

Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology The Potential of L-Type Calcium Channels as a Drug Target for Neuroprotective Therapy in Parkinson's Disease

Birgit Liss¹ and Jörg Striessnig²

¹Institut für Angewandte Physiologie, Universität Ulm, 89081 Ulm, Germany; email: birgit.liss@uni-ulm.de

²Abteilung Pharmakologie und Toxikologie, Institut für Pharmazie, and Center for Molecular Biosciences Innsbruck, Universität Innsbruck, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria; email: joerg.striessnig@uibk.ac.at

Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2019. 59:263-89

The Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology is online at pharmtox.annualreviews.org

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010818-021214

Copyright © 2019 by Annual Reviews. All rights reserved

ANNUAL CONNECT

- www.annualreviews.org
- Download figures
- Navigate cited references
- Keyword search
- Explore related articles
- Share via email or social media

Keywords

Parkinson's disease, neuroprotective therapy, substantia nigra, dopamine, dihydropyridines, Ca²⁺ channel blockers, Cav1.2, Cav1.3, isradipine, MPTP, 6-OHDA

Abstract

The motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD) mainly arise from degeneration of dopamine neurons within the substantia nigra. As no diseasemodifying PD therapies are available, and side effects limit long-term benefits of current symptomatic therapies, novel treatment approaches are needed. The ongoing phase III clinical study STEADY-PD is investigating the potential of the dihydropyridine isradipine, an L-type Ca²⁺ channel (LTCC) blocker, for neuroprotective PD therapy. Here we review the clinical and preclinical rationale for this trial and discuss potential reasons for the ambiguous outcomes of in vivo animal model studies that address PD-protective dihydropyridine effects. We summarize current views about the roles of Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 LTCC isoforms for substantia nigra neuron function, and their high vulnerability to degenerative stressors, and for PD pathophysiology. We discuss different dihydropyridine sensitivities of LTCC isoforms in view of their potential as drug targets for PD neuroprotection, and we conclude by considering how these aspects could guide further drug development.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder, with increasing age being the strongest risk factor (with a prevalence of $\sim 3\%$ above age 80) (1–3) for its development. The typical motor symptoms of PD (i.e., rigor, tremor, akinesia/bradykinesia) are caused by a progressive and selective degeneration of dopamine neurons within the substantia nigra (SN) (SN DA neurons) and an increasing dopamine deficit in their striatal axonal projection areas (4). For reasons that remain unclear, neighboring midbrain DA neurons, expressing the Ca^{2+} -binding protein calbindin_{d28K}, in the dorsal tier of the SN and in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) remain largely intact in PD (4, 5). On the other hand, nondopamine neuronal populations are also highly affected by the degenerative process in PD (1, 6-9), such as the noradrenergic neurons in the locus coeruleus, which is probably related to the nonmotor symptoms of PD, including psychosis, depression, fatigue, autonomic dysfunction, sexual dysfunction, and dementia (10). With the exception of some monogenetic familial forms (caused by so-called PARK gene mutations), the cause of most PD cases is still unclear. However, various PD stressors and trigger factors have been identified. In particular, α -synuclein aggregates (a major component of intracellular inclusions, so-called Lewy bodies, which are a pathological hallmark of PD), metabolic stress, and altered Ca^{2+} homeostasis seem to converge in triggering the neurodegenerative process (1, 11 - 17).

Given PD's unclear pathomechanisms, only symptomatic therapies exist, which mainly reduce motor symptoms and improve quality of life (15, 16). However, no therapies are available to prevent or slow disease progression. Symptomatic treatments for PD include pharmacotherapy, stereotaxic neurosurgery (deep brain stimulation), and supportive treatments such as physiotherapy and speech therapy (1, 16, 18). Pharmacotherapies are mainly dopamine mimetic, aiming at replacing dopamine or its function. The blood-brain barrier permeant precursor of dopamine L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (L-DOPA) is still the gold standard in PD therapy, although dopamine receptor agonists (acting predominantly on D2-type receptors) are administered particularly in early PD stages. Monoamine oxidase (MAO)-B or catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitors inhibit dopamine-degrading enzymes and thus prolong dopamine (and L-DOPA) effects (18). As none of the current therapies are able to stop disease progression, novel neuroprotective PD therapies and also biomarkers for early PD diagnosis (prior to manifestation of motor symptoms, when the majority of SN DA neurons are already lost) are unmet medical needs and the focus of ongoing experimental therapy strategies (1, 19). Potentially innovative PD therapies that are currently in clinical trials include α -synuclein-targeted immunotherapy (1, 20) and gene therapy (e.g., clinical trials NCT02418598 and NCT01621581) as well as cell transplantation (e.g., NCT03119636, NCT02538315).

Another appealing approach is to repurpose drugs that are already approved for other indications with good safety and tolerability. Promising examples are the antidiabetic glucagon-like peptide 1 agonist exenatide (21), the broncholytic drug ambroxol (22), the tyrosine-kinase inhibitor nilotinib (23), and the antihypertensive dihydropyridine (DHP) isradipine (24, 25). Isradipine, a Ca^{2+} channel blocker, selectively inhibits voltage-gated L-type Ca^{2+} channels (LTCCs). DHPs appear to be attractive drugs for neuroprotective or preventive PD therapy (26), as epidemiological studies indicate that prescription intake of blood-brain barrier–permeable Ca^{2+} channel blockers of the DHP type is associated with a 20–30% reduced risk of developing PD later in life (for summaries of individual epidemiological studies, see recent meta-analyses in 27–29). DHPs, which have a favorable safety profile, have been used clinically for decades for the treatment of high blood pressure and angina. Although hypertension may be a risk factor for PD (30), risk reduction was not observed for other antihypertensives. PD risk reduction in DHP-treated patients was found in all epidemiological studies (31–34) and meta-analyses (27–29) in which DHPs were analyzed separately. In vitro and some in vivo mouse model data (discussed below in detail) suggest that activity-related, LTCC-mediated Ca^{2+} entry into SN DA neurons is directly linked to their particularly high metabolic stress levels as well as to their high vulnerability to PD stressors and to cell death. These findings provide a strong rationale for the ongoing phase III STEADY-PD clinical trial with the DHP Ca^{2+} channel blocker isradipine (5 mg, twice daily) in patients with early PD (NCT02168842) (24, 25). Independent of the outcome of this ongoing STEADY-PD trial (with an estimated completion date of late 2018), several questions regarding the potential of LTCC inhibitors as drugs for PD therapy remain: (*a*) What are the functional roles of LTCC isoforms in SN DA neurons? (*b*) What are the molecular mechanism and the relative contribution of LTCC isoforms to neurodegeneration in PD? (*c*) How well are LTCCs inhibited by currently available DHPs in the brain compared to LTCCs in the arterial vasculature, their primary cardiovascular drug target? (*d*) Do other voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels (VGCCs) or other Ca^{2+} sources also drive neurodegenerative Ca^{2+} signals and/or could they compensate for chronic LTCC inhibition? These aspects of LTCCs are particularly important to consider in an attempt to develop novel therapeutics for PD, as they could guide further drug discovery in distinct directions.

In this context, we discuss current views about the roles of LTCCs for SN DA neuron function, for PD pathophysiology, and as drug targets for neuroprotective PD therapy.

VOLTAGE-GATED L-TYPE CALCIUM CHANNELS IN SUBSTANTIA NIGRA DOPAMINE NEURONS

Ten types of VGCCs are distinguished based on their distinct pharmacological and functional properties, each containing a different pore-forming $\alpha 1$ subunit (35, 36). The subcellular expression, activity, gating behavior, and pharmacological properties of VGCCs are tuned by extensive alternative splicing and their association with modulatory accessory subunits ($\beta 1-\beta 4$, $\alpha 2\delta 1-\alpha 2\delta 4$) (36). Based on their $\alpha 1$ subunit sequence homology as well as their functional and pharmacological properties, three VGCC families have been defined: Cav1, Cav2, and Cav3 (35, 36).

The Cav1 family is composed of four LTCC members (Cav1.1–Cav1.4), which are characterized by their sensitivity to low nanomolar concentrations of DHPs. Only Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 are expressed in neurons, predominantly at somatodendritic locations, with Cav1.2 composing about 90% of all LTCCs in the central nervous system (37, 38). In contrast, members of the Cav2 family (Cav2.1–Cav2.3), mediating P/Q-, N-, and R-type voltage-gated Ca²⁺ currents, are located presynaptically and are required for fast neurotransmitter release (36). Low voltage–activated Cav3 channels (Cav3.1–Cav3.3) compose the family of T-type Ca²⁺ channels (TTCCs). TTCCs activate and inactivate at more negative potentials than Cav1 and Cav2 channels. This negative operation range allows them to be active at subthreshold voltages and provides them with a prominent role in the control of neuronal firing patterns (35, 36).

In addition to DHP-insensitive T-type Ca^{2+} currents, low voltage–activated, DHP-sensitive, voltage-gated Ca^{2+} currents are also found in neurons (39–41), including SN DA neurons (42–44), which are likely formed by Cav1.3 α 1 subunits (36, 44). Cav1.3 activates at about 10–20 mV more negative potentials than Cav1.2 channels, but still about 20 mV more positive than TTCCs (45). Alternative splicing creates C-terminal short Cav1.3 variants, which activate at even more negative potentials than full-length (long) Cav1.3 channels (36, 46). It also affects the activation voltage range of Cav1.2 channels (47); however only a few splice variants have been functionally studied so far. Thus, although Cav1.2 channel variants have not been shown to activate at such negative voltages, this possibility cannot yet be ruled out.

To understand the physiological functions of VGCCs in SN DA neurons in general, and of LTCC isoforms in particular, one needs to consider the primary function of SN DA neurons, which

is somatodendritic and presynaptic dopamine release, crucial for voluntary movement (48-50). This release is Ca²⁺ dependent and is primarily triggered by the electrical activity pattern of SN DA neurons (48, 49). In vivo, SN DA neurons (from rodents, primates, and humans) fire either in a lowfrequency single-spike mode or transiently in a high-frequency so-called burst mode. In vitro, as illustrated in Figure 1a, even in full synaptic isolation, SN DA neurons display a slow, regular, and very robust intrinsically generated pacemaker activity around 1.5 Hz (with a maximum of \sim 10 Hz), with relatively broad action potentials (>2 ms) (51, 52). This is generated and modulated by the orchestrated activity of a variety of different ion channels, transporters, and receptors (Figure 1b) that can complement and/or compensate for each other. For detailed information about DA neuron activity and the complex underlying molecular mechanisms, we refer the readers to recent reviews (50, 53–56). Here we primarily focus—in the context of the particularly high vulnerability of SN DA neurons to PD stressors-on cellular processes that can be directly or indirectly affected by LTCC activity (Figure 1). In essence, although it is now commonly assumed that, at least in vitro, LTCCs are not required for pacemaker generation in SN DA neurons per se, they seem to stabilize pacemaker activity, precision, and robustness, and thus dopamine release (12, 57, 58). On the other hand, LTCC function can also inhibit SN DA neuron activity, e.g., by stimulating inhibitory processes. This includes the stimulation of Ca²⁺-sensitive small conductance K⁺ (SK) channels, Ca²⁺-sensitive A-type K⁺ channels [Kv4.3, via potassium channel-interacting protein 3 (KChIP3) β -subunits], or D2 autoreceptor- and G protein–coupled Girk2 K⁺ channels (via the stimulation of the D2-sensitizing neuronal Ca^{2+} sensor NCS-1) (12) (Figure 1*a*,*b*).



(Caption appears on following page)

Activity-dependent functions of LTCCs and Ca²⁺ in highly vulnerable SN DA neurons, and possible mechanisms for neuroprotective effects of DHPs in PD. (a) Simultaneous whole-cell current clamp recordings of typical low-frequency pacemaker activity (black trace) from an SN dopaminergic cell soma (black arrow) and corresponding dendritic Ca²⁺ oscillations (blue trace) in the same neuron recorded by two-photon laser scanning fluo-4 Ca^{2+} imaging. In the distal dendrites, these Ca^{2+} oscillations are inhibited by about half by the DHP isradipine (1 μ M) or by Cav1.3 short hairpin RNA. (b) Selected somatodendritic ion channels, receptors, and transporters that generate or modulate electrical activity patterns of SN DA neurons as well as cytosolic Ca^{2+} levels (*blue circles*), and the related Ca²⁺-modulated pathways, with a focus on voltage-gated LTCCs as Ca²⁺ sources. VGCC indicates that the specific voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel subtype(s) involved in the respective signaling pathway are not clear or more than one subtype has been shown to be involved (e.g., for Kv4.3 and D2-AR stimulation). LTCC indicates that the dendritic Ca²⁺ oscillations are DHP sensitive, but the contribution of individual LTCC isoforms has not yet been demonstrated. Cav1.2 or Cav1.3 indicates that for some signaling pathways a distinct LTCC isoform has been identified. Note that in principle, all Ca^{2+} sources could affect Ca^{2+} -dependent signaling and thus, e.g., dopamine metabolism and ATP synthesis as well as ER, mitochondrial, lysosomal, and proteasomal function and α -synuclein aggregation and gene expression in healthy individuals and those with PD. In SN DA neurons, ROS contribute to high levels of metabolic stress, which are partially caused by their electrical activity and related Ca^{2+} -dependent signaling. (c) Scales depicting a proposed general balance of protective (green) and degenerative (red) signaling pathways in SN DA neurons, which are affected by LTCCs and Ca²⁺ signaling. This delicate balance (upper) is destabilized by PD stressors, amplifying the detrimental effects of LTCC activity (middle). The inhibition of LTCCs by DHPs (lower) may restore this balance, reduce degenerative signaling in SN DA neurons, and protect them from degeneration in PD. Abbreviations: α -Syn, α -synuclein (PARK1, PARK4); D2-AR, dopamine D2 autoreceptor; DA, dopamine; DAT, dopamine transporter; DHPs, dihydropyridines; DJ-1, PARK7 gene product; DOPAC, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ETC, electron transport chain; GBA1, glucocerebrosidase; GIRK2, G protein-coupled inwardly rectifying K⁺ channel 2; HCN, hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide gated cation channel; K-ATP. ATP-sensitive K⁺ channel; KChIp3, Kv channel interaction protein (i.e., β-subunit of Kv4); Kv4, voltage and Ca²⁺ regulated A-type K⁺ channel; L-DOPA, L-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine; LTCC, L-type Ca²⁺ channel (i.e., Cav1.2, Cav1.3); MCUs, mitochondrial Ca²⁺ transporters; NCS-1, neuronal Ca²⁺ sensor 1; NMDA-R, N-methyl-D-aspartate glutamate receptor; ORAI1, Ca²⁺ release activated Ca²⁺ channel modulator protein 1; P, phosphate; PD, Parkinson's disease; ROS, reactive oxygen species; SERCA, sarcoplasmic/ endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺ ATPase; SK, small conductance Ca²⁺ sensitive K⁺ channel; STIM1, stromal interaction molecule 1; TCA, tricarboxylic acid cycle; TRPC, transient receptor potential channel; Tyr, tyrosine; VGCCs, voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels. Panels *a* and *b* adapted from Reference 12.

