





Extrapolation of Stationary Random Fields

Evgeny Spodarev | Institute of Stochastics

Summer Academy "Stochastic Analysis, Modelling and Simulation of Complex Structures", 11-17 September 2011

Lecture 1: Extrapolation of stationary random fields

- Motivation
- Linear prediction (extrapolation)
- Stationary random fields
- Covariance and variogram
- Examples of (non)stationary random fields

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

- Ordinary Kriging
- Kriging with drift
- Examples
- Literature

Natural disasters and their mapping (geosciences)





Hundred year flood, 2002

Winter storm "Kyrill", 2007

Significant changes of the claims expectancy in burglary insurance (Austria).



Centers of postal code regions



Changes of the claims expectancy

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Number of cancellations of insurance policies in motor car insurance (Bavaria).



Centers of postal code regions

Extrapolated numbers of cancellations (1998)

イロト 不得 とくき とくきと

Simulation and prediction of city road traffic (DLR, Berlin)



City road network / downtown Berlin

Mean velocity field

Criminality in Bavaria: Probability of housebreaking in April

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ж



Spatial data



 $\{X(t_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ - spatial data in observation window $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$. They are interpreted as a realisation of a real–valued random field

$$X = \{X(t): t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$$

which is a spatially indexed family of random variables defined on a joint probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$.

Spatial Prediction (Extrapolation)

Let the observations $X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n)$ of a random field $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be given for $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in W, W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ being a compact set.

Find a predictor $\hat{X}(t)$ for X(t), $t \notin \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ that is optimal in some sense and has a number of nice properties such as exactness, continuity, etc.

Examples of extrapolation methods

- Kriging
- Geoadditive regression models
- Radial methods
- Splines
- Whittaker smoothing
- Randomly coloured mosaics

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

▶ ...

Extrapolation: historical retrospective

- Wide sense stationary random functions: kriging (1952)
- Random functions without finite second moments:
 - discrete stable processes: minimization of dispersion (Cambanis, Soltani (1984); Brockwell, Cline (1985); Kokoszka (1996); Brockwell, Mitchell (1998); Gallardo et al. (2000); Hill (2000))
 - fractional stable motion: conditional simulation (Painter(1998))
 - subgaussian random functions: maximum likelihood (ML) (Painter(1998)), linear regression (Miller (1978)), conditional simulation
 - stable moving average processes: minimization of L¹-distance (Mohammadia, Mohammadpour (2009))
 - α-stable random fields with integral spectral repr.: three methods (Karcher, Shmileva, S. (2011))

Random field $X = \{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is (strictly) stationary if its probability law is translation invariant, i.e., all finite dimensional distributions are invariant with respect to any shifts in \mathbb{R}^d : for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ holds

$$(X(t_1+h),\ldots,X(t_n+h))\stackrel{d}{=}(X(t_1),\ldots,X(t_n)).$$

Random field $X = \{X(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ is stationary of 2nd order if $E X^2(t) < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and

- $E(X(t)) = \mu$ for all t.
- $\gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2}E\left[(X(t+h) X(t))^2\right]$ depends only on vector *h*, but not on *t*.

- Strict stationarity \(\nothermalleq \Rightarrow stationarity of second order\)
- A second order stationary random field is called isotropic if C(h) = C(|h|), h ∈ ℝ^d.

Correlation structure:

Let the random field $X = \{X(t)\}$ be stationary of second order.

• Variogram:
$$\gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2}E\left[(X(t+h) - X(t))^2\right]$$

• Covariance function: $C(h) = E[X(t) \cdot X(t+h)] - \mu^2$

 $\blacktriangleright \gamma(h) = C(0) - C(h)$

- ► A random field X is stochastically continuous if $X(t) \xrightarrow{P} X(t_0), t \to t_0$ for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ▶ A random field X is mean square continuous (m.s.c.) if $E(X(t) X(t_0))^2 \rightarrow 0$, $t \rightarrow t_0$ for all $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ► A second order stationary random field is m.s.c. $\iff C(h)$ is continuous at h = 0.
- ▶ *C* is positive definite: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, w_i \in \mathbb{R}, t_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} w_i w_j C(t_i - t_j) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i X(t_i)\right) \ge 0$$

► $|C(h)| \leq C(0) = \operatorname{Var} X(t)$ for 2nd order stationary X.

Examples of covariance functions

- Nugget effect (white noise): C(h) = b > 0 for |h| = 0 and C(h) = 0, |h| > 0.
- Exponential model: $C(h) = be^{-|h|/a}$, where b > 0 is the sill and a > 0 is the range.
- Spherical model, *d* ≤ 3: for positive *a* and *b*

$$C(h) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} b\left(1-3/2|h|/a+1/2|h|^3/a^3
ight), & 0\leq |h|\leq a, \ 0, & |h|>a. \end{array}
ight.$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > ・三 ・ のへぐ

Stationary random fields Variogram

- It holds γ(0) = 0.
- Symmetry: $\gamma(-h) = \gamma(h), h \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ► γ is conditionally negative definite: for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $w_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 0$ and $t_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ it holds $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} w_i w_j \gamma(t_i t_j) \leq 0$.
- ► γ is a variogram $\iff e^{-\lambda\gamma}$ is a covariance function $\forall\lambda$.
- If γ(h) ≤ γ(∞) < ∞ for all h then C(h) = γ(∞) − γ(h) is a valid covariance function.</p>
- Not all variograms are bounded: γ(h) = b|h|^α, b > 0, 0 < α < 2.</p>
- ► $\lim_{|h|\to\infty} \frac{\gamma(h)}{|h|^2} = 0$ for m.s.c. random functions X.

