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This Appendix provides the basic derivations of the multi-country trade model of heterogeneous firms presented in Hesse (2014). The ensuing presentation borrows from Dixit \& Stiglitz (1977), Melitz (2003) as well as Helpman et al. (2010a) and its technical appendix, Helpman et al. (2010b).

## 1. A FIRM'S REVENUE AND EXPORT DECISION

## a. Domestic Demand

The preferences of a representative consumer are given by a C.E.S. utility function over a continuum of varieties indexed by $\omega$ :

$$
U=\left[\int_{\omega \in \Omega} y(\omega)^{\rho} d \omega\right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}},
$$

where $y(\omega)$ indexes the amount of variety $\omega$ and $\Omega$ represents the set of available varieties within the sector. These varieties are substitutes, implying $0<\rho<1$ and an elasticity of substitution between any two varieties of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{1-\rho}>1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho=1-\frac{1}{\sigma}=\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The consumer's constrained maximization problem may be solved by the Lagrangian

$$
\mathcal{L}=U^{\rho}-\lambda\left(\int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega) y(\omega) d \omega-I\right),
$$

where $U^{\rho}$ is a strictly increasing transformation of $U, p(\omega)$ the price of variety $\omega$, and $I$ the consumer's income. The maximization problem yields the following first-order condition

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y(\omega)}=\rho y(\omega)^{\rho-1}-\lambda p(\omega)=0 .
$$

By dividing the first-order condition of one variety $\omega_{1}$ by the first-order condition of another variety $\omega_{2}$, we obtain the relative demand

$$
\frac{y\left(\omega_{1}\right)}{y\left(\omega_{2}\right)}=\left(\frac{p\left(\omega_{1}\right)}{p\left(\omega_{2}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho-1}}
$$

Multiplying both sides with $y\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ and using (A.1) yields

$$
y\left(\omega_{1}\right)=y\left(\omega_{2}\right)\left(\frac{p\left(\omega_{1}\right)}{p\left(\omega_{2}\right)}\right)^{-\sigma} .
$$

When multiplying both sides with $p\left(\omega_{1}\right)$ and taking the integral with respect to $\omega_{1}$, we get

$$
\int_{\omega \in \Omega} p\left(\omega_{1}\right) y\left(\omega_{1}\right) d \omega_{1}=\int_{\omega \in \Omega} y\left(\omega_{2}\right) p\left(\omega_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma} p\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{\sigma} d \omega_{1}
$$

On the left-hand side we now have the consumer's total expenditure on all varieties, $R$, which is assumed to be equal to his income $I$, i.e.,

$$
R=I=y\left(\omega_{2}\right) p\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{\sigma} \int_{\omega \in \Omega} p\left(\omega_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma} d \omega_{1}
$$

Solving for $y\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ yields the Marshallian demand for $\omega_{2}$

$$
y\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\frac{I p\left(\omega_{2}\right)^{-\sigma}}{\int_{\omega \in \Omega} p\left(\omega_{1}\right)^{1-\sigma} d \omega_{1}} .
$$

By defining an index of the overall price level

$$
P=\left[\int_{\omega \in \Omega} p(\omega)^{1-\sigma} d \omega\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}
$$

Marshallian demand for a variety $\omega$ simplifies to

$$
y(\omega)=p(\omega)^{-\sigma} P^{\sigma-1} I=\left(\frac{p(\omega)}{P}\right)^{-\sigma} \frac{I}{P} .
$$

Domestic demand, denoted by $y_{d}(\omega)$, can accordingly be written as

$$
y_{d}(\omega)=p_{d}(\omega)^{-\sigma} P_{d}^{\sigma-1} I_{d}=\left(\frac{p_{d}(\omega)}{P_{d}}\right)^{-\sigma} \frac{I_{d}}{P_{d}},
$$

where $p_{d}(\omega)$ denotes the price of the good in the domestic market while $P_{d}$ and $I_{d}$ indicate the domestic aggregate price and domestic income, respectively.

## b. Domestic Revenue

With a firm's domestic output being equal to domestic demand, domestic firm revenue can be written as

$$
r_{d}(\omega)=y_{d}(\omega) p_{d}(\omega)=I_{d}\left(\frac{p_{d}(\omega)}{P_{d}}\right)^{1-\sigma}
$$

Note that with $p_{d}(\omega)=y_{d}(\omega)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} P_{d}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} I_{d}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}$ and (A.1) domestic revenue can also be written as in HIR, i.e.,

$$
r_{d}(\omega)=y_{d}(\omega)^{1-\frac{1}{\sigma}} P_{d}^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} I_{d}^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}=y_{d}(\omega)^{\rho} P_{d}^{\rho} I_{d}^{1-\rho}=y_{d}(\omega)^{\rho} A_{d},
$$

where $A_{d}$ is called the domestic demand shifter, with $A_{d}=P_{d}^{\rho} I_{d}^{1-\rho}$. As with increasing productivity a firm's output and thereby its domestic revenue will increase continuously, we can write domestic revenue - and revenue in general - as

$$
r_{d}(\varphi)=y_{d}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{d} .
$$