Recently, all three VGCC classes, Cav1–Cav3, have been described in the somatodendritic compartments of rodent SN DA and ventral tegmental area dopamine (VTA DA) neurons (59, 60). Presynaptically, P/Q- and N-type currents predominantly contribute to axonal dopamine release from VTA DA neurons into the ventral striatum, while in SN DA neurons LTCCs and TTCCs also contribute to dopamine release into the dorsal striatum (61). The complex physiological functions of distinct VGCC subtypes in SN DA neurons are still unclear. In general, they seem to contribute to pacemaker activity robustness and to the complex subthreshold integration of electrical inputs. Their functional roles in SN DA neurons seem to (*a*) change with age, (*b*) be different between calbindin_{d28K}-positive and -negative SN DA neurons, and (*c*) depend on subcompartments and homeostatic states of the neurons (43, 57, 58, 62–71).

LTCCs seem to contribute about 40% of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} currents in SN DA neurons from juvenile rodents [postnatal day (PN) 15–20] (59), but LTCC currents decrease with rodent age by about 80% (62; but see 72). LTCCs are active during the pacemaking of SN DA neurons, not only during an action potential but also during the interspike interval (44, 50, 73, 74). They generate slow voltage oscillations (slow oscillatory potentials) in both somatic and dendritic compartments (75–77). In the distal dendrites of DA neurons and SN DA neurons, a compartment that is important for determining the spontaneous firing rates (78, 79), oscillations in free intracellular Ca^{2+} levels are associated with pacemaker activity, which are partially inhibited by DHPs (44, 58, 80) (**Figure 1***a*,*b*). The more negative activating Cav1.3 L-type channels are ideally suited to contribute to the subthreshold voltage changes that are sufficient to trigger dendritic depolarizations and activity-associated Ca^{2+} spikes (44, 58, 74, 78, 81).

However, both Cav1.3 and Cav1.2 are expressed in rodent and human SN DA neurons (72, 74), and this raises the question about their relative contribution to DHP-sensitive currents and to the potentially neuroprotective effects of DHPs. The direct quantification of the individual contributions of LTCC subtypes (or VGCCs in general) to voltage-gated Ca^{2+} currents in SN DA neurons using patch-clamp current recordings is complicated by the high vulnerability of these (in particular adult) neurons to the highly artificial recording conditions and by the fast rundown that obscures drug effects (42, 64). Dissecting the individual current components and the functional roles of Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 LTCCs in SN DA (and other) neurons is further complicated because no Cav1.3- or Cav1.2-selective drugs are available thus far (36, 83). One compound (compound 8, BPN4689) (84) has been suggested to be Cav1.3-selective, but this could not be confirmed by independent laboratories (reviewed in 83). Interpreting BPN4689-resistant Ca²⁺ transients as Cav1.2- and not Cav1.3-mediated is therefore not based on robust pharmacological evidence (7). The analysis of Cav1.3 knockout mice for distinguishing Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 functions is complicated, as compensation by Cav3.1 TTCCs in SN DA neurons has been described (64). A mouse model that expresses Cav1.2 channels that are insensitive to DHPs (Cav1.2 DHP^{-/-}) allows discrimination between Cav1.2- and Cav1.3-mediated DHP effects in SN DA neurons using available DHPs (67), but it has not been used so far to separate Cav1.2 from Cav1.3 Ca^{2+} currents in SN DA neurons. However, Cav1.3 channels likely contribute to activity-dependent dendritic Ca^{2+} inward current, because the Ca^{2+} transient amplitudes decrease by half in SN DA neurons expressing Cav1.3 short hairpin RNA (shRNA) (44).

Immunohistochemical reports about the subcellular distribution of Cav1.3 α 1-subunit protein in SN DA neurons should be interpreted with caution, since the specificity of antibody staining for Cav1.3 α 1-subunits on SN DA neurons has thus far not been unequivocally demonstrated by reporting proper control staining in Cav1.3 knockout brains (see, e.g., 59, 85–88).

THE ROLES OF NEURONAL L-TYPE CALCIUM CHANNELS IN PARKINSON'S DISEASE PATHOLOGY

Excellent reviews have summarized environmental and cell-autonomous risk factors as well as pathophysiological events implicated in SN DA neuron degeneration and PD (1, 6, 12–14, 89, 90). Here we briefly summarize the main PD stressors and pathomechanisms and focus on the role of LTCCs in these pathways and for Ca^{2+} homeostasis in SN DA neurons (see also **Figure 1***c*) (17). The modulation of neuroinflammatory responses due to LTCCs in activated microglia and astrocytes and the anti-inflammatory effects of LTCC blockers through glial inhibition are additional important aspects that are not discussed here (but see 1, 13).

The preferential death of SN DA neurons in the course of PD (and during physiological aging) is considered to be the result of a synergistic network of toxicity pathways, which are initiated by multiple intrinsic and extrinsic disease-triggering factors. Such a multiple-hit hypothesis (91–93) integrates well-known PD risk factors (e.g., age, toxins, inflammation, and *PARK* gene mutations) as well as pathogenic mechanisms implicated in PD, such as iron content, dopamine metabolism itself, elevated metabolic stress, reduced mitochondrial quality control, and dysregulated Ca²⁺ homeostasis. The identification of gene mutations underlying familial forms of PD (e.g., *PARK* genes) (11, 94–97) has helped to identify pathogenic signaling pathways, in particular proteasomal, lysosomal, and mitochondrial (especially complex I) dysfunction (96, 98). For instance, heterozygous mutations in the *GBA1* gene, coding for a lysosomal glucocerebrosidase, emerged as an important risk factor for PD, accounting for at least 5% of all PD cases (14, 22). iPSC-derived differentiated DA neurons from patients with *GBA1* mutations show, besides lysosomal dysfunction and elevated metabolic stress, a dysregulation of Ca²⁺ homeostasis and increased vulnerability to metabolic stress (99).

The specific intrinsic physiology of SN DA neurons renders them particularly vulnerable to identified PD stressors. In particular, their large axonal arborization as well as the distinct molecular mechanisms underlying their autonomous electrical activity lead to elevated metabolic stress levels already under physiological conditions. Additional PD stressors are thus more likely to tip the neurons over the edge into degeneration (6, 50, 100, 101).

The metabolism of dopamine itself is strongly linked to elevated oxidative stress, as its degradation generates reactive oxygen species (ROS), and dopamine oxidation can lead to the formation of endogenous neurotoxins (102). Furthermore, SN DA neurons are some of the most highly arborized neurons in the nervous system with billions of transmitter release sites (103), and the ATP requirement for propagation of action potentials grows exponentially with the level of axonal branching (104). In addition, intracellular Ca²⁺ buffering is particularly low in SN DA neurons as they do not express Ca²⁺ binding proteins, such as calbindin_{d28k}, for reasons that remain unclear and in contrast to more resistant DA neurons (105, 106).

On top of this already metabolically challenging situation, each action potential is accompanied by large Ca²⁺ transients that are associated with elevated mitochondrial stress in highly vulnerable SN DA neurons, but not in VTA DA neurons (6, 43, 44, 58, 77, 78, 107, 108). Elevated metabolic stress could thus easily lead to a vicious cycle of further impairment to mitochondrial functions, resulting in even more metabolic stress. One consequence is a reduced bioenergetic reserve capacity of DA neurons, which in turn makes them particularly vulnerable in conditions of increased metabolic demand (109), i.e., when continuous dopamine release into the dorsal striatum might be essential for movement and survival (12). Additional scenarios by which elevated Ca²⁺ levels may become deleterious for SN DA neurons are the activation of Ca²⁺-dependent apoptotic enzymes, the Ca²⁺-dependent stimulation of enzymes for dopamine and ATP synthesis, Ca²⁺-dependent modifications of α -synuclein, or alterations in gene expression (reviewed in 6, 12–14, 17, 93, 107, 108, 110). Together, these intrinsic causes might explain why an LTCC-involving pacemaker mode is particularly stressful for SN DA neurons (but facilitates their physiological functions), and why DHPs might protect them from degeneration.

The activity- and Ca²⁺-related mitochondrial stress levels in vitro are elevated in SN DA neurons from *dj*-1 (i.e., *park*7) knockout mice (brain slices, PN 21–30), indicating a direct link to PD pathology. This stress is attenuated by the DHP isradipine (5 μ M) (80), which also reduces activity-dependent mitochondrial oxidative stress and oxygen consumption in cultured mouse SN DA neurons and slices (1–5 μ M) (PN 0–5) (86, 101).

A recent study also showed that mitochondrial mass is lower in SN DA neurons than in VTA neurons due to enhanced mitophagy (44). More importantly, chronic in vivo isradipine treatment of adult mice (7–10 days), resulting in isradipine plasma concentrations of about 5 nM (44), reduced metabolic stress and reversibly increased mitochondrial mass in SN DA neurons relative to that of VTA DA neurons.

Mouse SN DA neurons in culture are also more susceptible than VTA DA neurons to the neurotoxic effects of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-pyridine (MPP⁺), the toxic metabolite of 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) (101, 111), which inhibits complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain (112, 113). As detailed below, chronic MPTP treatment is the gold standard neurotoxin-based in vivo PD model. In cultured SN DA neurons, MPP⁺ leads to a delayed rise in cytosolic Ca²⁺ levels followed by an elevation of mitochondrial Ca²⁺ concentrations and mitochondrial oxidation. This rise in Ca²⁺ is exacerbated by human wild-type α -synuclein (*PARK4*), and it is prevented by isradipine (5 μ M) (111).

In mouse midbrain slices (PN 21–30), the activity-related Ca^{2+} transients are partially inhibited in distal and proximal dendrites by isradipine (44, 58, 74, 86). This suggests that, besides LTCCs, additional Ca^{2+} sources could contribute to SN DA neuron vulnerability, including a variety of other Ca^{2+} channels and Ca^{2+} transporters in the plasma membrane and in intracellular organelles (12, 13).

Indeed, a perturbed mitochondrial endoplasmic reticulum (ER) network, due to the altered activity of sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} ATPase (SERCA) or mitochondrial Ca^{2+} transporters, and thus mitochondrial Ca^{2+} overload, has been implicated in PD pathology (114, 115). In cultured SN DA neurons, LTCC-triggered, Ca^{2+} -induced Ca^{2+} release (CICR) contributes to MPP+-induced Ca^{2+} surges (111). CICR is modulated in SN DA neurons by the phospholipase Pla2g6 (*PARK14* gene) (116). Noradrenergic locus coeruleus neurons (mouse brain slices PN 21–32), which show a similar stressful electrical activity as SN DA neurons, are also highly vulnerable to PD stressors. In these neurons, ryanodine-sensitive CICR from the ER mediates most of the DHP-sensitive, activity-related Ca^{2+} transients (7); a similar coupling to intracellular Ca^{2+} stores may also exist in SN DA neurons (44).

Notably, the activity of SN DA (and also of locus coeruleus and other) neurons is generated and modulated by a complex network of various ion channels in which LTCCs are embedded (Figure 1b) (12, 13, 50, 55). One example is the transient receptor potential canonical channel TRPC1 that can suppress Cav1.3 activity in SN DA neurons in mouse brain slices (PN 14-35) via stromal interacting molecule-1 (STIM1). This is associated with protection from MPP+induced death in cell culture (117). A variety of other voltage-gated ion channels can functionally interact with LTCCs and modulate not only SN DA neuron function but also their vulnerability, including hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide gated (HCN) cation channels (81, 118), Ca²⁺-sensitive A-type channels (119), SK- or BK-K⁺ channels (65, 68, 120), and metabolic stress-activated ATP-sensitive K^+ channels (K_{ATP}) (82, 121). As an illustration of this complexity, metabolically gated KATP channels activate in SN DA neurons (in adult mice) in response to PD stressors (e.g., MPP⁺, rotenone), thereby reducing their electrical activity (121). This hyperpolarization could in turn activate TTCCs and HCNs (63). However, under physiological conditions, KATP activity in SN DA neurons in vivo can do the opposite and trigger glutamate- and NMDAmediated burst activity (82), which may favor enhanced Cav1.2 LTCC activity (74). Although it has been demonstrated that the loss of KATP channels in vivo protects SN DA neurons in the chronic MPTP PD model and in a genetic PD model (82, 121), it is not clear if this protective effect is caused by reducing (burst) activity or by reducing the chronic activity inhibition and hyperpolarization of SN DA neurons.

These signaling networks are also important when considering LTCC inhibition as a neuroprotective strategy. There is equally strong evidence showing that not only elevated Ca^{2+} concentrations but also a drop in intracellular Ca^{2+} concentrations below threshold levels can compromise the survival of SN DA neurons (reviewed in 12, 13). In this view, SN DA neurons might display a flexible homeostatic bandwidth of activity patterns and Ca^{2+} levels that allows them to adapt dopamine release and voluntary movement to metabolic needs to ensure, e.g., ongoing activity and dopamine release, particularly during metabolic duress when movement is essential for survival (like starving or being chased by a predator) (12). Further PD stressors could perturb this delicate balance (**Figure 1***c*). Hirsch and colleagues (13) suggested a similar scenario where SN DA neurons might cycle through hypo- and hyperactive phases, during which they could endure Ca^{2+} deficiency and Ca^{2+} overload, prior to degeneration in PD.

In conclusion, although they are still incompletely understood, LTCCs appear to serve a delicate and perhaps age-dependent physiological role for SN DA neuron fine-tuning within a complex signaling network. PD stressors affect this network, thereby allowing LTCCs to contribute to stressful Ca^{2+} signaling (**Figure 1***c*). This complex network and the bidirectional functions of LTCCs and Ca^{2+} signaling in SN DA neurons should be considered in view of LTCC inhibition as a novel therapy, as it could affect the neuroprotective potential of these drugs.

In view of the critical transition from animal model findings to human PD, it is important to note that almost all studies summarized above or below analyzed SN DA neurons from rodents in in vivo neurotoxin models, in brain slices (juveniles or adults), or in cell culture. A recent study provided strong evidence that dopamine metabolism, Ca^{2+} homeostasis, and the response to PD stressors actually differ between SN DA neurons from mice and humans (122). Burbulla et al. (122) compared adult wild-type and *dj*-1 knockout mice, or iPSC-derived DA-like neurons from these mice, to human iPSC-derived SN DA-like neurons from idiopathic or DJ-1 (*PARK7*) PD patients and from healthy controls. Only the iPSC-derived DA neurons of PD patients displayed decreased basal respiration as well as increased oxidized dopamine accumulation and oxidant stress levels, accompanied by reduced lysosomal *GBA1* function and elevated α -synuclein aggregation due to oxidative modifications. Isradipine exposure (500 nM for 30 days) significantly diminished the accumulation of oxidized dopamine and its consequences (122).