Variogram

- If γ₁ and γ₂ are variograms then γ = γ₁ + γ₂ is a variogram as well.
- If X is stationary and isotropic then γ(h) = γ(|h|), h ∈ ℝ^d.
- Many isotropic variogram models can be constructed using models for covariance functions.
- Anisotropic variogram models? e.g., geometrically anisotropic...

Exponential geometrically anisotropic variogram

$$\gamma(h) = \begin{cases} 0, & h = 0, \\ a + b(1 - e^{-\sqrt{h^{\top} K h}/c}), & h \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

- Nugget effect a: discontinuity of the data at the microscopic scale
- Sill b: variability of the data at large distances h
- Range c: the correlation range of random variables X(t) and X(t + h)
- K is the matrix of the composition of a rotation and a scaling.

Example: Gaussian random fields

A random field {X(t)} is called Gaussian if the distribution of (X(t₁), ..., X(tₙ))^T is multivariate Gaussian for each 1 ≤ n < ∞ and t₁, ..., tₙ ∈ ℝ^d.



The distribution of X is completely defined by the mean value function $\mu(t) = E X(t)$ and covariance function $C(s, t) = \text{Cov}(X(s), X(t)), s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence: strict stationarity \iff stationarity of second order.

(Non) stationary random fields

Fractional Brownian field: Gaussian field with EX(0) = 0 a.s., $\mu(t) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^d, C(s,t) = 1/2(|s|^{\alpha} + |t|^{\alpha} - |s-t|^{\alpha}),$ $\gamma(h) = 1/2|h|^{\alpha}, s, t, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and Hurst index $\alpha/2, \alpha \in (0,2).$ It is self-similar and has stationary increments.



A realization of the Brownian field ($\alpha = 1$)

Ordinary Kriging (D. Krige (1952), G. Matheron (1960s))

► Assumptions: *X* is stationary of second order.

Notation

- *t_i* : locations of the sample points
- $X(t_i)$: values of the sample points
- *n* : number of sample points
- λ_i : weights
- Estimator: $\widehat{X}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i X(t_i)$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$.
- ► The weights λ_i are chosen such that the estimation variance $\sigma_E^2 = Var(\hat{X}(t) X(t))$ is minimized.

Ordinary Kriging

•
$$\widehat{X}(t)$$
 is unbiased: $E \widehat{X}(t) = \mu$ since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i = 1$

• $\sigma_E^2 \rightarrow \min = \sigma_{OK}^2$: solve the Lagrange equations

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j \gamma(t_j - t_i) + \nu = \gamma(t - t_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = 1. \end{cases}$$

The minimal estimation variance:

$$\sigma_{OK}^2 = \nu + \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma(t_i - t)$$

Ordinary Kriging

Variogram fitting

To find the weights λ_i from the system of linear equations, the variogram $\gamma(h)$ has to be known or estimated from the data $X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n)$.

Matheron's estimator:

$$\hat{\gamma}(h) = \frac{1}{2N(h)} \sum_{i,j:t_i-t_j \approx h} \left(X(t_i) - X(t_j) \right)^2,$$

N(h) is the number of pairs $(t_i, t_j) : t_i - t_j \approx h$. Computations are made for h on a grid in \mathbb{R}^d .

Variogram fitting

Variogram point cloud and a fitted exponential variogram



distance

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

ъ

Properties of ordinary kriging

- The kriging predictor exists and is unique.
- BLUE: best linear unbiased estimator by definition.
- Exactness: $\widehat{X}(t_i) = X(t_i)$ a.s., i = 1, ..., n
- If X is a stationary Gaussian random field, X(t) ~ N(μ, σ²), then X̂ is Gaussian as well, and X̂(t) ~ N(μ, σ²₀(t)) with

$$\sigma_0^2(t) = \sigma^2 + \nu - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \gamma(t_i - t)$$

・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへで

Further theory of kriging

What if *X* is not stationary? $X(t) = \mu(t) + Y(t)$

- Universal kriging
- Kriging with drift:
 - Estimation of the drift µ and residual Y

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

Kriging of the residual Y

Kriging with drift

 Estimation of µ: many methods (splines, geostatistical regression, smoothing, etc.)
 Here: smoothing by the moving average

$$\widehat{\mu}(t) = \frac{1}{N_t} \sum_{t_i \in R(t)} X(t_i),$$

where R(t) is the neighborhood of tand $N_t = \#\{i : t_i \in R(t)\}.$

- Estimated residual $Y^*(t_i) = X(t_i) \hat{\mu}(t_i)$
- Extrapolation of Y from the data Y*(t₁),..., Y*(t_n), e.g. by ordinary kriging provided that Y is stationary of second order.

Synthetic data: disturbed Boolean models

Let Ξ be a stationary Boolean model with intensity λ and deterministic rectangular primary grain $\Xi_0 = [a, b]^2$. Let $\xi = B_r(o)$ be a deterministic disturbance.

$$\blacktriangleright \mu(t) = \mathbf{1}(t \in \xi)$$

►
$$Y(t) = \mathbb{1}(t \in \Xi) - p_{\Xi}$$
 where
 $p_{\Xi} = E \mathbb{1}\{o \in \Xi\} = P(o \in \Xi) = 1 - e^{-\lambda |\Xi_0|} = 1 - e^{-\lambda ab}$
is the area fraction of Ξ .

