F}$  there is a unique  $u \in \mathbb{E}$  with  $Lu = (f, u_0)^T$ . We now use

$$\mathbb{E} := W^{1,p}(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

$$\mathbb{F} := L^p(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times \{u(0) : u \in \mathbb{E}\}.$$

- $G$  maps  $\mathbb{E}$  into  $\text{pr}_1 \mathbb{F}$ : an important technicality: Sobolev embeddings show: true for  $p > n + 2$ .

Both points yield:  $L^{-1}G$  is a self-mapping, i.e.  $L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$

We want to apply the **Banach fixed point theorem** to  $u = L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

We need:

- $\mathbb{E} \ni u \mapsto Lu = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t u - \Delta u \\ u(0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}$  invertible, that is for all  $(f, u_0)^T \in \mathbb{F}$  there is a unique  $u \in \mathbb{E}$  with  $Lu = (f, u_0)^T$ . We now use

$$\mathbb{E} := W^{1,p}(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^p(0, T; W^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

$$\mathbb{F} := L^p(0, T; L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \times \{u(0) : u \in \mathbb{E}\}.$$

- $G$  maps  $\mathbb{E}$  into  $\text{pr}_1 \mathbb{F}$ : an important technicality: Sobolev embeddings show: true for  $p > n + 2$ .

Both points yield:  $L^{-1}G$  is a self-mapping, i.e.  $L^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} G(u) \\ u_0 \end{pmatrix} : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$

- Strict contraction follows from some basic calculations using  $DG(0) = 0$  and the smoothness of  $G$ .

The invertibility of  $\mathbb{E} \ni u \mapsto Lu = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t u - \Delta u \\ u(0) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}$  is the fundamental concept in our approach.

### Definition (Maximal regularity)

$X$  Banach space,  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  closed operator has *maximal  $L^p$ -regularity* if for all  $f \in L^p(0, T; X)$  there exists a unique solution

$$u \in \mathbb{E} := W^{1,p}(0, T; X) \cap L^p(0, T; D(A))$$

of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t) + Au(t) &= f(t) \\ u(0) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

$A$  closed:  $\{(x, Ax) : x \in D(A)\} \subset X \times X$  is closed subspace.

## Definition (Maximal regularity)

There exists a unique solution  $u \in \mathbb{E} := W^{1,p}(0, T; X) \cap L^p(0, T; D(A))$  of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t) + Au(t) &= f(t) \\ u(0) &= 0. \end{cases}$$

- Our example (MCF):  $X = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$
- Defining property holds

$$\text{for } u(0) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{for } u(0) = u_0 \in \{w(0) : w \in \mathbb{E}\}.$$



Fourier multiplier theorems:  $A = -\Delta$  has maximal  $L^p$ -regularity.

### Theorem (MCF-equation)

Let  $T > 0$ ,  $p \in (n + 2, \infty)$ . Then there exists  $\kappa(T) > 0$  such that

$$(MCF) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial_i u \partial_j u}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \partial_i \partial_j u & \text{in } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution  $u \in \mathbb{E}$  for  $\|u_0\|_{W^{2-\frac{2}{p}, p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \kappa$ .

How can maximal regularity be characterized?

### Theorem (Hilbert space characterization of maximal regularity)

$H$  Hilbert space,  $A$  closed operator on  $H$  has maximal regularity iff

- 1 For every  $x \in H$  there exists a unique solution  $u_x \in C([0, \infty); H)$  to the integrated problem

$$u_x(t) = u_0 - \int_0^t Au_x(s) ds.$$

- 2 The mapping  $t \mapsto u_x(t)$  extends to a holomorphic mapping

$$z \mapsto w_x(z)$$

for all  $x \in H$  and  $z$  in a sector  $\Sigma_\varphi := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg z| < \varphi\}$ .

- The criteria are easy to check in practice.
- Maximal regularity on Hilbert spaces is too weak for the study of non-linear PDE.

### Example

(MCF) needs maximal regularity on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $p > n + 2 > 2$ .

Reminder:

- 1 For every  $x \in X$  there exists a unique solution  $u_x \in C([0, \infty); X)$  to the integrated problem

$$u_x(t) = u_0 - \int_0^t Au_x(s) ds.$$

- 2 The mapping  $t \mapsto u_x(t)$  extends to a holomorphic mapping

$$z \mapsto w_x(z)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $z$  in a sector  $\Sigma_\varphi := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg z| < \varphi\}$ .

## Theorem

$X$  Banach space:  $A$  has maximal regularity  $\Rightarrow$  1) & 2.

Reminder:

- 1 For every  $x \in X$  there exists a unique solution  $u_x \in C([0, \infty); X)$  to the integrated problem

$$u_x(t) = u_0 - \int_0^t Au_x(s) ds.$$

- 2 The mapping  $t \mapsto u_x(t)$  extends to a holomorphic mapping

$$z \mapsto w_x(z)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $z$  in a sector  $\Sigma_\varphi := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : |\arg z| < \varphi\}$ .

## Theorem

$X$  Banach space:  $A$  has maximal regularity  $\Rightarrow$  1) & 2.

## Problem (Maximal regularity problem)

If  $X = L^p(\Omega)$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , do 1) & 2) imply maximal regularity?

## Problem (Maximal regularity problem)

*If  $X = L^p(\Omega)$  for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , do 1) & 2) imply maximal regularity?*

- H. Brézis: formulation of the problem at the beginning of the 80s.
- N. Kalton & G. Lancien: negative answer (2000). They merely showed the existence of a counterexample.
- S.F.: First explicit counterexample (2013).

## Theorem (Banach space characterization of maximal regularity)

- ① For every  $x \in H$  there exists a unique solution  $u_x \in C([0, \infty); X)$  to the integrated problem

$$u_x(t) = u_0 - \int_0^t Au_x(s) ds.$$

- ② The mapping  $t \mapsto u_x(t)$  extends to a holomorphic mapping

$$z \mapsto w_x(z) \quad (x \in X, z \in \Sigma_\varphi).$$

## Theorem (Banach space characterization of maximal regularity)

- ① For every  $x \in H$  there exists a unique solution  $u_x \in C([0, \infty); X)$  to the integrated problem

$$u_x(t) = u_0 - \int_0^t Au_x(s) ds.$$

- ② The mapping  $t \mapsto u_x(t)$  extends to a holomorphic mapping

$$z \mapsto w_x(z) \quad (x \in X, z \in \Sigma_\varphi).$$

- ③ For i.i.d. Rademacher variables  $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots$  ( $\mathbb{P}(r_i = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ ) one has

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k w_{x_k}(z_k) \right\| \leq C \cdot \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_k \right\|$$

for some  $C > 0$  and all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ,  $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \Sigma_\varphi$ .



Thank you for your attention!