Considering the PD mouse model studies that addressed the protective effects of DHPs and LTCC inhibition on SN DA neurons, it is important to note that in the same study a pathophysiological cascade similar to that described in human SN DA neurons could be triggered in mouse SN DA neurons when dopamine levels were elevated by L-DOPA application (122). These findings indicate quantitative differences between human and mouse SN DA neurons, but qualitative similarity in disease mechanisms, and they justify the in vivo mouse model studies for assessing the neuroprotective effects of LTCC inhibition.

MOLECULAR AND CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY OF L-TYPE CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS

In this section, we discuss the pharmacology and functional properties of currently available LTCC blockers in view of the desired characteristics for their use as novel drugs for neuroprotective PD therapy. Such a blocker should ideally inhibit, with high affinity and selectivity, only those LTCC isoforms that are contributing to neurodegeneration in PD; it should reach sufficiently high brain concentrations for efficient channel inhibition; and it should be easy to administer, i.e., orally once a day.

DHPs belong to the class of Ca^{2+} channel blockers (Ca^{2+} antagonists) that have mainly vascular effects (123). At therapeutic doses, DHPs preferentially relax arterial vascular smooth muscle and lower peripheral vascular resistance. Effects on cardiac function (in particular, negative inotropic effects) are only present at high doses. This makes DHPs widely used first-line antihypertensive drugs (123). Notably, Cav1.2 predominantly mediates the cardiovascular effects of Ca^{2+} channel blockers, since Cav1.3 channels are absent in arterial and ventricular myocytes (36, 37, 124).

DHPs like isradipine inhibit Cav1.2 channels in vascular smooth muscle more effectively than in the heart because their channel-blocking activity in intact cells is strongly determined by membrane voltage (modulated receptor hypothesis) (125, 126). Consequently, depolarized membrane voltages that favor inactivated channel states also facilitate channel blocking by DHPs (126). Since membrane voltage is, on average, more depolarized in arterial smooth muscle than in the working myocardium, this offers one possible explanation for their vascular selectivity. Shifting the holding potential from negative to more depolarized voltages (e.g., -50 mV) decreases the half maximal inhibitory concentration for DHP (IC₅₀) values for Cav1.2 by about fivefold (47). In addition, alternative splicing also affects the biophysical and pharmacological properties of Cav1.2, rendering smooth muscle (and brain) splice variants about ten times more sensitive than cardiac muscle variants (47). Therefore, membrane potential and alternative splicing seem to explain DHP tissue selectivity, although a contribution from additional factors (such as protein interactions and subunit composition) cannot be ruled out.

Such state-dependent determinants of DHP potency can also explain the different DHP sensitivities of Cav1.2 and Cav1.3. In electrophysiological recordings applying square pulse protocols, isradipine inhibits Cav1.3 with about five- to tenfold-higher IC₅₀ values than Cav1.2 (127). Similar Cav1.2 selectivity is also observed for nimodipine (128). Statements that isradipine is a Cav1.3selective blocker (13) are incorrect, misleading, and in contrast to solid experimental evidence. As discussed below, isradipine selectively inhibits Cav1.2 channels even under experimental conditions in which SN DA neuron pacemaking is simulated (74). This also rules out the possibility that Cav1.3 channels are preferentially inhibited by isradipine because more Cav1.3 channels inactivate (and thus facilitate isradipine inhibition) at more hyperpolarized membrane potentials compared to Cav1.2 (44). Similar to Cav1.2, Cav1.3 alternative splicing also affects the channels' sensitivity to DHPs. C-terminal alternative splicing can produce several short splice variants, which all share an even more negative activation voltage range and faster inactivation during prolonged depolarizations (reviewed in 46). Notably, C-terminal short variants show lower sensitivity to nimodipine (three- to fourfold) (129) and to isradipine (**Figure 2**) (74).

The state-dependent action of DHPs raises the important question of the extent to which Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 channels are inhibited in SN DA neurons at therapeutic, well-tolerated DHP plasma concentrations. For isradipine, 10 mg has been identified as the maximum daily dose of DHPs that can be chronically administered to PD patients once daily as a slow-onset, controlled-release formulation (Dynacirc CR) (130), resulting in mean serum concentrations of 1.53 ng/mL (~4 nM) (NCT00753636). In the ongoing phase III clinical PD trial, this daily dose of 10 mg is administered as 5 mg immediate-release tablets twice daily. This regimen is expected to lead to peak plasma concentrations of about 5 ng/mL (13.5 nM) with fast decline to one-tenth before the next dose (131, 132) and less than 2 ng/mL during most of the dosing interval.

In contrast to heart and smooth muscle, neither Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 current components nor their DHP sensitivities have been quantified in rodent SN DA neurons due to the methodological reasons discussed above. DHP sensitivity in these neurons must also be affected by the expressed splice variants and by the electrical activity pattern. The action potentials of SN DA neurons are significantly broader (~ 2.5 ms) compared to, e.g., those of Purkinje cells (below the millisecond range) (73). Furthermore, due to their pacemaker activity, SN DA neurons have no stable membrane potential, but their average membrane potential during single-spike activity is within about -40 mV rather depolarized, and they are even more depolarized during burst activity. These electrical properties of SN DA neurons should generally favor LTCC blocking by DHPs. One study has addressed this important question by quantifying isradipine effects on Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 LTCCs in stably transfected HEK293 cells (74). Brain Cav1.2 (corresponding to a smooth muscle splice variant) and Cav1.3 long or short α 1-subunits were each coexpressed with human β 3 and α 2 δ 1 subunits (Figure 2). In vitro mouse SN DA neuron action potential-like waveforms or bursts were used as command voltages to mimic SN dopaminergic electrical activity (74). As shown in Figure 2, these recordings confirmed that more negatively activated Cav1.3, but not Cav1.2, LTCCs carry a substantial Ca²⁺ current during the interspike intervals of SN DA neuron pacemaker activity, while Cav1.2 was particularly important during action potentials and during burst activity (74). In steady-state SN DA neuron pacemaker mode, both human Cav1.3 and Cav1.2 currents were inhibited by low nanomolar concentrations of isradipine (74); however, Cav1.3 channels were significantly less sensitive to the drug. In particular, a C-terminal short Cav1.3 splice variant (short variants constitute half of the Cav1.3 channel transcripts in SN DA neurons) (74) was about six times less sensitive (IC₅₀ \sim 17 nM) than Cav1.2 (IC₅₀ \sim 3 nM) under these recording conditions (Figure 2). When Cav1.2 channels



Figure 2

Steady-state sensitivity of stably expressed LTCC isoforms to isradipine during SN DA neuron-like activity. (*a*) SN DA neuron action potential waveforms were used as command voltage to mimic regular pacemaking (2.5 Hz) to elicit Ca^{2+} currents through human Cav1.3 (Cav1.3_S) and human Cav1.2 LTCCs that were stably expressed in HEK293 cells (together with $\alpha 2\delta 1$ and $\beta 3$ subunits). Starting from -89 mV, simulated SN DA neuron-like pacemaking resulted in an ~80% current decay in both channels (not shown). After reaching steady-state I_{Ca} (sweep 1), 3 nM isradipine was applied followed by complete channel inhibition with 3 μ M isradipine. The remaining isradipine-insensitive current components were then subtracted to obtain pure LTCC-mediated I_{Ca} and drug effects were corrected for linear I_{Ca} decay quantified in cells perfused with the vehicle only (see 74 for details). Notice that unlike Cav1.2, Cav1.3 conducted I_{Ca} already during the interspike interval. (*b*) Concentration-response curves for LTCC steady-state I_{Ca} inhibition by isradipine (*n* = 4–10 per data point) during simulated SN DA neuron-like pacemaking (*solid lines*) and aSM-like activity (*dashed line*, Cav1.2 only). Data were fitted to a sigmoidal dose-response equation with variable slope, resulting in the following IC₅₀ values given as the mean (95% confidence interval): Cav1.3_S, 16.8 nM (14.1–19.9); Cav1.3_L, 6.9 nM (5.8–8.3); Cav1.2 SN dopaminergic, 2.9 nM (2.2–3.9); Cav1.2 aSM, 1.5 nM (1.2–1.7). IC₅₀ values differed significantly from each other (extra sum-of-squares F-test; Hill slopes, *p* = 0.797; IC₅₀ values, *p* < 0.0001). Abbreviations: aSM, arterial smooth muscle; Cav1.3_L, human Cav1.3 long variant; Cav1.3_S, human Cav1.3 short splice variant; DA, dopamine; I_{Ca}, Ca²⁺ inward current; IC₅₀, half maximal inhibitory concentration; LTCC, L-type Ca²⁺ channel (i.e., Cav1.2, Cav1.3). Figure adapted from Reference 74.

were stimulated using command voltages resembling arterial smooth muscle electrical activity, the IC_{50} for isradipine dropped twofold to ~1.5 nM, as expected from state-dependent DHP action (see above). These experiments, although controversially discussed (74, 133), indicate that brain LTCCs, and in particular Cav1.3 channels, will be more difficult to engage therapeutically than vascular Cav1.2 channels, which are responsible for therapy-limiting hypotensive side effects

and leg edema. Thus, the development of novel Cav1.3-selective LTCC inhibitors with high brain exposure would be desired for PD therapy. However, no such drug is currently available (83, 134).

Additional factors must be considered when comparing DHP concentrations for LTCC inhibition in vitro with therapeutic steady-state DHP plasma concentrations in humans: The drug concentrations used in in vitro studies are unopposed by DHP plasma protein binding, which is >90% for isradipine (131). Therefore, in in vitro studies, the total drug concentration corresponds to the free concentration available for equilibration into the membranes of the tissues or cells under investigation, complicating direct comparisons of in vitro IC₅₀ values with plasma concentrations. In contrast, in in vivo animal studies, comparing the total steady-state DHP plasma concentrations with the respective human therapeutic plasma levels is valid (assuming that plasma protein binding and the fundamental mechanisms of distribution into the brain in particular are similar). Despite the very high plasma protein binding, lipophilic DHPs easily unbind from plasma proteins (135) and are quickly enriched in lipophilic plasma membrane compartments at 37°C (136). This also allows for fast first-pass brain extraction of these highly lipophilic drugs (135). Since free drug concentrations in the cerebrospinal fluid and plasma are very similar (137, 138), the equilibrium with central and peripheral lipophilic plasma membrane compartments of LTCCs must also be similar. Consequently, distribution into the LTCC membrane compartments in the brain should parallel that of the cardiovascular system. Based on the predicted relative sensitivities of vascular and SN dopaminergic LTCCs to isradipine discussed above (74), this suggests that the inhibition of SN dopaminergic Cav1.3 channels may be less complete at standard blood pressure-lowering doses of the drug.

A recent study provided evidence that Cav1.3 channels are inhibited in vivo by therapeutic plasma concentrations of isradipine in mice (44). After 7–10 days of chronic dosing [subcutaneous (SC) release of 3 mg/kg body weight per day], median plasma concentrations of ~ 5 nM were reached. In in vitro slices isolated from isradipine-treated mice, cytosolic Ca²⁺ oscillations were reduced by about half (to similar levels as for shRNA treatment in drug-naive mice) in dendrites of SN DA neurons. This inhibition was observed in in vitro slice recordings despite perfusion of mouse brains with artificial cerebrospinal fluid (containing no isradipine) and extensive washing of the slices before recordings (44). This raises the question of whether this persistent effect in brain slices indeed reflects direct inhibition of Cav1.3 channels in the isolated slices or if chronic isradipine treatment reduced dendritic Ca^{2+} oscillations in a more complex manner that outlasts the inhibition of LTCCs by the drug. Moreover, 10 nM of isradipine (corresponding to 10 nM free drug in plasma) added directly to the slices caused less inhibition than 5 nM plasma concentrations (corresponding to less than 0.5 nM free drug in plasma; see above). This also could indicate that responses of SN DA neurons to chronic isradipine exposure differ from those of acute exposure. For instance, Cav1.2 (and also Cav1.3) is important for the regulation of activity- and Ca^{2+} -dependent gene transcription (12, 139–141). Thus, their chronic inhibition could reduce Ca²⁺ oscillations by affecting other regulatory mechanisms [e.g., alternative splicing, phospholipid-mediated pathways (36), or activity-related Ca²⁺ release from intracellular stores]. In this scenario, inhibition of Cav1.2 or Cav1.3 by isradipine could alter gene expression, and this change could lead to smaller Ca²⁺ oscillations, which may also include downregulation of Cav1.3 activity. Note that a comparison of cytosolic Ca²⁺ oscillations in chronically treated mice with placebo controls, which received the same surgical procedures, was not reported in this study but only with an isradipine-treated group where the minipumps were explanted 5 days prior to recordings.

In summary, DHPs like isradipine (or nimodipine) should currently be regarded as primary candidates for targeting brain LTCCs as a neuroprotective PD therapy for a number of reasons,

including their history of safe use in humans as prescription drugs and their brain permeability (74, 132, 142–148). Isradipine has a short half-life (132); therefore, twice-daily dosing of immediaterelease tablets is required. From a safety standpoint, slow-onset, extended-release formulations are preferred to avoid fast absorption, which has the potential to cause reactive sympathetic activation, with reflex tachycardia and increased cardiac oxygen consumption, and other side effects such as hypotension, flush, discomfort, and headache (149, 150). Extended-release formulations are currently available in some countries for isradipine and were used for the phase II clinical trial in early PD patients (130).

IN VIVO NEUROPROTECTION STUDIES WITH DIHYDROPYRIDINE CALCIUM CHANNEL BLOCKERS IN ANIMAL MODELS OF PARKINSON'S DISEASE

In Vivo Animal Models of Parkinson's Disease

Studies in animal models were carried out to directly demonstrate the SN DA neuron protective effect of DHPs in PD paradigms. One important factor for such in vivo neuroprotective studies in general is the choice of a suitable PD animal model. Various neurotoxic and genetic PD models have been established. However, given the multifactorial nature of PD, none of the current animal models can fully recapitulate its complexity, and all established and newly described in vivo PD models have individual advantages and disadvantages (151–153). As a comprehensive discussion of all these models is beyond the scope of this review, we focus on those PD models that have thus far been utilized to study neuroprotective DHP effects in vivo.

In all these studies, neurotoxin-based PD animal models were used—either the neurotoxin MPTP or hydroxylated dopamine (6-OHDA) was administered, and in different paradigms (for more details, see **Table 1** and **Supplemental Table 1**). MPTP can pass the blood-brain barrier, and in the brain, MPTP is converted by glial MAO-B into the active toxin MPP⁺. MPP⁺ is transported via the dopamine transporter into DA neurons where it inhibits the complex I of the mitochondrial respiratory chain and introduces a neurodegenerative process that affects SN DA neurons in particular. 6-OHDA is injected directly into the SN, the striatum, or the medial forebrain bundle where it autooxidizes, and the oxidation products (ROS) are assumed to mediate 6-OHDA neurotoxicity (153–157).