Y is a stationary random field of second order with the covariance function

$$C(h) = 2p_{\Xi} - 1 + (1 - p_{\Xi})^2 e^{\lambda |\Xi_0 \cap (\Xi_0 - h)|}$$

and the anisotropic variogram

$$\gamma(h) = \mathcal{C}(0) - \mathcal{C}(h) = 1 - p_{\Xi} - (1 - p_{\Xi})^2 e^{\lambda |\Xi_0 \cap (\Xi_0 - h)|}$$

In the special case of $\Xi_0 = [a, b]^2$ it holds

$$\gamma(h) = e^{-\lambda ab} \left(1 - e^{-\lambda(ab-|\Xi_0 \cap (\Xi_0 - h)|)}\right).$$

●●● ● ▲回▼ ▲回▼ ▲回▼ ▲回▼

.

Disturbed Boolean models



Realisation of Ξ and ξ

Measurement points t_1, \ldots, t_n

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Disturbed Boolean models



Theoretical variogram γ

Estimated variogram $\hat{\gamma}^*$

Fitted variogram γ^{*}

Disturbed Boolean models



Disturbed Boolean models





Realisation of $X = \mu + Y$

Extrapolated field $\widehat{X} = \widehat{\mu} + \widehat{Y}^*$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ …注: のへぐ

Kriging: further examples

Quality of ground water in Baden-Württemberg





Nitrate concentration, 1994

Drilling points

Application: excursion sets



Excursion set of function X over level $u \in \mathbb{R}$: $\Xi_X(u) = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^2 : X(t) \ge u\}.$

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ ��や

Application: examples of excursion sets





Significant changes of the nitrate concentration in ground water, Baden-Württemberg, 1993–1994 Dangerous risk zones for the burglary insurance, Austria

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト
Further Applications

- Biology, Medicine
- Geology: exploration of mineral resources

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

- Materials Sciences
- Physics, Astronomy
- Humanities

Literature

- Adler, R. J. and Taylor, J. (2007) Random fields and geometry. Springer.
- Chiles, J.-P., Delfiner. P. (1999) Geostatistics. Modelling spatial uncertainty. J. Wiley & Sons.
- Cressie, N. A. C. (1993) *Statistics for spatial data*. J. Wiley & Sons.
- Ivanov, A. V., Leonenko N. N. (1989) Statistical analysis of random fields. Kluwer.
- Schlather, M. (1999) Introduction to positive definite functions and to unconditional simulation of random fields. Technical report ST 99-10, Lancaster University.
- ▶ Wackernagel, H. (1998) *Multivariate geostatistics*. Springer.
- Yaglom, A. M. (1987) Correlation theory of stationary and related random functions. Springer.

Lecture 2: Stable laws and random fields

- Motivation
- Normalisation: Box–Cox transform
- Stable distributions
- Covariation
- Random measures
- Stochastic integration
- Stable random fields and their properties

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

- Stability and association
- Literature

Random fields without a finite second moment

- Before: Extrapolation of 2nd order stationary random fields
- Now: need more flexible models and corresponding extrapolation methods for random fields with infinite variance. Why do we take care?



Centers of 2047 postal code regions in Austria

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日





Histogram of the deviations

Q-Q plot of the deviations

ヘロン 不得 とくほ とくほう

- Goal: Spatial modelling of the deviations Y(t) = X(t) − µ(t) from the mean claim payments µ(t) = E X(t) with random fields.
- However, the distribution of the deviations is not Gaussian (rather skewed and heavy-tailed).

Tools:

- Make the random field Y Gaussian: use Box-Cox Transformation
 - \implies modelling of medium sized claims.
- Modelling of all claims: use stable random fields.

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

Motivation: storm insurance in Austria Box-Cox-type Transformation (Bickel & Doksum, 1981):

- ▶ Set $\psi_{\lambda}(x) = \{ \operatorname{sgn}(x) | x|^{\lambda} 1 \} / \lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0.$
- ► Transform a random variable Z with density g to ψ_λ(Z). Let g_λ be the density of ψ_λ(Z).
- ► How to find the correct value of λ > 0? Hernandez & Johnson (1980): minimize the Kullback – Leibler information

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda}(x) \log\{g_{\lambda}(x)/\varphi_{\mu,\sigma^{2}}(x)\} dx\right| \to \min_{\lambda,\mu,\sigma^{2}},$$

where φ_{μ,σ^2} is the density of the $N(\mu,\sigma^2)$ distribution.

More sophisticated transforms: see I.-K. Yeo & R. A. Johnson (2000)

Application of a transformation of Box-Cox type makes the data more normally distributed.





Kriged deviations

Gaussian random field

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Spatial risks of the storm insurance in Austria (only medium sized claims)

Modelling with stable random fields



Kriged deviation map for insurance year 2000 (left) and a realisaton of the fitted α -stable random field (right) (all claims).

Stable distributions (Kchinchine, Levy, 1930s):

• A random variable X is said to have a stable distribution if there is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables $Y_1, Y_2, ...$ and sequences of positive numbers $\{d_n\}$ and real numbers $\{a_n\}$, such that

・ロン・日本・ビア・ビア・ ビー うくつ

$$rac{Y_1+\ldots+Y_n}{d_n}+a_n\stackrel{d}{
ightarrow} X$$

where $\stackrel{d}{\rightarrow}$ denotes convergence in distribution.

▶ A random variable X is stable if and only if for $A, B > 0 \exists C > 0, D \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$AX_1 + BX_2 \stackrel{d}{=} CX + D$$

where X_1 and X_2 are independent copies of X.