## c. Revenue from Exporting

By assuming country specific iceberg trading costs, $\tau_{c}$, such that $\tau_{c}>1$ units of a variety must be exported for a unit to arrive in country $c$, we can write the revenue from exporting to country $c$ as

$$
r_{x, c}(\varphi)=\frac{y_{x, c}(\varphi)}{\tau_{c}} p_{x, c}(\varphi)=\left(\frac{y_{x, c}(\varphi)}{\tau_{c}}\right)^{\rho} P_{x, c}^{\rho} I_{x, c}^{1-\rho}=\left(\frac{y_{x, c}(\varphi)}{\tau_{c}}\right)^{\rho} A_{x, c},
$$

where $A_{x, c}=I_{x, c}^{1-\rho} P_{x, c}^{\rho}$ is the demand shifter of country $c$.
d. $\Upsilon$ and a Derivation of $y_{d}(\varphi)=y(\varphi) / \Upsilon$

Using the first-order conditions (5), we can write a firm's total output,

$$
y(\varphi)=y_{d}(\varphi)+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} y_{x, c}(\varphi),
$$

as

$$
y(\varphi)=y_{d}(\varphi)+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \mathbb{I}_{c} \tau_{c}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} y_{d}(\varphi)\left(\frac{A_{x, c}}{A_{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}=y_{d}(\varphi)\left(1+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \mathbb{I}_{c} \tau_{c}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}\left(\frac{A_{x, c}}{A_{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right),
$$

where $\mathbb{I}_{c}$ equals 1 if the firm exports to country $c$ and 0 otherwise. By defining $\Upsilon \equiv 1+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \mathbb{I}_{c} \tau_{c}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}\left(\frac{A_{x, c}}{A_{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}$, we obtain

$$
y_{d}(\varphi)=y(\varphi) / \Upsilon .
$$

## e. Total Revenue

A firm's total revenue is given by

$$
r(\varphi) \equiv r_{d}(\varphi)+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} r_{x, c}(\varphi)=y_{d}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{d}+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \tau_{c}^{-\rho} y_{x, c}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{x, c} .
$$

Using again the first-order conditions (5), this can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(\varphi) & =y_{d}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{d}+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \tau_{c}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}} y_{d}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{x, c}\left(\frac{A_{x, c}}{A_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{1-\rho}} \\
& =y_{d}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{d}\left(1+\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \mathbb{I}_{c} \tau_{c}^{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}}\left(\frac{A_{x, c}}{A_{d}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\rho}}\right)=y_{d}(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{d} \Upsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

With $y_{d}(\varphi)=y(\varphi) / \Upsilon$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\varphi)=y(\varphi)^{\rho} A_{d} \Upsilon^{1-\rho} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## f. Revenue as a Function of a Firm's Productivity

Using the earlier definition of $r(\varphi)$ in (A.2), the production function (2), and the first-order conditions (8) and (9), we are now able to express revenue as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\varphi)=\left(\frac{\zeta_{d}}{\zeta_{d}-1} a_{\min , d}^{\gamma_{d}} \varphi\left(\frac{\rho \gamma}{(1+\rho \gamma) b}\right)^{\gamma}\left(\frac{\rho\left(1-\gamma \zeta_{d}\right)}{\varepsilon(1+\rho \gamma)}\right)^{\frac{1-\gamma \zeta_{d}}{\delta}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\Gamma}} A_{d}^{\frac{1}{\Gamma}} r^{\frac{1-\rho}{\Gamma}}, \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma \equiv 1-\rho \gamma-\rho\left(1-\gamma \zeta_{d}\right) / \delta$. In a next step, we compute the firm's profits by making once more use of the first-order conditions

$$
\pi(\varphi)=\frac{\Gamma}{1+\rho \gamma} r(\varphi)-f_{d}-\sum_{c=1}^{c^{\prime}} \mathbb{I}_{c} f_{x, c} .
$$