The chronic low-dose MPTP PD model (i.e., 20 mg/kg MPTP, administered twice a week over a month, together with 250 mg/kg probenecid to reduce biological variabilities and potentiate MPTP effects) is currently regarded as the gold standard for studying neuroprotective mechanisms in PD. It introduces a selective, progressive, and persistent degeneration of SN DA neurons and their striatal axonal projections, similar to PD (112, 153–155, 158). However, compared to 6-OHDA experiments, MPTP experiments are more strictly regulated and require special permissions and a laboratory equipped with specific safety features to protect the experimenter from toxin exposure (155). The disadvantages of 6-OHDA models are the necessity for brain injection, a less chronic time course (similar to acute MPTP models), no Lewy body production (as is present in the chronic MPTP model and in PD), and higher variability in the outcome depending on, e.g., injection site, 6-OHDA dose, and infusion rate. These factors make standardization between laboratories more difficult (153, 155).

Given these methodological considerations, we discuss in vivo animal model studies separately for those using MPTP (seven studies) and 6-OHDA models (five studies). It is important to note that, even within these two models, experimental variabilities exist due to differences in toxin and drug application regimens (**Table 1**; **Supplemental Table 1**). Supplemental Material >

Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 2019.59:263-289. Downloaded from www.annualreviews.org Access provided by WIB6221 - KIZ-ABT Literaturverwaltung on 01/29/19. For personal use only.

size	n = 4-14	n = 5-18	n = 7-10	n = 4-8	ND	<i>n</i> = 4–12	n = 5-10	n = 9-12	n = 9	n = 7-9	ND	n = 18-28	n = 23-27
plasma:brain ^b	ND	ŊŊ	ND	Day 18: 1:2	ŊŊ	Day 7: 2:1	ND	Day 35: 2:1	ND	Plasma only	ND	Plasma only	No DHP
DHP (dosage)	NIM (10 mg pellet, SC)	NIM (5, 10, or 15 mg/kg, IP, once)	NIM (5 or 20 mg/kg, PO, daily)	NIM (80 + 120 mg pellet, SC)	ISR (3 mg/kg per day pellet, SC)	ISR (3 mg/kg per day pellet, SC)	ISR (3 mg/kg per injection, SC, daily)	NIM (80 mg pellet, SC)	ISR (3 mg/kg per day pellet, SC)	ISR (3 mg/kg per day pump, SC)	Nifedipine (3.5 mg/kg per day, SC)	ISR (3, 6, or 9 mg/kg per day pellet or pump, SC)	No DHP, global constitutive Cav1.3 knockout
PD model, dosage	MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg per injection, 2×, 16 h apart	MPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, 2×, 16 h apart	MPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, 1 per day for 3 days	MPTP (subacute), SC, 2 mg/kg per injection, 4×, 24 h apart	MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg per injection, 10×, 3.5 days apart, plus 250 mg/kg probenecid IP	MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg per injection, 10×, 3.5 days apart, plus 250 mg/kg probenecid IP 30 min before	MPTP (chronic), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, 2× per week for 1–4 weeks	6-OHDA, 20 μg, 0.5 μL/min	6-OHDA, 1 μg, 0.05 μL/min	6-OHDA, 2.5 µg, 0.1 µL/min	6-OHDA, 19.8 μg, 1.0 μL/min	6-OHDA, 4.1 µg, 0.1 µL/min	
Species (strain), age	Mice (C57Bl/6N), 10–12 weeks	Mice (Balb/c), adult	Mice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeks	Common marmosets, 43–50 months	Mice (C57Bl/6N), 8–10 weeks	Mice (C57Bl/6N), 9–10 weeks	Mice (C57Bl/6), 8–10 weeks	Rats (Sprague-Dawley), adult	Mice (C57Bl/6N), Postnatal day 28–31	Mice (C57Bl/6J), 6–7 weeks	Rats (Wistar), adult	Mice (C57B1/6N), 12–15 weeks	Mice (Cav1.3 ^{-/-}), 12–15 weeks
Protection ^a	N: yes	N, DA, B: yes	DA: no	N: yes DA, B: no	N, B: yes	N, DA: no	N, DA, B: yes	N, DA, B: no	N: yes	N: yes	DA, B: yes	N: no	N: no
Publication	Kupsch et al. (161)	Singh et al. (162)	Gerlach et al. (159)	Kupsch et al. (148)	Chan et al. (72)	Price et al. (160)	Wang et al. (163)	Sautter et al. (165)	Chan et al. (72)	Ilijic et al. (164)	Wang et al. (166)	Ortner et al. (74)	
	PublicationProtection ^a Species (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasma:brain ^b size	PublicationProtection ^a Species (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasma:brain ^b sizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)10-12 weeksinjection, 2x, 16 h apartNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$	PublicationProtectionaSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasma:brainbsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)N: DA, B:Mice (Balb/c), adultMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, or $n = 5-18$ Singh et al.N, DA, B:Mice (Balb/c), adultMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, or $n = 5-18$ (162)yes $2 \times , 16$ h apart $15 mg/kg$, IP, once)ND $n = 5-18$	PublicationProtectionaSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasmaibrainbsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)N. DA, B:Mice (C57Bl/6N),injection, 2×, 16 h apartNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 5-18$ Singh et al.N, DA, B:Mice (Balb/c), adultMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orc) $n = 5-18$ (162)yes $2\times, 16 h$ apart $2\times, 16 h$ apartNIM (5, 10, orc) $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, $15 mg/kg, IP, once)$ $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, $15 mg/kg, IP, once)$ $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, $15 mg/kg, IP, once)$ $n = 5-18$ (159)yesDA: noMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, $15 mg/kg, IP, once)$ $n = 7-10$	PublicationProtectionalSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasma:brainbsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)N. DA, B:Mice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (162)yes10-12 weeksMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesZ×, 16 h apartNIM (5, 10, orND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg perNIM (5, 10, or $n = 7-10$ (159)YesMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg perNIM (5 or 20 mg/kg, IP, once) $n = 7-10$ (159)Kupsch et al.N: yesNIM (5 or 20 mg/kg, IP, once)ND $n = 7-10$ (159)NesMPTP (subacute), IP er day for 3 daysPO, daily)ND $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: no43-50 monthsinjection, 4×, 24 h apartPO, daily)NJ 8: 1:2 $n = 4-8$	PublicationProtectionalSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasma:brainbsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)N. DA, B:Mice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, $2\times$, 16 h apartNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 7-10$ Singh et al.N. DA, B:Mice (Balb/c), adultMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, $15 mg/kg$, IP, once)ND $n = 7-10$ (162)yesMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg perNIM (5, 10, orND $n = 7-10$ (159)Mice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), IP, 30 mg/kg perNIM (5, 0, ore)ND $n = 7-10$ (148)DA: noMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (subacute), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: no43-50 monthsinjection, $4\times$, 24 h apartPO, daily)ND $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: no43-50 monthsinjection, $4\times$, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: no43-50 monthsinjection, $4\times$, 25 mg/kg perPO, daily) $n = 7-10$ (72)N. B: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perPO, daily) $n = 7-10$ (72)N. B: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perPO, daily) $n = 7-10$ (72)N. B: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perPO, daily)ND	PublicationProtectionaSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasmaibraibsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N);MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)N: D-12 weeksMice (S57Bl/6N);MPTP (acute), P30 mg/kg per injection, NIM (5, 10, orND $n = 5-18$ Singh et al.N, DA, B:Mice (Balb/c), adultMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, IF mg/kg, IP, once)ND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesDA: noMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (acute), IP, 30 mg/kg per injection, IF mg/kg, IP, once)ND $n = 7-10$ (162)yesCommon marmosets, IPTP (aubacute), IP adaysNIM (5 or 20 mg/kg, P, once)ND $n = 7-10$ (159)Kupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6), 6 weeksMPTP (aubacute), R5, 2 mg/kg perNIM (5 or 20 mg/kg, P, once) $n = 7-10$ (159)Kupsch et al.N: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (aubacute), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (5 or 20 mg/kg, P, once) $n = 4-48$ (159)DA, B: noH3-50 monthsinjection, 4×, 24 h apartPO, daily)ND $n = 4-18$ (148)DA, B: no43-50 monthsinjection, 4×, 24 h apartNIM (80 + 120 mgDay 18: 1.2 $n = 4-8$ (148)DA, B: noH3-50 monthsinjection, 4×, 24 h apartNIM (80 + 120 mgND $n = 4-18$ (72)DA, B: noH3-50 monthsinjection, 4×, 24 h apartNIM (80 + 120 mgND $n = 4-18$ (72)DA, B: noNB: yesM	PublicationProtectionalSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasmaibrainbsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice ($C57BI/6N$),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)NID $n = 4-14$ (161)N: DA, Bi:Mice ($C57BI/6N$),MPTP (acute), RC, 40 mg/kg perNIM ($5, 10, $ orNID $n = 5-18$ Singh et al.N: DA, Bi:Mice ($C57BI/6N$), of weeksMPTP (acute), P, 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM ($5, 10, $ orNID $n = 7-10$ (162)yesMoreMPTP (acute), P, 30 mg/kg per injection,IIM ($5, 10, $ orcNID $n = 7-10$ (162)yesMPTP (acute), P, 30 mg/kg per injection,IIM ($5, 10, $ orcNID $n = 7-10$ (162)yesCommon marmosets,MPTP (abecute), P, 30 mg/kg perNIM ($5, 10, $ orc $n = 7-10$ (163)N: yesCommon marmosets,MPTP (acute), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM ($5, 0, $ orc $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: noAi-50 monthsinjection, 1 per day for 3 daysPO, daily) $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: noAi-50 monthsinjection, 1 yer day for 3 daysNIM ($80 + 120$ mg $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: noAi-50 monthsinjection, 4x, 24 h apartPIM ($80 + 120$ mgNID(148)DA, B: noAi-50 monthsinjection, 0, 3.5 days apart, plusPO, daily)NID(148)N, B., N, B., N, B., N, B., B.Mice ($C57BI/6N$),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perPIM ($80 + 120$ mg $n = 4-12$ (160)P.	PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDHP (dosage)plasma:brainbsizeKupsch et al.N: yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (161)Singh et al.N: DA, B:Mice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (acute), R:, 40 mg/kg perNIM (5, 10, orND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMice (G57Bl/6N), adutMPTP (acute), P:, 30 mg/kg per injection, NIM (5, 10, orND $n = 7-10$ (159)yesMice (G57Bl/6N), adutMPTP (acute), R; 30 mg/kg per mjection, NIM (5, or 20 mg/kg), ND $n = 7-10$ (159)NiseCornon marmosets,MPTP (abacute), P; 30 mg/kg perNIM (5, or 20 mg/kg), ND $n = 7-10$ (159)NiseCommon marmosets,MPTP (abacute), R; 30 mg/kg perNIM (6, or 20 mg/kg), ND $n = 7-10$ (159)NiseSi syssCommon marmosets,MPTP (abacute), S, 2 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg/kg), ND $n = 7-10$ (148)N, B: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg/kg per day $n = 4-8$ (148)N, B: yesMice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perND $n = 4-12$ (160)PA, B:S-10 weeksNDND $n = 4-12$ (160)PA, B:N, DA, B:Mice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perND $n = 4-12$ (160)PA, B:N, DA, B:Mice (C57Bl/6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perND $n = 4-12$ Price et al.N, D	PublicationProtectionalSpecies (strain), agePD model, dosageDIP (dosage)plasmabrainbsizeKupsch et al.N:y vsMire ($273B/6N$),MPTP (acuue), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4+14$ (161)N:, DA, BiMire ($273B/6N$),MirPT (acuue), SC, 40 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMire ($237B/6N$),MirPT (acuue), R, 30 mg/kg per injection,I 5 mg/kg, B', once)ND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMire ($273B/6N$),MirPT (acuue), R, 30 mg/kg per injection,I 5 mg/kg, B', once)ND $n = 7-10$ (159)Mire ($327B/6N$),MirPT (aubacue), R, 30 mg/kg perNIM (6 or 20 mg/kg, B', once)ND $n = 7-10$ (159)Nis noMire ($577B/6N$),MIPT (abacue), SC, 3 mg/kg perNIM (6 or 120 mg $n = 7+10$ (148)Nis no43-50 monthsMIPT (abacue), SC, 3 mg/kg perNIM (6 or 120 mg $n = 7+10$ (148)Nis no43-50 monthsMIPT (abacue), SC, 3 mg/kg perNIM (6 or 20 mg/kg per day $n = 7+10$ (148)Nis no43-50 monthsNIPT (abacue), SC, 3 mg/kg perNIM (6 or 20 mg/kg per day $n = 4+12$ (148)Nis no43-50 monthsNIPT (abacue), SC, 3 mg/kg per dayNIM (7 or 00) $n = 7+10$ (148)Nis noMire ($577B/6N$),MIPT (abacue), SC, 3 mg/kg per dayNIM (7 or 00) $n = 7+10$ (150)Nis noMire ($577B/6N$),MIPT (abacue), NS, 3 day spart, plusPallet, SC) $n = 7+12$ <tr< th=""><th>PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDHP (doage)plasmathrainbsize(61)(61)N: yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (search), SC, 40 mg/kg perMIT (0 mg pellet, SC)ND$n = 4-14$(61)(61)N: DA, B:Mice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (search), SC, 40 mg/kg per injection,NIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND$n = 4-14$(62)yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND$n = 5-18$(162)yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, or$n = 5-10$(162)yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, or$n = 5-10$(162)DA, B: noMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 35 days apart, plusPD(daily)$n = 4-4$(161)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or$n = 4-4$(162)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or$n = 4-4$(163)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or$n = 4-13$(160)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or$n = 4-13$(160)DA, B: noMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or$n = 4-12$(160)N, DA inoMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or$n = 4-12$(160)N, DA ino<!--</th--><th>PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDHP (doage)plasmabrainbsize(6)(6)(7)(7)(6)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(6)(6)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)Singh ta al.N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N), adultMPTP (acute), P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND(1)(1)(6)yes(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(72)yesCommon mamosets,MPTP (shaeure), C. 2, mg/kg perNIM (6, 10, orND(1)(1)(1)(72)DA, B: no(4)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(73)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), NC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N),MPT</th><th>PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDIP (doage)plasmatheninizaKipsch et al.N:yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)NID$n = 5-18$(161)yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), RS, 40 mg/kg per injection, NIM (5, 10, orNID$n = 5-18$(162)yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), RS, 90 mg/kg per injection, I in mg/kg, IP, once)NID$n = 7-10$(162)yesMace (G57B4/06), 6 weeksMTPT (aubeure), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (6) + 120 mg$n = 7-10$(162)yesA-30 monthsMTPT (aubeure), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 7-10$(148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 1 ex dy for 3 dysNID$n = 7-10$(149)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(1</th></th></tr<>	PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDHP (doage)plasmathrainbsize(61)(61)N: yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (search), SC, 40 mg/kg perMIT (0 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (61)(61)N: DA, B:Mice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (search), SC, 40 mg/kg per injection,NIM (10 mg pellet, SC)ND $n = 4-14$ (62)yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, or $n = 5-10$ (162)yesMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, or $n = 5-10$ (162)DA, B: noMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, P. 35 days apart, plusPD(daily) $n = 4-4$ (161)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or $n = 4-4$ (162)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or $n = 4-4$ (163)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or $n = 4-13$ (160)DA, B: no4+50 monthsMPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or $n = 4-13$ (160)DA, B: noMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or $n = 4-12$ (160)N, DA inoMice (G57Bl/6N),MPTP (subsuch, SC, 25 mg/kg perNDM (8, 10, or $n = 4-12$ (160)N, DA ino </th <th>PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDHP (doage)plasmabrainbsize(6)(6)(7)(7)(6)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(6)(6)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)Singh ta al.N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N), adultMPTP (acute), P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND(1)(1)(6)yes(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(72)yesCommon mamosets,MPTP (shaeure), C. 2, mg/kg perNIM (6, 10, orND(1)(1)(1)(72)DA, B: no(4)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(73)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), NC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N),MPT</th> <th>PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDIP (doage)plasmatheninizaKipsch et al.N:yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)NID$n = 5-18$(161)yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), RS, 40 mg/kg per injection, NIM (5, 10, orNID$n = 5-18$(162)yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), RS, 90 mg/kg per injection, I in mg/kg, IP, once)NID$n = 7-10$(162)yesMace (G57B4/06), 6 weeksMTPT (aubeure), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (6) + 120 mg$n = 7-10$(162)yesA-30 monthsMTPT (aubeure), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 7-10$(148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 1 ex dy for 3 dysNID$n = 7-10$(149)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg$n = 4-12$(1</th>	PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDHP (doage)plasmabrainbsize(6)(6)(7)(7)(6)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(6)(6)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)(1)Singh ta al.N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N), adultMPTP (acute), P. 30 mg/kg per injection,NIM (5, 10, orND(1)(1)(6)yes(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(72)yesCommon mamosets,MPTP (shaeure), C. 2, mg/kg perNIM (6, 10, orND(1)(1)(1)(72)DA, B: no(4)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(2)(73)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)DA, B: noMice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), SC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N),MPTP (chronic), NC, 55 mg/kg perNIM (80+120 mgDay 18: 12.(1)(1)(14)N, DA, B:Mice (57BU6N),MPT	PublicationProtectiontSpecies (strain), agePD model, doageDIP (doage)plasmatheninizaKipsch et al.N:yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), SC, 40 mg/kg perNIM (10 mg pellet, SC)NID $n = 5-18$ (161)yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), RS, 40 mg/kg per injection, NIM (5, 10, orNID $n = 5-18$ (162)yesMace (Babbc), adultMTPT (acue), RS, 90 mg/kg per injection, I in mg/kg, IP, once)NID $n = 7-10$ (162)yesMace (G57B4/06), 6 weeksMTPT (aubeure), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (6) + 120 mg $n = 7-10$ (162)yesA-30 monthsMTPT (aubeure), SC, 2 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 7-10$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 1 ex dy for 3 dysNID $n = 7-10$ (149)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 monthsinjection, 9.5, 25 mg/kg perNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (148)DA, B: no45-30 mg/kgNIM (80 + 120 mg $n = 4-12$ (1