• There exists a number $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ (index of stability)

such that $C^{\alpha} = A^{\alpha} + B^{\alpha}$

- Also referred to as $(\alpha -)$ stable distribution
- For $\alpha = 2$: normal distribution
- ► A random vector $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, ..., X_d)^\top$ is called stable if for $A, B > 0 \exists C > 0, \boldsymbol{D} \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

 $AX^{(1)} + BX^{(2)} \stackrel{d}{=} CX + D$ (a) (2) (2) (2)

Characteristic function of an α-stable random variable X ~ S_α(σ, β, μ), 0 < α ≤ 2:</p>

$$E\left(e^{i\theta X}\right) = \begin{cases} e^{-\sigma^{\alpha}|\theta|^{\alpha}(1-i\beta\operatorname{sgn}\theta\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2})+i\mu\theta} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1\\ e^{-\sigma|\theta|(1+i\beta\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sgn}\theta\ln|\theta|)+i\mu\theta} & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Parameters σ , β , μ are unique for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$:
 - $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$: shift
 - ▶ $\beta \in [-1, 1]$: skewness (form) , $\beta = 0$: symmetry
 - *σ* ≥ 0: scale

Multivariate stable distributions

► Characteristic function of an α -stable random vector $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, ..., X_d)^{\top}, 0 < \alpha \leq 2$:

$$E\left(e^{i\cdot\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{X}}\right) = \begin{cases} -\int\limits_{S_{d}} |\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{s}|^{\alpha} \left(1-i\operatorname{sgn}\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{s}\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right)\Gamma(d\boldsymbol{s}) + i\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{\mu} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1\\ -\int\limits_{S_{d}} |\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{s}| \left(1+i\frac{2}{\pi}\operatorname{sgn}\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{s}\ln|\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{s}|\right)\Gamma(d\boldsymbol{s}) + i\theta^{T}\boldsymbol{\mu} & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$

where Γ is a finite (spectral) measure on the unit sphere S_d of \mathbb{R}^d and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

- **Parameters** Γ and μ are unique for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$:
 - $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$: shift
 - Γ: skewness (form) and scale together.

- Symmetric random vector A random vector \boldsymbol{X} in \mathbb{R}^d is *symmetric* if $\mathbb{P}(\boldsymbol{X} \in A) = \mathbb{P}(-\boldsymbol{X} \in A)$ for any Borel set $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Symmetric stable random vector
 A symmetric α-stable random vector X (SαS) in R^d
 has a characteristic function

$$arphi_{oldsymbol{\chi}}(oldsymbol{ heta}) = oldsymbol{e}^{-\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} |\langle oldsymbol{ heta}, oldsymbol{s}
angle |^lpha \Gamma(doldsymbol{s})}, \quad oldsymbol{ heta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where the spectral measure Γ is symmetric on S_d .

If Γ is not concentrated on a great sub-sphere of S_d, then X is called full-dimensional.

Properties and characteristics

- ▶ Moments: if $p < \alpha$ then $E |X|^p < \infty$. For $p \ge \alpha$, it holds $E |X|^p = \infty$.
- Covariation: for an α -stable random vector $(X_1, X_2)^{\top}$,

 $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ with spectral measure Γ define

$$[X_1, X_2]_{\alpha} = \int_{S_1} s_1 s_2^{<\alpha - 1>} \Gamma(ds_1, ds_2)$$

where $a^{} := |a|^p \operatorname{sgn}(a)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p \ge 0$.

Gaussian case α = 2: if (X₁, X₂)[⊤] is a centered Gaussian random vector then

$$[X_1, X_2]_2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{Cov}(X_1, X_2).$$

Covariation and moments

Lemma

Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and suppose that $(X, Y)^T$ is an α -stable random vector with spectral measure Γ such that $X \sim S_{\alpha}(\sigma_X, \beta_X, 0)$ and $Y \sim S_{\alpha}(\sigma_Y, \beta_Y, 0)$. For $1 \le p < \alpha$, it holds

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}\left(XY^{< p-1>}\right)}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^{p}} = \frac{[X, Y]_{\alpha}(1 - c \cdot \beta_{Y}) + c \cdot (X, Y)_{\alpha}}{\sigma_{Y}^{\alpha}},$$

where $(X, Y)_{\alpha} := \int_{S_1} s_1 |s_2|^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(ds)$ and $c := c_{\alpha,p}(\beta_Y)$ is a constant. If Y is symmetric, i. e. $\beta_Y = 0$, then c = 0.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Stable random fields

- A random field {X(t), t ∈ ℝ^d} is called α-stable if the distribution of (X(t₁),...,X(t_n))[⊤] is multivariate α-stable for any 1 ≤ n < ∞ and t₁,...,t_n ∈ ℝ^d.
- Spectral representation: for centered separable in probability α-stable fields with 1 < α < 2</p>

$$\{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\} \stackrel{d}{=} \left\{ \int_E f_t(x) M(dx), t \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}$$

where

- $f_t \in L^{\alpha}(E)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
- M is an α-stable independently scattered random measure on E with control measure m and skewness intensity β.