Furthermore, we know that the firm with the lowest productivity, $\varphi_{d}$, makes zero profit and is not exporting, hence no productivity gains from exporting are possible, i.e., $\varphi_{d} \equiv \varphi_{d}^{\prime}$. It follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Gamma}{1+\rho \gamma} r\left(\varphi_{d}\right)=f_{d} \quad \Rightarrow \quad r\left(\varphi_{d}\right) \equiv r_{d}^{\prime}=\frac{1+\rho \gamma}{\Gamma} f_{d} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we use the expression for $r(\varphi)$ from (A.3) and determine the relative revenue of a firm in comparison to the firm with the lowest productivity. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r(\varphi)}{r_{d}^{\prime}}=\Upsilon^{\frac{1-\rho}{\Gamma}}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\Gamma}} \Rightarrow r(\varphi)=r_{d}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\Gamma}} \Upsilon^{\frac{1-\rho}{\Gamma}} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we can decompose a firm's productivity into its initial productivity, $\varphi^{\prime}$, and the possible productivity gain from exporting, $e^{\mathbb{I}(\varphi)}$, we can write revenue as

$$
r\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=r_{d}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\Gamma}} \Upsilon^{\frac{1-\rho}{\Gamma}} e^{\frac{\frac{\partial u l\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)}{\Gamma}}{T}} .
$$

## 2. A FIRM'S AVERAGE WAGE

By the same token, we are able to compute $a_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)$. We employ the first-order condition (9) and get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)^{\delta}}{a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)^{\delta}}=\Upsilon^{\frac{1-\rho}{T}}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{T}} \Rightarrow \quad a_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)=a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\tau T}} \Upsilon^{\frac{1-\rho}{\delta T}} . \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (A.4) together with (9), we can compute

$$
a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)=\left(\frac{\rho(1-\gamma k)}{(1+\rho \gamma) \varepsilon} \frac{1+\rho \gamma}{\Gamma} f_{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}=\left(\frac{\rho\left(1-\gamma \zeta_{d}\right)}{\varepsilon \Gamma} f_{d}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}
$$

With the wage condition from (10), the lowest wage paid by a domestic firm is then

$$
w\left(\varphi_{d}\right) \equiv w_{d}^{\prime}=b\left(\frac{a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)}{a_{\min }}\right)^{\zeta_{d}}=\left(\frac{\rho\left(1-\gamma \zeta_{d}\right)}{\varepsilon \Gamma a_{\min }^{\delta}} f_{d}\right)^{\frac{\zeta_{d}}{\delta}}
$$

This yields a wage relation that is solely dependent on $\varphi, \Upsilon(\varphi), \varphi_{d}$, and parameters, namely

$$
\frac{w(\varphi)}{w_{d}^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{a_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}{a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)}\right)^{\zeta_{d}}=\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho \zeta_{d}}{\delta T}} \Upsilon^{\frac{\zeta_{d}(1-\rho)}{\delta \tau}} \Rightarrow w(\varphi)=w_{d}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho \zeta_{d}}{\delta T}} \Upsilon^{\frac{\zeta_{d}(1-\rho)}{\delta \tau}} .
$$

As can be seen from this last equation, wages increase with firm productivity and are always higher for exporting firms than for non-exporting firms. Ultimately, we decompose productivity into its components and obtain

$$
w\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=w_{d}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho \zeta_{d}}{\delta \tau}} \Upsilon^{\frac{\xi_{d}(1-\rho)}{\delta \tau}} e^{\frac{\rho_{d} d\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)}{\delta \tau}}
$$

## 3. A FIRM'S MEASURE OF WORKERS HIRED

In a similar manner, we can derive the lowest measure of workers hired

$$
h\left(\varphi_{d}\right) \equiv h_{d}^{\prime}=m\left(\varphi_{d}\right)\left(\frac{a_{\mathrm{min}, d}}{a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)}\right)^{\zeta_{d}}=\frac{\rho \gamma}{1+\rho \gamma} \frac{r_{d}^{\prime}}{b}\left(\frac{a_{\mathrm{min}, d}}{a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)}\right)^{\zeta_{d}} .
$$

Using (A.5) and (A.6), the relation to $h(\varphi)$ is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{h(\varphi)}{h_{d}^{\prime}}=\frac{r(\varphi)}{r_{d}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{a_{\varepsilon}\left(\varphi_{d}\right)}{a_{\varepsilon}(\varphi)}\right)^{\zeta_{d}} & =\Upsilon^{\frac{1-\rho}{\Gamma}}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{\rho}{\Gamma}} \Upsilon^{\frac{\zeta_{d}(\rho-1)}{\sigma \tau}}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\frac{-\zeta_{d} \rho}{\delta \Gamma}} \\
& =\Upsilon^{\frac{(1-\rho)\left(1-\zeta_{d} / \rho\right)}{\Gamma}}\left(\frac{\varphi}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\rho\left(1-\frac{\zeta_{d}}{\delta}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which ultimately leads with (1) to

$$
h\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)=h_{d}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi_{d}}\right)^{\rho\left(1-\frac{\zeta_{d}}{\delta}\right)} \Upsilon^{\frac{(1-\rho)\left(1-\zeta_{d} / \delta\right)}{\Gamma}} e^{\rho\left(1-\frac{\zeta_{d}}{\delta}\right) \pi\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)} .
$$
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