Short summary of preclinical studies investigating DHP-mediated protective effects in animal models of PD Table 1

Abbreviations: 6-OHDA, 6-hydroxydopamine; B, behavior; DA, dopamine; DHP, dihydropyridine; IP, intraperitoneal; ISR, isradipine; MPTP, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine; N, SN TH⁺ neuron count, NIM, nimodipine, ND, not determined/not reported, PD, Parkinson's disease; PO., per os; SC, subcutaneous, SN, substantia nigra; TH, tyrosine hydroxylase. Only studies that investigated striatal DA levels, SN TH⁺ cell count or behavioral outcomes were included. A study using an experimental formulation of NIM was not included (179). ⁹ Plasma or brain DHP concentration was determined on day X after pellet/pump implantation and is given as the ratio plasma:brain. ^a Protection is defined as a significant positive outcome in SN TH⁺ neurons, striatal DA content, and/or behavior analysis.

Studies in MPTP Parkinson's Disease Models

From the seven reported studies in MPTP models (Table 1), only one pioneering study has investigated nonhuman primates. Kupsch et al. (148) analyzed male and female adult common marmosets to study the effects of nimodipine (80 or 120 mg, n = 4 each) and placebo pellets (n = 8) in an acute MPTP model 1 week after MPTP or saline treatment. The chosen MPTP protocol led to selective degeneration of about 50% of SN DA neurons. Neither dose of nimodipine had a significant effect on toxin-induced behavioral deficits or the depletion of dopamine and its metabolites in the dorsal striatum. However, 120 mg of nimodipine led to the almost complete protection of SN DA neurons, while 80 mg resulted in a nonsignificant trend towards rescue. No unbiased stereological analysis was used to determine SN DA neuron numbers. From all eight nimodipine-treated animals, four showed complete protection by the drug, whereas four were nonresponsive to it. No significant correlation was found between striatal dopamine levels, SN DA neuron numbers, and nimodipine brain concentrations. This, and the observation that nimodipine plasma (~60 ng/mL) and brain concentrations (~115 ng/g of tissue) did not differ between the 80 mg and 120 mg groups despite different outcomes, weakens the validity of the findings. However, this early study provides some evidence for a neuroprotective effect of the DHP nimodipine at therapeutic plasma concentrations.

Another early study reported no neuroprotective effects of nimodipine on striatal dopamine levels 13 days after the last MPTP dose in a subacute MPTP mouse model (6-week-old C57Bl/6 mice, gender and substrain not reported) (159). Nimodipine was administered at a dose of 5 or 20 mg/kg per os once a day for 9 days, starting 2 days before MPTP.

In contrast, the laboratory of Surmeier (72) found neuroprotective effects of DHPs in the gold standard chronic MPTP mouse model (8–10-week-old C57Bl/6N mice from Charles River). Isradipine (3 mg/kg per day extended-release pellets) prevented MPTP-induced motor deficits and partially rescued SN DA neurons (~50%). The number of animals in each group, isradipine plasma levels, and detailed statistical analysis were not reported. In this study, the authors mentioned additional experiments, indicating that Cav1.3 deficiency in mice confers neuroprotection comparable to isradipine (n = 3), but data have not been published.

However, this protective effect of isradipine in the chronic MPTP mouse model was not reproduced by Price et al. (160), who also used a C57Bl/6N strain and very similar MPTP and isradipine administration protocols to those of Chan et al. (72). A lower general mortality in the DHP group (determined at day 44) in MPTP-treated mice (3/12 placebo versus 1/12 isradipine) was observed, but there was no effect on MPTP-induced SN DA neuronal loss. Plasma isradipine levels were above human therapeutic levels (12–19 ng/mL), and MPTP-induced increases of astrocyte and microglia markers were not attenuated by isradipine treatment. It is important to note that although a detailed experimental workup was presented in abstract and poster format, a full report of the data has not yet been published in a peer-reviewed journal. Therefore, these data cannot yet be taken as strong experimental evidence.

Several laboratories (using C57BL/6 or Balb/c mice) also tested either nimodipine in acute MPTP mouse models (161, 162) or isradipine in a subacute MPTP model (163). All three studies reported the significant neuroprotection of SN DA neurons (no unbiased stereological analysis was performed). Two of these studies also found improvements in motor performance and striatal dopamine contents (162, 163). Interestingly, in these studies, treatment with the DHPs was not continuous (as in all other MPTP studies) but was given by once-daily subcutaneous injections. Although plasma levels were not reported, the very short half-life of both DHPs in mice (elimination half-life in mice of about 8 min) (146) predicts brief exposure to very high drug concentrations followed by long drug-free periods. Singh et al. (162) even administered only a single dose of

nimodipine before the start of MPTP injections. If reproducible, these findings would challenge the current view that neuroprotection requires constant steady-state plasma concentrations.

Studies in 6-OHDA Parkinson's Disease Models

The Surmeier laboratory (72) also reported that isradipine treatment (3 mg/kg per day, SC pellets) starting 7 days before 6-OHDA injections partially protected SN DA neurons from neurodegeneration in mice (~PN 30 male C57BL/6J, Charles River). Unilateral striatal injections of 1 µg 6-OHDA induced an SN DA neuronal loss of \sim 70% 3 weeks after lesioning that was reduced to \sim 25% by isradipine. This finding was confirmed in a second study by the same laboratory (164) in young (6-7 weeks) male C57Bl/6J mice (Jackson Laboratory). For unilateral striatal injection, a higher 6-OHDA concentration was used (2.5 μ g), which resulted in a similar loss of about 70% of SN DA neurons. The same dose of isradipine was used as by Chan et al. (72), but it was applied via osmotic minipumps and started only 3 days prior to 6-OHDA. Isradipine rescued SN DA neurons and their striatal axons, and the degree of protection was positively correlated with the plasma isradipine concentrations reached in the individual animals (2.6–46.7 ng/mL, n = 8–9). The resulting plasma isradipine IC₅₀ values were \sim 5 ng/mL (\sim 13 nM) for protection of SN DA neurons and \sim 7.2 ng/mL (\sim 19 nM) for striatal fiber protection. Using a theoretical model of channel inhibition based on the modulated receptor hypothesis (see above), the authors estimated that these concentrations should inhibit about 60-80% of Cav1.3 LTCCs, and they concluded that at least 3 ng/mL of isradipine is required for significant protection of SN DA neurons against 6-OHDA. Although these calculations are valid in principle, their interpretation should take into account that at steady-state plasma concentrations in vivo, less than 10% of isradipine is freely available for channel inhibition (131). Nevertheless, their data indicate a dose-dependent beneficial effect of isradipine on SN DA neurons, with 7 of 9 animals showing protection. It is not reported if such a dose-dependent protection could be reproduced in an independent cohort of animals and/or in the MPTP model.

Ortner et al. (74) evaluated the neuroprotective potential of isradipine and of the genetic deletion of Cav1.3 in male C57BL/6N (Charles River) mice in a similar 6-OHDA model. Similar protocols were used as those reported by Chan et al. (72) and Ilijic et al. (164), however, the mice were older (age 3–4 months). Dose-response experiments were carried out to optimize unilateral intrastriatal 6-OHDA injections to produce a partial lesion of about 50% of SN DA neurons. This was achieved with a dose of 4.1 µg 6-OHDA (**Table 1**). Isradipine was delivered via either extended-release pellets (6 or 9 mg/kg per day) or osmotic minipumps (3 mg/kg per day), resulting in mean plasma levels of ~6 ng/mL (~16 nM) 5 weeks after pellet or pump implantation (range of 3–10 ng/mL, n = 18). Stereological analysis indicated that neither isradipine treatment (n = 18–28) nor constitutive Cav1.3 knockout (n = 23–27) reduced relative 6-OHDA-induced loss of SN DA neurons. In contrast, in adult Cav1.3 knockout mice, the number of SN DA neurons even without 6-OHDA treatment was already significantly lower than in wild-type C57BL/6N (74).

Two other studies addressed DHP effects in different 6-OHDA application paradigms in adult rats, and they also reported inconsistent results (**Table 1**). Sautter et al. (165) found no evidence for a neuroprotective effect on SN DA neurons or striatal projections by chronic nimodipine pretreatment (80 mg pellets, SC) starting 7 days prior to 6-OHDA treatment (20 μ g, unilateral intrastriatal injections, 4 weeks) of female adult (250–300 g) Sprague-Dawley rats. Nimodipine treatment resulted in therapeutic serum concentrations of ~30 ng/mL. Wang et al. (166) examined the effects of nifedipine in female adult (180–220 g) Wistar rats treated with unilateral 6-OHDA injections (19.8 μ g) into the medial forebrain bundle. Nifedipine was injected subcutaneously once daily (3.5 mg/kg), starting 1 day before lesioning and continuing for 4 weeks. In this study, a partial rescue of 6-OHDA-induced motor behavior and striatal dopamine depletion was detected. However, the numbers of SN DA neurons were not quantified, and nifedipine plasma concentrations were not reported.

Factors That Could Affect Outcome of Neuroprotection Studies in Parkinson's Disease Models

In 8 of the 13 reports that investigated the neuroprotective effects of DHPs in PD animal models in vivo, a significant protection of SN DA neurons by LTCC inhibition was reported for the brainpermeable DHPs isradipine, nimodipine, and nifedipine. Neuroprotective drug effects have been demonstrated in neurotoxin PD models for mice, rats, and primates (in five of seven MPTP studies, and in three of five 6-OHDA studies). The following considerations might explain why not all studies reported a similar benefit.

Various confounding factors exist that could influence study outcomes, including not only the chosen PD animal model, as discussed above, but also the age, sex, strain, and species of the animals employed. For mice, it is crucial to note that for some C57BL/6 strains from Harlan (Envigo since 2015), a sporadic null mutation in the *Snca* gene has been described (167–170). Hence, they are technically α -synuclein knockouts (described for C57BL/6J-OlaHsd, and possibly other strains) (171, 172). This point is essential, as SN DA neurons from α -synuclein knockout mice are less vulnerable to PD stressors (173–175); thus, this mutation would most likely affect the outcome of studies addressing protective effects in PD mouse models. Also, animal care conditions and related factors could profoundly affect, for example, immune state and stress levels of the individual animals and affect study outcomes (176). This point is of particular importance, as there is accumulating evidence that LTCC functions in SN DA neurons are complex and seemingly depend on a number of factors, including the environmental or metabolic state of an animal, as summarized above.

Moreover, the chosen DHP, the timing and route of administration, the dosing intervals, and other determinants of the plasma concentration time course could affect its neuroprotective effects. This important aspect is apparent from recent studies in mice (MPTP) (162, 163) and rats (6-OHDA) (166) in which only once-daily SC or intraperitoneal injections with DHPs provided neuroprotective effects. This should prompt future animal studies to test if intermittent drug exposure could be equivalent or even superior to continuous treatment regimens.

An additional critical factor to consider is the choice of approaches and protocols that reliably quantify a possible neuroprotective effect in a study (e.g., SN DA neuron number, striatal dopamine content/release, motor behavior). For instance, when using unbiased stereology, for a more accurate SN DA neuron number estimate (necessary to detect smaller changes in neuronal numbers), using a so-called oversampling approach where ideally each section is analyzed is highly recommended (177). This is particularly important in the 6-OHDA model, where the regional loss of SN DA neurons depends largely on the injection site and its size. Every third, fourth, and fifth section was analyzed in the studies conducted by Ilijic et al. (164), Chan et al. (72), and Ortner et al. (74), respectively. For a full quantitative description of possible DHP protection in 6-OHDA models, it is also important to document the individual injection sites and also the 6-OHDA-induced lesions for correlations with numbers of SN DA neurons over the full caudo-rostral axis. This has not been reported in any of the above studies.