Random measures

Let (E, \mathcal{E}, m) be a measurable space with a σ -finite measure $m, \mathcal{E}_0 = \{A \in \mathcal{E} : m(A) < \infty\},\$

 $L_0(\Omega) = \{ \text{random variables on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \boldsymbol{P}) \}.$

An independently scattered stable random measure *M* with control measure *m* and skewness intensity $\beta : E \to \mathbb{R}$ is a random measure with independent α -stable increments, i.e., an a.s. σ -additive function $M : \mathcal{E}_0 \to L_0(\Omega)$ with

$$M(A) \sim S_{\alpha}\left((m(A))^{1/lpha}, \int_{A} \beta(x) m(dx)/m(A), 0\right)$$

for any $A \in \mathcal{E}_0$.

Stochastic integration

For $f \in L^{\alpha}(E)$, construct $I(f) = \int_{E} f(x) M(dx)$, where *M* is an independently scattered α -stable random measure on (E, \mathcal{E}) with control measure *m* and skewness intensity β .

▶ Simple functions: for $f(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j \mathbb{1}(x \in A_j), x \in E$, with $A_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$: $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$, $i \neq j$, we set

$$I(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j M(A_j).$$

General functions: for any *f* ∈ *L*^α(*E*), there exists a sequence of simple functions *f_n* ↑ *f* a.e. on *E*. Set

$$I(f) = p - \lim_{n \to \infty} I(f_n).$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト ヨー わへの

Stochastic integral

This limit exists and does not depend on the choice of the sequence $\{f_n\}$ tending to *f*.

• Distribution: $I(f) \sim S_{\alpha}(\sigma_f, \beta_f, \mu_f)$, where

$$\sigma_{f} = \left(\int_{E} |f(x)|^{\alpha} m(dx) \right)^{1/\alpha} = \|f\|_{L^{\alpha}},$$
$$\beta_{f} = \frac{\int_{E} f(x)^{<\alpha>} \beta(x) m(dx)}{\int_{E} |f(x)|^{\alpha} \beta(x) m(dx)},$$
$$\mu_{f} = \begin{cases} 0, & \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{E} f(x) \beta(x) \log |f(x)| m(dx), & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

・ロト・(四)・(日)・(日)・(日)

Examples: α -stable random fields



Epanechnikov kernel: for a > 0 and b > 0

$$f_t(x) = b \cdot (a^2 - \|x - t\|_2^2) \mathbb{1}_{\|x - t\|_2 \le a}(x)$$

▶ Pyramid kernel: for a > 0 and b > 0, $t = (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $f_t(x) = b \cdot (a - |x_1 - t_1|) \cdot (a - |x_2 - t_2|) \mathbb{I}_{a \ge |x_1 - t_1|, a \ge |x_2 - t_2|}(x)$

Examples: α -stable random fields

Sub-Gaussian random fields:

- ▶ Let $A \sim S_{\alpha/2}((\cos(\pi \alpha/4))^{2/\alpha}, 1, 0)$ and let $G = \{G(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a stationary zero mean Gaussian random field with covariance function *C*. Assume that *A* is independent of *G*. The $S\alpha S$ random field $X = \{X(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ with $X(t) = A^{1/2}G(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is called sub-Gaussian.
- ▶ Characteristic function of $X_{t_1,...,t_n} = (X(t_1),...,X(t_n))^\top$: for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_1,...,t_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ it holds

$$\varphi_{X_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}}(s_1,\ldots,s_n) = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left|\sum_{i,j=1}^n C(t_i-t_j)s_is_j\right|^{\alpha/2}\right\}.$$

Stable random fields

$$X(t) = \int_{E} f_t(x) M(dx), \ t \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

where $f_t \in L^{\alpha}(E)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and *M* is an α -stable independently scattered r. meas. with control meas. *m* and skewness β . Properties and characteristics

- Symmetry: if $\beta(x) = 0 \forall x$ then the field X is symmetric.
- Scale parameter of X(t): $\sigma_{X(t)} = ||f_t||_{L^{\alpha}}$ where

$$(\mathbb{E}|X(t)|^p)^{1/p} = c_{\alpha,\beta}(p) \cdot \sigma_{X(t)}$$

for $0 , <math>0 < \alpha < 2$ and some constant $c_{\alpha,\beta}(p)$.

▶ Covariation function: for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$

$$\kappa(t_1, t_2) = [X(t_1), X(t_2)]_{\alpha} = \int_E f_{t_1}(x) f_{t_2}(x)^{<\alpha - 1>} m(dx).$$

Stable random fields

Properties

- ▶ Stationarity: if $E = \mathbb{R}^d$, $f_t(x) = f(t x)$, $x, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and m(dx) = dx then X is stationary (moving average) and $\kappa(s, t) = \kappa(s t, o) = \kappa(h)$, h = s t, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- ► Linear dependence: For a *d*-dimensional α -stable random vector $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)^T$ with integral representation

$$\left(\int_E f_1(x)M(dx),\ldots,\int_E f_d(x)M(dx)\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$$

let Γ be its spectral measure. **X** is not full-dimensional (i.e., Γ is concentrated on a great sub–sphere of S_d) iff $\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i X_i = 0$ a.s. for some $(c_1, \ldots, c_d)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. This is equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{d} c_i f_i(x) = 0$ *m*-a. e.

Stability and association Association

▶ A random vector $(X, Y)^T$ is called associated if, for any functions $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ which are non-decreasing in each argument, one has

$$Cov(f(X, Y), g(X, Y)) \ge 0$$

whenever the covariance exists.

► It is called negatively associated if for any functions $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which are non-decreasing, one has

$$\operatorname{Cov}(f(X), g(Y)) \leq 0$$

whenever the covariance exists.

Stability and association

Lemma

Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $(X, Y)^T$ be an α -stable random vector with integral representation

 $(X, Y)^{\mathsf{T}} = \left(\int_{E} f_1(x)M(dx), \int_{E} f_2(x)M(dx)\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$. Then $(X, Y)^{\mathsf{T}}$ is associated (negatively associated) if and only if $f_1 f_2 \ge 0$ ($f_1 f_2 \le 0$) *m*-almost everywhere.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Stability and association

Corollary (Decomposition of a stable random vector) Let $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $(X, Y)^T$ be an α -stable random vector. Then there exist α -stable random variables X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 s.t.

$$X = X_1 + X_2$$
 and $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$ a.s.,

 $(X_1, Y_1)^T$ is associated, $(X_2, Y_2)^T$ is negatively associated, and the components of each of the random vectors $(X_1, X_2)^T$, $(X_1, Y_2)^T$, $(Y_1, X_2)^T$, $(Y_1, Y_2)^T$ are independent.

Corollary (Association, covariation)

Let $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $(X, Y)^T$ be an α -stable random vector. If $(X, Y)^T$ is associated (negatively associated), then $[X, Y]_{\alpha} \ge 0$ ($[X, Y]_{\alpha} \le 0$).

Example: Spatial modelling of storm data (Austria)



Parameter estimation for the one-dimensional case:

The field *X* of deviations from the mean claim sizes has the univariate distribution $X(t) \sim S_{\alpha}(\sigma, \beta, \mu)$ with

α	β	σ	μ
1.3562	0.2796	234.286	6.7787

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Literature

- Feller, W. An introduction to the probability theory and its applications. 2nd ed., Vol. 2, Wiley, 1971.
- Karcher, W., Shmileva, E. and Spodarev, E. Extrapolation of stable random fields. Preprint, submitted, 2011.
- Rachev, S.T., Mittnik, S. Stable Paretian Models in Finance. Wiley, New York, 2000.
- Samorodnitsky, G., Taqqu, M. Stable Non-Gaussian Processes, Chapman & Hall, 1994.
- Uchaikin, V. V., Zolotarev, V. M. Chance and Stability. Stable Distributions and their Applications. VSP, Utrecht, 1999.
- Zolotarev, V. M. One–dimensional stable distributions. AMS, 1986.
- Yeo, I.-N., Johnson, R. A. A new family of power transformations to improve normality or symmetry. Biometrika 87 (4), pp. 954–959, 2000.

Lecture 3: Extrapolation of stable random fields

(Non)linear predictors and their properties

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ● ● ●

- Least scale predictor
- Covariation orthogonal predictor
- Maximization of covariation
- Numerical results
- Open problems
- Literature

Prediction

Let X be a centered (E X(t) = 0, t ∈ R^d) α-stable random field, 1 < α ≤ 2, with skewness intensity β satisfying the spectral representation

$$X(t) = \int\limits_E f_t(x)M(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

- ▶ Let $X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n)$ be the observations of X for $t_1, \ldots, t_n \in W, W \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ being a compact set.
- ▶ Non-linear predictors for X(t), $t \notin \{t_1, ..., t_n\}$: for some particular random functions (e.g. subgaussian ones) one can use

- Maximum likelihood (ML) predictors
- Conditional simulators

Linear predictors

• Linear predictor for X(t), $t \notin \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$:

$$\widehat{X}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i X(t_i),$$

where
$$\lambda_i = \lambda_i(t, t_1, \dots, t_n)$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, n$

Properties

- \widehat{X} is unbiased since $\mathbb{E} \, \widehat{X}(t) = 0, \, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- \widehat{X} is exact if $\widehat{X}(t_i) = X(t_i)$ a.s., i = 1, ..., n.
- ► \widehat{X} is continuous if $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\cdot, t_1, \dots, t_n)$ are continuous as functions of $t, i = 1, \dots, n$

Linear predictors

 $\widehat{X}(t)$ should be optimal in a sense that it

- ► minimizes the scale parameter $\sigma_{\widehat{X(t)}-X(t)}$ ⇒ Least Scale Linear (LSL) Predictor
- ▶ mimics the covariation structure between X(t) and $X(t_j)$, j = 1, ..., n

 \implies Covariation Orthogonal Linear (COL) Predictor

• maximizes the covariation between X(t) and $\hat{X}(t)$ \implies Maximization of Covariation Linear (MCL) Predictor

Least Scale Linear Predictor

Generalization of Kriging techniques:

$$\sigma_{\widehat{X(t)}-X(t)}^{\alpha} = \int_{E} \left| f_t(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f_{t_i}(x) \right|^{\alpha} m(dx) \to \min$$

with respect to $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$.

Non-linear optimization problem \implies numerical methods for its solution.
Least Scale Linear Predictor

Lemma

A solution of the above minimization problem resolves the system of equations

$$\left[X(t_j), X(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i X(t_i)\right]_{\alpha} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

which can be written as

$$\int_E f_{t_j}(x) \left(f_t(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_{t_i}(x)\right)^{<\alpha-1>} m(dx) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots n.$$

This is a system of non–linear equations in $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$.

Least Scale Linear Predictor

Theorem

- Existence: The LSL estimator exists.
- ► Uniqueness: Assume that the random vector (X(t₁),...,X(t_n))^T is full-dimensional. Then the LSL estimator is unique.
- Exactness: If there is a unique LSL estimator, then it is obviously exact.
- ► Continuity: If the random field X is stochastically continuous and (X(t₁),...,X(t_n))^T is full-dimensional then the LSL estimator is continuous.