These methodological issues should be considered in future experiments investigating neuroprotective strategies in PD models, as they likely contribute to the heterogeneous outcomes. Ideally, all these experimental conditions and the description of all relevant methodological procedures should be standardized to allow better comparisons of findings from different laboratories. We suggest that studies systematically provide sufficient information about age, sex, animal species and (sub)strains, animal provider, and animal care conditions as well as the chosen PD model. Methods for quantifying neuroprotection should be described in detail. Furthermore, given the high variability of drug and neurotoxin effects, power calculation–based higher numbers of animals might be necessary in order to generate statistically more valid, biologically meaningful data sets (especially important for the interpretation of negative findings). Furthermore, drug plasma concentrations should be measured to ensure sufficient exposure and to allow correlation with neuroprotective outcome measures.

Finally, it would be desirable to confirm neuroprotective outcomes in separate independent cohorts. In this context, it should be noted that, with one exception (Reference 74 reported n numbers from four independent cohorts), the studies discussed here analyzed only 10 or fewer animals per group with no independent repeats, and the number of independent cohorts were not reported (**Table 1**).

In conclusion, none of the above animal studies addressing DHP neuroprotective effects fully reproduced the experimental protocol of another study. In other words, all studies used different PD models, different strains or species, and/or different DHP application protocols, and the plasma concentrations that were reached in individual animals were highly variable (or were not reported). Furthermore, different readout measures and analysis methods for determining and quantifying the possible neuroprotective effects of DHPs were chosen. Thus, a direct comparison of the reported outcomes in view of independent repeats is not valid, and findings need to be interpreted with care. An exception would be the studies of Chan et al. (72) and Price et al. (160), who had very similar study design. However, the latter study is not yet published, and in Chan et al.'s study, a more detailed description and analysis of the data are missing.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR FURTHER DRUG DISCOVERY

Currently, no PD therapy is available that protects SN DA neurons from degeneration. Human epidemiological and preclinical in vitro studies provide a strong rationale for targeting LTCCs as a novel therapeutic PD approach in the ongoing phase III clinical trial for isradipine. Although in vitro data demonstrate LTCC involvement in PD-relevant signaling events (such as dendritic Ca^{2+} transients in SN DA neurons and mitochondrial stress), robust, direct in vivo proof for a causal involvement of Cav1.3 LTCCs in defining the high vulnerability of SN DA neurons to degenerative triggers in PD is still missing. However, this question is highly relevant from a drug discovery point of view.

Both Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 are expressed in SN DA neurons, but their relative contributions to DHP-sensitive currents and their physiological roles are not yet clear. Current data indicate that the functions of distinct LTCC subtypes in SN DA neurons are complex and depend on the (patho)physiological context, which likely might also influence the PD-protective effect of DHPs. Although in vitro and in vivo studies point to a role for Cav1.3 in the high vulnerability of SN DA neurons, a contribution by Cav1.2 to DHP-mediated neuroprotection cannot be ruled out. Particularly during their burst activity, Cav1.2 channels should have a major impact on SN DA neurons, either directly or indirectly and more permanently via Ca²⁺-dependent regulation of gene expression. In vivo, burst activity appears to contribute to the particularly high vulnerability of SN DA neurons to PD stressors (82) and likely involves the strong activation of Cav1.2 channels (74). Accordingly, the stabilization of single-spike modes and the reduction of energy-consuming burst activity in SN DA neurons might offer an additional explanation as to how DHPs confer a potential PD-protective effect, besides the inhibition of stressful Ca²⁺ oscillations. A possible

contribution of Cav1.2 to the neuroprotective effects of DHPs is of particular interest because of its high sensitivity to currently available DHPs.

If the results of the ongoing clinical trial further support a protective role of DHPs in PD, the unequivocal identification of the contributions of distinct Cav1.2 and Cav1.3 LTCC channel complexes for the neuroprotective effect in SN DA neurons is essential. If a significant role for Cav1.2 channels can be ruled out, then Cav1.3-selective blockers would provide a suitable strategy to optimize PD-protective effects while minimizing cardiovascular side effects. However, if Cav1.2 channel inhibition does significantly contribute to neuroprotection, then strategies for the optimized brain delivery of nonselective (178, 179) or even Cav1.2-selective blockers remains a choice. Finally, given the complex PD pathophysiology and the intricate flexibility of Ca²⁺ signaling in SN DA neurons, combination therapies of LTCC inhibitors with other potentially neuroprotective drugs (e.g., MAO-B inhibitors or novel repurposed substances like ambroxol) could be considered.

Regardless of all the considerations and open issues, the results of the ongoing STEADY-PD phase III trial will soon provide definite empirical proof of whether isradipine (at 10 mg/day) can slow down the progression of the motor symptoms in PD patients. Hopefully, 200 years after the first description of PD by James Parkinson and 50 years after their first clinical use as antihypertensives, DHPs will become the first class of drugs for disease modification in PD.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

The authors are not aware of any affiliations, memberships, funding, or financial holdings that might be perceived as affecting the objectivity of this review.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Alfried Krupp Foundation (B.L.), the Austrian Science Fund [FWF: F4402, W11-10, and P27809 (J.S.) and F4412 (B.L.)], the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [SFB 497, graduate school CEMMA, and LI-1745/1 (B.L.)], and the University of Innsbruck. We thank Nadine J. Ortner for writing a first draft of parts of the manuscript and for providing **Table 1** and **Figure 2**; Julia Benkert, Johanna Duda, and Christina Poetschke for their helpful input to the manuscript and **Figure 1**; and all our colleagues for general discussions.

LITERATURE CITED

- Poewe W, Seppi K, Tanner CM, Halliday GM, Brundin P, et al. 2017. Parkinson disease. Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers 3:17013
- Collier TJ, Kanaan NM, Kordower JH. 2017. Aging and Parkinson's disease: different sides of the same coin? Mov. Disord. 32:983–90
- Swart T, Hurley MJ. 2016. Calcium channel antagonists as disease-modifying therapy for Parkinson's disease: therapeutic rationale and current status. CNS Drugs 30:1127–35
- 4. Damier P, Hirsch EC, Agid Y, Graybiel AM. 1999. The substantia nigra of the human brain. II. Patterns of loss of dopamine-containing neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 122(Pt. 8):1437–48
- Hirsch E, Graybiel AM, Agid YA. 1988. Melanized dopaminergic neurons are differentially susceptible to degeneration in Parkinson's disease. *Nature* 334:345–48
- Surmeier DJ, Obeso JA, Halliday GM. 2017. Selective neuronal vulnerability in Parkinson disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18:101–13
- Sanchez-Padilla J, Guzman JN, Ilijic E, Kondapalli J, Galtieri DJ, et al. 2014. Mitochondrial oxidant stress in locus coeruleus is regulated by activity and nitric oxide synthase. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17:832–40

- Braak H, Del Tredici K, Rub U, de Vos RA, Jansen Steur EN, Braak E. 2003. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 24:197–211
- Giguère N, Burke Nanni S, Trudeau LE. 2018. On cell loss and selective vulnerability of neuronal populations in Parkinson's disease. *Front. Neurol.* 9:455
- Schapira AHV, Chaudhuri KR, Jenner P. 2017. Non-motor features of Parkinson disease. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18:435–50
- Singleton A, Hardy J. 2016. The evolution of genetics: Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases. *Neuron* 90:1154–63
- Duda J, Pötschke C, Liss B. 2016. Converging roles of ion channels, calcium, metabolic stress, and activity pattern of *Substantia nigra* dopaminergic neurons in health and Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurochem.* 139(Suppl. 1):156–78
- Michel PP, Hirsch EC, Hunot S. 2016. Understanding dopaminergic cell death pathways in Parkinson disease. *Neuron* 90:675–91
- Gegg ME, Schapira AHV. 2018. The role of glucocerebrosidase in Parkinson disease pathogenesis. FEBS 7. 285:3591–603
- Obeso JA, Stamelou M, Goetz CG, Poewe W, Lang AE, et al. 2017. Past, present, and future of Parkinson's disease: a special essay on the 200th Anniversary of the Shaking Palsy. *Mov. Disord*. 32:1264–310
- Schulz JB, Hausmann L, Hardy J. 2016. 199 years of Parkinson disease—What have we learned and what is the path to the future? *J. Neurochem.* 139(Suppl. 1):3–7
- Zaichick SV, McGrath KM, Caraveo G. 2017. The role of Ca²⁺ signaling in Parkinson's disease. *Dis.* Model. Mech. 10:519–35
- Oertel W, Schulz JB. 2016. Current and experimental treatments of Parkinson disease: a guide for neuroscientists. *J. Neurochem.* 139(Suppl. 1):325–37
- Delenclos M, Jones DR, McLean PJ, Uitti RJ. 2016. Biomarkers in Parkinson's disease: advances and strategies. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 22(Suppl. 1):S106–10
- Brundin P, Dave KD, Kordower JH. 2017. Therapeutic approaches to target α-synuclein pathology. Exp. Neurol. 298:225–35
- Athauda D, Maclagan K, Skene SS, Bajwa-Joseph M, Letchford D, et al. 2017. Exenatide once weekly versus placebo in Parkinson's disease: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 390:1664– 75
- Migdalska-Richards A, Ko WKD, Li Q, Bezard E, Schapira AHV. 2017. Oral ambroxol increases brain glucocerebrosidase activity in a nonhuman primate. *Synapse* 71(7):e21967
- Wyse RK, Brundin P, Sherer TB. 2016. Nilotinib—differentiating the hope from the hype. J. Parkinson's Dis. 6:519–22
- Biglan KM, Oakes D, Lang AE, Hauser RA, Hodgeman K, et al. 2017. A novel design of a Phase III trial of isradipine in early Parkinson disease (STEADY-PD III). Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol. 4:360–68
- Simuni T, Borushko E, Avram MJ, Miskevics S, Martel A, et al. 2010. Tolerability of isradipine in early Parkinson's disease: a pilot dose escalation study. *Mov. Disord.* 25:2863–66
- Rodnitzky RL. 1999. Can calcium antagonists provide a neuroprotective effect in Parkinson's disease? Drugs 57:845–49
- Mullapudi A, Gudala K, Boya CS, Bansal D. 2016. Risk of Parkinson's disease in the users of antihypertensive agents: an evidence from the meta-analysis of observational studies. *J. Neurodegener. Dis.* 2016:5780809
- Gudala K, Kanukula R, Bansal D. 2015. Reduced risk of Parkinson's disease in users of calcium channel blockers: a meta-analysis. *Int. J. Chron. Dis.* 2015:697404
- Lang Y, Gong D, Fan Y. 2015. Calcium channel blocker use and risk of Parkinson's disease: a metaanalysis. *Pharmacoepidemiol. Drug Saf.* 24:559–66
- Hou L, Li Q, Jiang L, Qiu H, Geng C, et al. 2018. Hypertension and diagnosis of Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Front. Neurol.* 9:162
- Ritz B, Rhodes SL, Qian L, Schernhammer E, Olsen JH, Friis S. 2010. L-type calcium channel blockers and Parkinson disease in Denmark. *Ann. Neurol.* 67:600–6
- Becker C, Jick SS, Meier CR. 2008. Use of antihypertensives and the risk of Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 70:1438–44

- Lee YC, Lin CH, Wu RM, Lin JW, Chang CH, Lai MS. 2014. Antihypertensive agents and risk of Parkinson's disease: a nationwide cohort study. *PLOS ONE* 9:e98961
- Pasternak B, Svanstrom H, Nielsen NM, Fugger L, Melbye M, Hviid A. 2012. Use of calcium channel blockers and Parkinson's disease. Am. J. Epidemiol. 175:627–35
- Alexander SP, Striessnig J, Kelly E, Marrion NV, Peters JA, et al. 2017. The concise guide to pharmacology 2017/18: voltage-gated ion channels. Br. J. Pharmacol. 174(Suppl. 1):S160–94
- Zamponi GW, Striessnig J, Koschak A, Dolphin AC. 2015. The physiology, pathology, and pharmacology of voltage-gated calcium channels and their future therapeutic potential. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 67:821–70
- Sinnegger-Brauns MJ, Hetzenauer A, Huber IG, Renström E, Wietzorrek G, et al. 2004. Isoformspecific regulation of mood behavior and pancreatic β cell and cardiovascular function by L-type Ca2+ channels. *J. Clin. Investig.* 113:1430–39
- Sinnegger-Brauns MJ, Huber IG, Koschak A, Wild C, Obermair GJ, et al. 2009. Expression and 1,4dihydropyridine-binding properties of brain L-type calcium channel isoforms. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 75:407–14
- Avery RB, Johnston D. 1996. Multiple channel types contribute to the low-voltage-activated calcium current in hippocampal CA3 pyramidal neurons. J. Neurosci. 16:5567–82
- Power JM, Sah P. 2005. Intracellular calcium store filling by an L-type calcium current in the basolateral amygdala at subthreshold membrane potentials. *J. Physiol.* 562:439–53
- Li Y, Bennett DJ. 2003. Persistent sodium and calcium currents cause plateau potentials in motoneurons of chronic spinal rats. *J. Neurophysiol.* 90:857–69
- Durante P, Cardenas CG, Whittaker JA, Kitai ST, Scroggs RS. 2004. Low-threshold L-type calcium channels in rat dopamine neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 91:1450–54
- Puopolo M, Raviola E, Bean BP. 2007. Roles of subthreshold calcium current and sodium current in spontaneous firing of mouse midbrain dopamine neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 27:645–56
- Guzman JN, Ilijic E, Yang B, Sanchez-Padilla J, Wokosin D, et al. 2018. Systemic isradipine treatment diminishes calcium-dependent mitochondrial oxidant stress. *J. Clin. Investig.* 128:2266–80
- Lieb A, Ortner N, Striessnig J. 2014. C-terminal modulatory domain controls coupling of voltagesensing to pore opening in Cav1.3 L-type calcium channels. *Biophys. J.* 106:1467–75
- Striessnig J, Pinggera A, Kaur G, Bock G, Tuluc P. 2014. L-type calcium channels in heart and brain. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Membr. Transp. Signal. 3(2):15–38
- Liao P, Yu D, Li G, Yong TF, Soon JL, et al. 2007. A smooth muscle Cav1.2 calcium channel splice variant underlies hyperpolarized window current and enhanced state-dependent inhibition by nifedipine. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:35133–42
- Rice ME, Patel JC. 2015. Somatodendritic dopamine release: recent mechanistic insights. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 370:20140182
- Sulzer D, Cragg SJ, Rice ME. 2016. Striatal dopamine neurotransmission: regulation of release and uptake. *Basal Ganglia* 6:123–48
- Gantz SC, Ford CP, Morikawa H, Williams JT. 2018. The evolving understanding of dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 80:219–41
- Grace AA, Bunney BS. 1984. The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: burst firing. *J. Neurosci.* 4:2877–90
- 52. Grace AA, Bunney BS. 1984. The control of firing pattern in nigral dopamine neurons: single spike firing. J. Neurosci. 4:2866-76
- Blythe SN, Wokosin D, Atherton JF, Bevan MD. 2009. Cellular mechanisms underlying burst firing in substantia nigra dopamine neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 29:15531–41
- Brown MT, Henny P, Bolam JP, Magill PJ. 2009. Activity of neurochemically heterogeneous dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra during spontaneous and driven changes in brain state. *J. Neurosci.* 29:2915–25
- 55. Paladini CA, Roeper J. 2014. Generating bursts (and pauses) in the dopamine midbrain neurons. *Neuroscience* 282:109–21
- Dragicevic E, Schiemann J, Liss B. 2015. Dopamine midbrain neurons in health and Parkinson's disease: emerging roles of voltage-gated calcium channels and ATP-sensitive potassium channels. *Neuroscience* 284:798–814