Least Scale Linear Predictor

Example: $S\alpha S$ Lévy motion

 $X(t) = \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}(x \le t) M(dx)$, where *M* is a $S \alpha S$ random measure with Lebesgue control measure. Let t = 3/4 and $t_1 = 1$. Then the optimization problem for the LSL predictor is

$$\sigma_{\widehat{X(t)}-X(t)}^{\alpha} = \int_{0}^{3/4} |1-\lambda_{1}|^{\alpha} dx + \int_{3/4}^{1} |\lambda_{1}|^{\alpha} dx$$
$$= \frac{3}{4} |1-\lambda_{1}|^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4} |\lambda_{1}|^{\alpha} \to \min_{\lambda_{1}}.$$

We obtain the LSL predictor

$$\widehat{X(t)} = \frac{1}{1 + (1/3)^{1/(\alpha - 1)}} X(t_1).$$

Let *X* be a random field as above. The linear predictor with weights $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ being a solution of the following system of equations

$$[X(t), X(t_j)]_{\alpha} = [\widehat{X(t)}, X(t_j)]_{\alpha}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

is the COL predictor. It is a linear system of equations

$$\left[X(t),X(t_j)\right]_{\alpha}-\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\left[X(t_i),X(t_j)\right]_{\alpha}=0, \quad j=1,\ldots,n.$$

The COL predictor is obviously exact.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The regression of X(t) on $(X(t_1), ..., X(t_n))^T$ is called linear if there exists some $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that it holds a.s.

$$\mathbb{E}(X(t)|X(t_1),\ldots,X(t_n))=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_iX(t_i).$$

Lemma

If the regression of X(t) on the random vector $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))^T$ is linear then the vector $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)^T$ is a solution of the COL system of equations.

・ロト ・ 理ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨー ・ つへで

Theorem

Let X be an α -stable moving average.

- If the kernel function f : ℝ^d → ℝ₊ is positive semi-definite, then the covariation function κ is positive semi-definite. If f : ℝ^d → ℝ₊ is positive definite and positive on a set with positive Lebesgue measure, then κ is positive definite.
- If the covariation function is positive definite then the COL predictor exists and is unique.
- If the covariation function is positive definite and continuous, then the COL predictor is continuous.

Proof.

The weights of the COL predictor satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \kappa(0) & \cdots & \kappa(t_n - t_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \kappa(t_n - t_1) & \cdots & \kappa(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa(t - t_1) \\ \vdots \\ \kappa(t - t_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: $S\alpha S$ Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process.

$$X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda(t-x)} \mathbb{1}(t-x \ge 0) M(dx), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

for some $\lambda > 0$, where *M* is a $S\alpha S$ random measure with Lebesgue control measure. If $t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_n < t$, then the regression of X(t) on $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))^T$ is linear, and $\widehat{X(t)} = e^{-\lambda(t-t_n)}X(t_n)$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Symmetric case

If X is additionally symmetric then

▶ the unknown quantities [X(t), X(t_j)]_α and [X(t_i), X(t_j)]_α can be estimated by using

$$\frac{\mathbb{E}XY^{<\rho-1>}}{\mathbb{E}|Y|^{\rho}} = \frac{[X,Y]_{\alpha}}{\sigma_{Y}^{\alpha}},$$

where $(X, Y)^{\mathsf{T}}$ is an α -stable vector and $1 or by estimating the kernel function <math>f_t$ and using the representation of covariation in terms of f_t .

Lemma

If the COL estimator is unique and the regression of X(t) on $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))^{\mathsf{T}}$ is linear, then the weights $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of the COL estimator $\widehat{X(t)}$ are a solution of the minimization problem

$$\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}(X(t)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}b_{i}X(t_{i})|X(t_{1}),...,X(t_{n})) \xrightarrow{} \min_{b_{1},...,b_{n}}.$$

If the spectral measure of $(X(t_1), ..., X(t_n))^T$ is full-dimensional, then this solution is unique. The regression of X(t) on $(X(t_1), ..., X(t_n))^T$ is linear if X is e.g. a (sub)Gaussian random function.

Let X be a centered (sub)Gaussian α -stable random field with covariance function C of the Gaussian part. Then

$$[X(t_i), X(t_j)]_{\alpha} = 2^{-\alpha/2} C(t_i - t_j) C(0)^{(\alpha-2)/2}.$$

The COL predictor is the solution of the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} C(0) & \cdots & C(t_n - t_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C(t_n - t_1) & \cdots & C(0) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C(t - t_1) \\ \vdots \\ C(t - t_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

and thus coincides with simple kriging.

Theorem

Let X be a centered (sub)Gaussian α -stable random field with positive definite covariance function C of the Gaussian part.

- ► The COL predictor exists and is unique.
- If the covariance function is continuous, then the COL predictor is continuous.

Theorem

For (sub)Gaussian random fields, the COL and LSL predictors for X(t) coincide (with the maximum–likelihood (ML) estimator of X(t)).

Maximization of Covariation Linear Predictor

Let X be an α -stable random field with spectral integral representation and $\alpha > 1$. To construct the MCL predictor, solve

$$\begin{cases} \left[\widehat{X(t)}, X(t)\right]_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \left[X(t_i), X(t)\right]_{\alpha} \to \max_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n}, \\ \sigma_{\widehat{X(t)}} = \sigma_{X(t)}, \end{cases}$$

where the condition $\sigma_{\widehat{X(t)}} = \sigma_{X(t)}$ means $\widehat{X(t)} \stackrel{d}{=} X(t)$ for $S \alpha S$ random fields.