- Drion G, Massotte L, Sepulchre R, Seutin V. 2011. How modeling can reconcile apparently discrepant experimental results: the case of pacemaking in dopaminergic neurons. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 7:e1002050
- Guzman JN, Sanchez-Padilla J, Chan CS, Surmeier DJ. 2009. Robust pacemaking in substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 29:11011–19
- Philippart F, Destreel G, Merino-Sepúlveda P, Henny P, Engel D, Seutin V. 2016. differential somatic Ca2+ channel profile in midbrain dopaminergic neurons. J. Neurosci. 36:7234–45
- Cardozo DL, Bean BP. 1995. Voltage-dependent calcium channels in rat midbrain dopamine neurons: modulation by dopamine and GABAB receptors. *J. Neurophys.* 74:1137–48
- Brimblecombe KR, Gracie CJ, Platt NJ, Cragg SJ. 2015. Gating of dopamine transmission by calcium and axonal N-, Q-, T- and L-type voltage-gated calcium channels differs between striatal domains: dynamic control of striatal dopamine by calcium. *J. Physiol.* 593:929–46
- Branch SY, Sharma R, Beckstead MJ. 2014. Aging decreases L-type calcium channel currents and pacemaker firing fidelity in substantia nigra dopamine neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 34:9310–18
- Evans RC, Zhu M, Khaliq ZM. 2017. Dopamine inhibition differentially controls excitability of substantia nigra dopamine neuron subpopulations through T-type calcium channels. *J. Neurosci.* 37:3704–20
- 64. Poetschke C, Dragicevic E, Duda J, Benkert J, Dougalis A, et al. 2015. Compensatory T-type Ca²⁺ channel activity alters D2-autoreceptor responses of *Substantia nigra* dopamine neurons from Cav1.3 L-type Ca²⁺ channel KO mice. *Sci. Rep.* 5:13688
- Kimm T, Khaliq ZM, Bean BP. 2015. Differential regulation of action potential shape and burstfrequency firing by BK and Kv2 channels in substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 35:16404– 17
- Wolfart J, Roeper J. 2002. Selective coupling of T-type calcium channels to SK potassium channels prevents intrinsic bursting in dopaminergic midbrain neurons. 7. Neurosci. 22:3404–13
- Dragicevic E, Poetschke C, Duda J, Schlaudraff F, Lammel S, et al. 2014. Cav1.3 channels control D2-autoreceptor responses via NCS-1 in substantia nigra dopamine neurons. *Brain* 137:2287–302
- Iyer R, Ungless MA, Faisal AA. 2017. Calcium-activated SK channels control firing regularity by modulating sodium channel availability in midbrain dopamine neurons. Sci. Rep. 7:5248
- Hallworth NE, Wilson CJ, Bevan MD. 2003. Apamin-sensitive small conductance calcium-activated potassium channels, through their selective coupling to voltage-gated calcium channels, are critical determinants of the precision, pace, and pattern of action potential generation in rat subthalamic nucleus neurons in vitro. *J. Neurosci.* 23:7525–42
- Bergquist F, Nissbrandt H. 2003. Influence of R-type (Cav2.3) and T-type (Cav3.1–3.3) antagonists on nigral somatodendritic dopamine release measured by microdialysis. *Neuroscience* 120:757–64
- Bonci A, Grillner P, Mercuri NB, Bernardi G. 1998. L-Type calcium channels mediate a slow excitatory synaptic transmission in rat midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 18:6693–703
- Chan CS, Guzman JN, Ilijic E, Mercer JN, Rick C, et al. 2007. 'Rejuvenation' protects neurons in mouse models of Parkinson's disease. *Nature* 447:1081–86
- 73. Bean BP. 2007. The action potential in mammalian central neurons. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 8:451-65
- 74. Ortner NJ, Bock G, Dougalis A, Kharitonova M, Duda J, et al. 2017. Lower affinity of isradipine for L-type Ca²⁺ channels during substantia nigra dopamine neuron-like activity: implications for neuroprotection in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurosci.* 37:6761–77
- Grace AA. 1991. Regulation of spontaneous activity and oscillatory spike firing in rat midbrain dopamine neurons recorded in vitro. Synapse 7:221–34
- Kang Y, Kitai ST. 1993. Calcium spike underlying rhythmic firing in dopaminergic neurons of the rat substantia nigra. *Neurosci. Res.* 18:195–207
- Wilson CJ, Callaway JC. 2000. Coupled oscillator model of the dopaminergic neuron of the substantia nigra. *J. Neurophysiol.* 83:3084–100
- Hage TA, Khaliq ZM. 2015. Tonic firing rate controls dendritic Ca²⁺ signaling and synaptic gain in substantia nigra dopamine neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 35:5823–36
- Jang J, Um KB, Jang M, Kim SH, Cho H, et al. 2014. Balance between the proximal dendritic compartment and the soma determines spontaneous firing rate in midbrain dopamine neurons. *J. Physiol.* 592:2829–44

- Guzman JN, Sanchez-Padilla J, Wokosin D, Kondapalli J, Ilijic E, et al. 2010. Oxidant stress evoked by pacemaking in dopaminergic neurons is attenuated by DJ-1. *Nature* 468:696–700
- Carbone C, Costa A, Provensi G, Mannaioni G, Masi A. 2017. The hyperpolarization-activated current determines synaptic excitability, calcium activity and specific viability of substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. *Front. Cell Neurosci.* 11:187
- Schiemann J, Schlaudraff F, Klose V, Bingmer M, Seino S, et al. 2012. K-ATP channels in dopamine substantia nigra neurons control bursting and novelty-induced exploration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15:1272–80
- Ortner NJ, Striessnig J. 2016. L-type calcium channels as drug targets in CNS disorders. *Channels* 10(1):7–13
- Kang S, Cooper G, Dunne SF, Dusel B, Luan CH, et al. 2012. CaV1.3-selective L-type calcium channel antagonists as potential new therapeutics for Parkinson's disease. *Nat. Commun.* 3:1146
- Patel JC, Witkovsky P, Avshalumov MV, Rice ME. 2009. Mobilization of calcium from intracellular stores facilitates somatodendritic dopamine release. *J. Neurosci.* 29:6568–79
- Bryanovski DI, Guzman JN, Xie Z, Galteri DJ, Volpicelli-Daley LA, et al. 2013. Calcium entry and αsynuclein inclusions elevate dendritic mitochondrial oxidant stress in dopaminergic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 33:10154–64
- Takada M, Kang Y, Imanishi M. 2001. Immunohistochemical localization of voltage-gated calcium channels in substantia nigra dopamine neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 13:757–62
- Dufour MA, Woodhouse A, Goaillard J-M. 2014. Somatodendritic ion channel expression in substantia nigra pars compacta dopaminergic neurons across postnatal development. J. Neurosci. Res. 92:981–99
- 89. Park A, Stacy M. 2015. Disease-modifying drugs in Parkinson's disease. Drugs 75:2065-71
- Przedborski S. 2017. The two-century journey of Parkinson disease research. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18:251– 59
- Mosharov EV, Larsen KE, Kanter E, Phillips KA, Wilson K, et al. 2009. Interplay between cytosolic dopamine, calcium, and α-synuclein causes selective death of substantia nigra neurons. *Neuron* 62:218–29
- Collier TJ, Kanaan NM, Kordower JH. 2011. Ageing as a primary risk factor for Parkinson's disease: evidence from studies of non-human primates. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 12:359–66
- Post MR, Lieberman OJ, Mosharov EV. 2018. Can interactions between α-synuclein, dopamine and calcium explain selective neurodegeneration in Parkinson's disease? *Front. Neurosci.* 12:161
- 94. Deng H, Wang P, Jankovic J. 2018. The genetics of Parkinson disease. Ageing Res. Rev. 42:72-85
- Jansen IE, Gibbs JR, Nalls MA, Price TR, Lubbe S, et al. 2017. Establishing the role of rare coding variants in known Parkinson's disease risk loci. *Neurobiol. Aging* 59:220.e11–18
- Hernandez DG, Reed X, Singleton AB. 2016. Genetics in Parkinson disease: Mendelian versus non-Mendelian inheritance. *J. Neurochem.* 139(Suppl. 1):59–74
- Ammal Kaidery N, Thomas B. 2018. Current perspective of mitochondrial biology in Parkinson's disease. Neurochem. Int. 117:91–113
- Chang D, Nalls MA, Hallgrimsdottir IB, Hunkapiller J, van der Brug M, et al. 2017. A meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies identifies 17 new Parkinson's disease risk loci. Nat. Genet. 49:1511–16
- Schöndorf DC, Aureli M, McAllister FE, Hindley CJ, Mayer F, et al. 2014. iPSC-derived neurons from GBA1-associated Parkinson's disease patients show autophagic defects and impaired calcium homeostasis. *Nat. Commun.* 5:4028
- Bolam JP, Pissadaki EK. 2012. Living on the edge with too many mouths to feed: why dopamine neurons die. *Mov. Disord.* 27:1478–83
- 101. Pacelli C, Giguère N, Bourque M-J, Lévesque M, Slack RS, Trudeau L-É. 2015. Elevated mitochondrial bioenergetics and axonal arborization size are key contributors to the vulnerability of dopamine neurons. *Curr. Biol.* 25:2349–60
- 102. Meiser J, Weindl D, Hiller K. 2013. Complexity of dopamine metabolism. Cell Commun. Signal. 11:34
- Matsuda W, Furuta T, Nakamura KC, Hioki H, Fujiyama F, et al. 2009. Single nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons form widely spread and highly dense axonal arborizations in the neostriatum. *J. Neurosci.* 29:444– 53
- 104. Pissadaki EK, Bolam JP. 2013. The energy cost of action potential propagation in dopamine neurons: clues to susceptibility in Parkinson's disease. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 7:13

- Foehring RC, Zhang XF, Lee JCF, Callaway JC. 2009. Endogenous calcium buffering capacity of substantia nigral dopamine neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 102:2326–33
- 106. Mouatt-Prigent A, Agid Y, Hirsch EC. 1994. Does the calcium binding protein calretinin protect dopaminergic neurons against degeneration in Parkinson's disease? *Brain Res.* 668:62–70
- 107. Schapira AHV, Gegg M. 2011. Mitochondrial contribution to Parkinson's disease pathogenesis. *Parkinson's Dis.* 2011:159160
- Zucca FA, Segura-Aguilar J, Ferrari E, Muñoz P, Paris I, et al. 2017. Interactions of iron, dopamine and neuromelanin pathways in brain aging and Parkinson's disease. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 155:96–119
- Rivero-Rios P, Gomez-Suaga P, Fdez E, Hilfiker S. 2014. Upstream deregulation of calcium signaling in Parkinson's disease. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 7:53
- Aumann T, Horne M. 2012. Activity-dependent regulation of the dopamine phenotype in substantia nigra neurons. J. Neurochem. 121:497–515
- Lieberman OJ, Choi SJ, Kanter E, Saverchenko A, Frier MD, et al. 2017. α-Synuclein-dependent calcium entry underlies differential sensitivity of cultured SN and VTA dopaminergic neurons to a Parkinsonian neurotoxin. eNeuro 4(6):ENEURO.0167–17.2017
- Schildknecht S, Di Monte DA, Pape R, Tieu K, Leist M. 2017. Tipping points and endogenous determinants of nigrostriatal degeneration by MPTP. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 38:541–55
- 113. Langston JW. 2017. The MPTP story. J. Parkinson's Dis. 7(Suppl. 1):S11-22
- Larsen SB, Hanss Z, Krüger R. 2018. The genetic architecture of mitochondrial dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Cell Tissue Res.* 373:21–37
- Ludtmann MHR, Abramov AY. 2018. Mitochondrial calcium imbalance in Parkinson's disease. Neurosci. Lett. 663:86–90
- 116. Zhou Q, Yen A, Rymarczyk G, Asai H, Trengrove C, et al. 2016. Impairment of PARK14-dependent Ca²⁺ signalling is a novel determinant of Parkinson's disease. *Nat. Commun.* 7:10332
- 117. Sun Y, Zhang H, Selvaraj S, Sukumaran P, Lei S, et al. 2017. Inhibition of L-type Ca2+ channels by TRPC1-STIM1 complex is essential for the protection of dopaminergic neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 37:3364–77
- Franz O, Liss B, Neu A, Roeper J. 2000. Single-cell mRNA expression of HCN1 correlates with a fast gating phenotype of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels (Ih) in central neurons. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 12:2685–93
- Subramaniam M, Althof D, Gispert S, Schwenk J, Auburger G, et al. 2014. Mutant α-synuclein enhances firing frequencies in dopamine substantia nigra neurons by oxidative impairment of potassium channels. *J. Neurosci.* 34:13586–99
- Soden ME, Jones GL, Sanford CA, Chung AS, Guler AD, et al. 2013. Disruption of dopamine neuron activity pattern regulation through selective expression of a human KCNN3 mutation. *Neuron* 80:997– 1009
- Liss B, Haeckel O, Wildmann J, Miki T, Seino S, Roeper J. 2005. K-ATP channels promote the differential degeneration of dopaminergic midbrain neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8:1742–51
- 122. Burbulla LF, Song P, Mazzulli JR, Zampese E, Wong YC, et al. 2017. Dopamine oxidation mediates mitochondrial and lysosomal dysfunction in Parkinson's disease. *Science* 357:1255–61
- Striessnig J. 1999. Pharmacology, structure and function of cardiac L-type calcium channels. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* 9:242–69
- Moosmang S, Schulla V, Welling A, Feil R, Feil S, et al. 2003. Dominant role of smooth muscle L-type calcium channel Cav1.2 for blood pressure regulation. *EMBO J*. 22:6027–34
- Hille B. 1977. Local anesthetics: hydrophilic and hydrophobic pathways for the drug-receptor reaction. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 69:497–515
- Bean BP. 1984. Nitrendipine block of cardiac calcium channels: high-affinity binding to the inactivated state. PNAS 81:6388–92
- 127. Koschak A, Reimer D, Huber I, Grabner M, Glossmann H, et al. 2001. α1D (Cav1.3) subunits can form L-type Ca²⁺ channels activating at negative voltages. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276:22100–6
- 128. Xu W, Lipscombe D. 2001. Neuronal Ca_v1.3 α₁ L-type channels activate at relatively hyperpolarized membrane potentials and are incompletely inhibited by dihydropyridines. *J. Neurosci.* 21:5944–51
- Huang H, Yu D, Soong TW. 2013. C-terminal alternative splicing of Cav1.3 channels distinctively modulates their dihydropyridine sensitivity. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 84:643–53