Maximization of Covariation Linear Predictor

Theorem

Assume that the random vector $(X(t_1), \ldots, X(t_n))^T$ is full-dimensional.

- Existence: The MCL predictor exists.
- ▶ Uniqueness: If $[X(t_i), X(t)]_{\alpha} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ then the MCL predictor is unique.
- Exactness: If the MCL predictor is unique then it is exact.
- Continuity: If X is a moving average, the covariation function κ is continuous and κ(t_i − t) ≠ 0 for some i ∈ {1,..., n} then the MCL predictor is continuous.

Two-dimensional $S\alpha S$ Lévy motion

$$X(t) = \int_{[0,1]^2} \mathbb{1}\{x_1 \leq t_1, x_2 \leq t_2\} M(dx), \quad t \in [0,1]^2,$$

where *M* is a $S\alpha S$ random measure with m = Lebesgue control measure and $\alpha = 1.5$.

Method	5%-Quantile	1st Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	95%-Quantile
LSL	-0.5170	-0.1246	0.0000	0.1226	0.5045
COL	-0.5263	-0.1289	0.0002	0.1266	0.5137
MCL	-0.6093	-0.1455	-0.0007	0.1407	0.5895

Summary statistics for the deviations $X(t) - \hat{X}(t)$.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



Realization of the Lévy stable motion (top left) and the extrapolations (out of 9 observation points) based on the LSL method (top right), the COL method (bottom left) and the MCL method (bottom right) P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P + < P

Subgaussian random field

$$X = \{A^{1/2}G(t), t \in [0, 1]^2\}$$

with $\alpha = 1.5$, $A \sim S_{\alpha/2}((\cos(\pi \alpha/4))^{2/\alpha}, 1, 0)$ and *G* being a stationary isotropic Gaussian random field with covariance function

$$C(h) = 7 \exp\{-(h/0.1)^2\}, \quad h \ge 0.$$

Method	5%-Quantile	1st Quartile	Median	3rd Quartile	95%-Quantile
LSL (COL, ML)	-1.5451	-0.4446	0.0018	0.4503	1.5363
MCL	-1.8204	-0.4899	0.0046	0.5016	1.7580
CS	-2.7523	-0.5837	0.0058	0.5985	2.7262

Summary statistics for the deviations $X(t) - \widehat{X(t)}$.



Realization of the sub-Gaussian random field (top left) and the extrapolations (out of 9 observation points) based on the LSL (COL, ML) method (top right), the MCL method (bottom left) and the CS method (bottom right).

Open problems

Extrapolation methods and their properties for stable random fields with α ∈ (0, 1]

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほ とうほ

- ► Control of skewness of known predictors for non-symmetric stable random fields (β ≠ 0)
- Characterization of the covariation function

Literature

- Brockwell, P. J., Cline, D. B. H., Linear prediction of ARMA processes with infinite variance, Stoch. Process. Appl. 19: 281-296 (1985)
- Brockwell, P. J., Mitchell, H., Linear prediction for a class of multivariate stable processes, Stoch. Models 14(1): 297-310 (1998)
- Cambanis, S., Soltani, A. R., Prediciton of stable Processes: Spectral and moving average representations, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete 66: 593-612 (1984)
- Gallardo, J. R., Matrakis, D., Orozco-Barbosa, L., Prediction of alpha-stable long-range dependent stochastic processes, Adv. Perform. Anal. 3(1): 31-42 (2000)
- Hill, J. B., Minimum Dispersion and Unbiasedness: 'Best' Linear Predictors for Stationary ARMA α-Stable Processes, Preprint (2000)
- Kokoszka, P. S., Prediction of infinite variance fractional ARIMA: Probab. Math. Statist. 16(1): 65-83 (1996)
- Mohammadia M., Mohammadpour A., Best linear prediction for α-stable random processes, Statist. Probab. Lett. 79(21): 2266-2272 (2009)
- Painter, S., Numerical method for conditional simulation of Lévy random fields, Math. Geol. 30(2): 163-179 (1998)

Image Sources

- S. Böhm, J. Grimm-Strele, V. Schmidt, B. Schneider, "Untersuchung der Grundwasserbeschaffenheit in Baden-Württemberg mit Methoden der räumlichen Statistik", Hydrologie und Wasserbewirtschaftung 47, no. 1, 2003, 1-12
- H. Braxmeier, V. Schmidt, E. Spodarev "Spatial extrapolation of anisotropic road traffic data" Image Analysis and Stereology 23 (2004), 185-198
- de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bild:WMAP.jpg
- E. Gräf "Räumliche Untersuchung des Versicherungsbestandes eines Kraftfahrzeugversicheres", Diplomarbeit, Universität Ulm, 2003
- katrinadestruction.com
- T. Mattfeldt, D. Meschenmoser, U. Pantle, V. Schmidt, "Characterization Of Mammary Gland Tissue Using Joint Estimators Of Minkowski Functionals", Image Analysis and Stereology 26 (2007), 13-22
- Y. Njike "Raum–Zeit-Prognose von räumlich aufgelösten Schadensdaten in der Haushaltsversicherung" Diplomarbeit, Universität Ulm, 2006
- J. Rumpf, H. Weindl, P. Hoeppe, E. Rauch, V. Schmidt,"Stochastic Modelling of Tropical Cyclone Tracks", to appear in Math. Meth. Oper. Res. (2007)
- spiegel.de