- Parkinson Study Group. 2013. Phase II safety, tolerability, and dose selection study of isradipine as a potential disease-modifying intervention in early Parkinson's disease (STEADY-PD). *Mov. Disord.* 28:1823–31
- Drugs.com. 2018. DynaCirc CR: pharmacokinetics and metabolism. Drugs.com, Aug. 1. https://www. drugs.com/pro/dynacirc-cr.html
- 132. Shenfield GM, Boutagy J, Stokes GS, Rumble F, Dunagan F. 1990. The pharmokinetics of isradipine in hypertensive subjects. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 38:209–11
- Surmeier DJ, Halliday GM, Simuni T. 2017. Calcium, mitochondrial dysfunction and slowing the progression of Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 298:202–9
- Ortner NJ, Bock G, Vandael DHF, Mauersberger R, Draheim HJ, et al. 2014. Pyrimidine-2,4,6-triones are a new class of voltage-gated L-type Ca²⁺ channel activators. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3897
- 135. Urien S, Pinquier JL, Paquette B, Chaumet-Riffaud P, Kiechel JR, Tillement JP. 1987. Effect of the binding of isradipine and darodipine to different plasma proteins on their transfer through the rat bloodbrain barrier. Drug binding to lipoproteins does not limit the transfer of drug. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther*. 242:349–53
- Herbette LG, Vanterve YMH, Rhodes DG. 1989. Interaction of 1,4-dihydropyridine calcium channel antagonists with biological membranes: Lipid bilayer partitioning could occur before drug binding to receptors. *J. Mol. Cell Cardiol.* 21:187–201
- 137. Allen GS, Ahn HS, Preziosi TJ, Battye R, Boone SC, et al. 1983. Cerebral arterial spasm—a controlled trial of nimodipine in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 308:619–24
- Woodward DK, Hatton J, Ensom MH, Young B, Dempsey R, Clifton GD. 1998. α1-acid glycoprotein concentrations and cerebrospinal fluid drug distribution after subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Pharmacotherapy* 18:1062–68
- 139. Nanou E, Catterall WA. 2018. Calcium channels, synaptic plasticity, and neuropsychiatric disease. *Neuron* 98:466–81
- Malik ZA, Stein IS, Navedo MF, Hell JW. 2014. Mission CaMKIIγ: shuttle calmodulin from membrane to nucleus. *Cell* 159(2):235–37
- 141. Wang X, Marks CR, Perfitt TL, Nakagawa T, Lee A, et al. 2017. A novel mechanism for Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II targeting to L-type Ca²⁺ channels that initiates longrange signaling to the nucleus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 292(42):17324–36
- 142. Christensen HR, Antonsen K, Simonsen K, Lindekaer A, Bonde J, et al. 2000. Bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of isradipine after oral and intravenous administration: half-life shorter than expected? *Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 86:178–82
- 143. Park J-H, Park Y-S, Rhim S-Y, Jhee O-H, Kim S-H, et al. 2009. Quantification of isradipine in human plasma using LC-MS/MS for pharmacokinetic and bioequivalence study. *J. Chromatogr. B Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci.* 877:59–64
- Michelson G, Wärntges S, Leidig S, Lötsch J, Geisslinger G. 2006. Nimodipine plasma concentration and retinal blood flow in healthy subjects. *Investig. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 47:3479–86
- 145. Blardi P, Urso R, De Lalla A, Volpi L, Perri TD, Auteri A. 2002. Nimodipine: drug pharmacokinetics and plasma adenosine levels in patients affected by cerebral ischemia. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 72:556–61
- Uchida S, Yamada S, Nagai K, Deguchi Y, Kimura R. 1997. Brain pharmacokinetics and in vivo receptor binding of 1,4-dihydropyridine calcium channel antagonists. *Life Sci.* 61:2083–90
- 147. Larkin JG, Thompson GG, Scobie G, Forrest G, Drennan JE, Brodie MJ. 1992. Dihydropyridine calcium antagonists in mice: blood and brain pharmacokinetics and efficacy against pentylenetetrazol seizures. *Epilepsia* 33:760–69
- 148. Kupsch A, Sautter J, Schwarz J, Riederer P, Gerlach M, Oertel WH. 1996. 1-Methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6tetrahydropyridine-induced neurotoxicity in non-human primates is antagonized by pretreatment with nimodipine at the nigral, but not at the striatal level. *Brain Res.* 741:185–96
- 149. Johnson BA, Javors MA, Lam Y-WF, Wells LT, Tiouririne M, et al. 2005. Kinetic and cardiovascular comparison of immediate-release isradipine and sustained-release isradipine among non-treatmentseeking, cocaine-dependent individuals. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 29:15–20
- Carrara V, Porchet H, Dayer P. 1994. Influence of input rates on (±)-isradipine haemodynamics and concentration-effect relationship in healthy volunteers. *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 46:29–33

- Janezic S, Threlfell S, Dodson PD, Dowie MJ, Taylor TN, et al. 2013. Deficits in dopaminergic transmission precede neuron loss and dysfunction in a new Parkinson model. *PNAS* 110:E4016–25
- Mor DE, Tsika E, Mazzulli JR, Gould NS, Kim H, et al. 2017. Dopamine induces soluble α-synuclein oligomers and nigrostriatal degeneration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 20:1560–68
- Blesa J, Przedborski S. 2014. Parkinson's disease: animal models and dopaminergic cell vulnerability. Front. Neuroanat. 8:155
- 154. Tieu K. 2011. A guide to neurotoxic animal models of Parkinson's disease. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 1:a009316
- 155. Jackson-Lewis V, Przedborski S. 2007. Protocol for the MPTP mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Nat. Protoc. 2:141–51
- Potts LF, Wu H, Singh A, Marcilla I, Luquin MR, Papa SM. 2014. Modeling Parkinson's disease in monkeys for translational studies, a critical analysis. *Exp. Neurol.* 256:133–43
- Munoz-Manchado AB, Villadiego J, Romo-Madero S, Suarez-Luna N, Bermejo-Navas A, et al. 2016. Chronic and progressive Parkinson's disease MPTP model in adult and aged mice. *J. Neurochem.* 136:373– 87
- Meredith GE, Sonsalla PK, Chesselet M-F. 2008. Animal models of Parkinson's disease progression. Acta Neuropathol. 115:385–98
- Gerlach M, Russ H, Winker J, Witzmann K, Traber J, et al. 1993. Effects of nimodipine on the 1methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-induced depletions in the biogenic amine levels in mice. *Drug Res.* 43:413–15
- 160. Price CJ, Sutherland ML, Mathews JM, Fennell TR, Black SR, et al. 2014. Evaluation of isradipine for neuroprotection in the MPTP/p mouse model of Parkinson's disease. Poster presented at the Annual Society for Neuroscience Meeting, Washington, DC, Novemb. 18
- 161. Kupsch A, Gerlach M, Pupeter SC, Sautter J, Dirr A, et al. 1995. Pretreatment with nimodipine prevents MPTP-induced neurotoxicity at the nigral, but not at the striatal level in mice. *Neuroreport* 6:621–25
- 162. Singh A, Verma P, Balaji G, Samantaray S, Mohanakumar KP. 2016. Nimodipine, an L-type calcium channel blocker attenuates mitochondrial dysfunctions to protect against 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6tetrahydropyridine-induced Parkinsonism in mice. *Neurochem. Int.* 99:221–32
- 163. Wang Q-M, Xu Y-Y, Liu S, Ma Z-G. 2017. Isradipine attenuates MPTP-induced dopamine neuron degeneration by inhibiting up-regulation of L-type calcium channels and iron accumulation in the substantia nigra of mice. *Oncotarget* 8:47284–95
- 164. Ilijic E, Guzman JN, Surmeier DJ. 2011. The L-type channel antagonist isradipine is neuroprotective in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 43:364–71
- 165. Sautter J, Kupsch A, Earl CD, Oertel WH. 1997. Degeneration of pre-labelled nigral neurons induced by intrastriatal 6-hydroxydopamine in the rat: behavioural and biochemical changes and pretreatment with the calcium-entry blocker nimodipine. *Exp. Brain. Res.* 117:111–19
- Wang R, Ma Z, Wang J, Xie J. 2012. L-type Cav1.2 calcium channel is involved in 6-hydroxydopamineinduced neurotoxicity in rats. *Neurotoxicity Res.* 21:266–70
- Specht CG, Schoepfer R. 2001. Deletion of the α-synuclein locus in a subpopulation of C57BL/6J inbred mice. BMC Neurosci. 2:11
- 168. Liron T, Raphael B, Hiram-Bab S, Bab IA, Gabet Y. 2018. Bone loss in C57BL/6J-OlaHsd mice, a substrain of C57BL/6J carrying mutated α-synuclein and multimerin-1 genes. *J. Cell Physiol.* 233:371– 77
- Simon MM, Greenaway S, White JK, Fuchs H, Gailus-Durner V, et al. 2013. A comparative phenotypic and genomic analysis of C57BL/6J and C57BL/6N mouse strains. *Genome Biol.* 14:R82
- Zurita E, Chagoyen M, Cantero M, Alonso R, Gonzalez-Neira A, et al. 2011. Genetic polymorphisms among C57BL/6 mouse inbred strains. *Transgenic Res.* 20:481–89
- 171. Bryant CD, Zhang NN, Sokoloff G, Fanselow MS, Ennes HS, et al. 2008. Behavioral differences among C57BL/6 substrains: implications for transgenic and knockout studies. J. Neurogenet. 22:315–31
- 172. Envigo. 2018. Research models and services. C57BL/6: substrain information. Data Sheet, Envigo, Madison, WI. https://www.envigo.com/assets/docs/envigo-68-c57bl6-enhanced-technical-datasheet_screen.pdf

- 173. Bendor JT, Logan TP, Edwards RH. 2013. The function of α-synuclein. Neuron 79:1044-66
- 174. Dauer W, Kholodilov N, Vila M, Trillat A-C, Goodchild R, et al. 2002. Resistance of α-synuclein null mice to the parkinsonian neurotoxin MPTP. *PNAS* 99:14524–29
- 175. Schluter OM, Fornai F, Alessandri MG, Takamori S, Geppert M, et al. 2003. Role of α-synuclein in 1methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-induced Parkinsonism in mice. *Neuroscience* 118:985–1002
- 176. Sellers RS. 2017. Translating mouse models. Toxicol. Pathol. 45:134-45
- 177. Slomianka L, West MJ. 2005. Estimators of the precision of stereological estimates: an example based on the CA1 pyramidal cell layer of rats. *Neuroscience* 136:757–67
- Yiu S, Knaus EE. 1996. Synthesis, biological evaluation, calcium channel antagonist activity, and anticonvulsant activity of felodipine coupled to a dihydropyridine-pyridinium salt redox chemical delivery system. J. Med. Chem. 39:4576–82
- 179. Ji B, Wang M, Gao D, Xing S, Li L, et al. 2017. Combining nanoscale magnetic nimodipine liposomes with magnetic resonance image for Parkinson's disease targeting therapy. *Nanomedicine* 12:237–53

R

υ

Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology

Volume 59, 2019

Role of Cell Death in Toxicology: Does It Matter How Cells Die? Introduction to the Theme "New Therapeutic Targets" Systems Pharmacology: Defining the Interactions of Drug Combinations Drug Targets for Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction: A Mechanistic Approach and Review of Contemporary Clinical Trials Emerging Pharmacological Targets for the Treatment of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, Insulin Resistance, and Type 2 Diabetes Leigh Goedeke, Rachel J. Perry, and Gerald I. Shulman65 Environmental Obesogens: Mechanisms and Controversies The Exposome: Molecules to Populations Megan M. Niedzwiecki, Douglas I. Walker, Roel Vermeulen, Marc Chadeau-Hyam, Dean P. Jones, and Gary W. Miller 107 Challenges in Orphan Drug Development: Identification of Effective Therapy for Thyroid-Associated Ophthalmopathy Fingolimod: Lessons Learned and New Opportunities for Treating Multiple Sclerosis and Other Disorders Jerold Chun, Yasuyuki Kihara, Deepa Jonnalagadda, The Neurobiology and Pharmacotherapy of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Chadi G. Abdallah, Lynnette A. Averill, Teddy 7. Akiki, Mohsin Raza, Christopher L. Averill, Hassaan Gomaa, Archana Adikey,

Ro Muo asr Int

Contents

The Placebo Effect in Pain Therapies Luana Colloca 191
Molecular Pharmacology and Neurobiology of Rapid-Acting Antidepressants Todd D. Gould, Carlos A. Zarate Jr., and Scott M. Thompson
Nuclear Receptors as Therapeutic Targets for Neurodegenerative Diseases: Lost in Translation Miguel Moutinho, Juan F. Codocedo, Shweta S. Puntambekar, and Gary E. Landreth 237
The Potential of L-Type Calcium Channels as a Drug Target for Neuroprotective Therapy in Parkinson's Disease Birgit Liss and Jörg Striessnig 263
Therapeutic Approaches to the Treatment of Tinnitus Berthold Langguth, Ana Belen Elgoyhen, and Christopher R. Cederroth
Muscle Wasting Diseases: Novel Targets and Treatments Regula Furrer and Christoph Handschin 315
Novel Clinical Toxicology and Pharmacology of Organophosphorus Insecticide Self-Poisoning <i>Michael Eddleston</i>
New Cell Cycle Inhibitors Target Aneuploidy in Cancer Therapy Masanori Kawakami, Xi Liu, and Ethan Dmitrovsky
Pharmacologic Targeting of Hypoxia-Inducible Factors Gregg L. Semenza
Surviving in the Valley of Death: Opportunities and Challenges in Translating Academic Drug Discoveries <i>Marcus C. Parrish, Yuan Jin Tan, Kevin V. Grimes, and Daria Mochly-Rosen</i> 405
Moving from the Trial to the Real World: Improving Medication Adherence Using Insights of Implementation Science <i>Leah L. Zullig, Mieke Deschodt, Jan Liska, Hayden B. Bosworth,</i> <i>and Sabina De Geest</i>
Organoids for Drug Discovery and Personalized Medicine <i>Toshio Takahashi</i>
 Applications of Immunopharmacogenomics: Predicting, Preventing, and Understanding Immune-Mediated Adverse Drug Reactions Jason H. Karnes, Matthew A. Miller, Katie D. White, Katherine C. Konvinse, Rebecca K. Pavlos, Alec J. Redwood, Jonathan G. Peter, Rannakoe Lebloenya, Simon A. Mallal, and Elizabeth J. Phillips

Recent Developments in Understanding Barrier Mechanisms in the Developing Brain: Drugs and Drug Transporters in Pregnancy, Susceptibility or Protection in the Fetal Brain? Norman R. Saunders, Katarzyna M. Dziegielewska, Kjeld Møllgård, and Mark D. Habgood
Assessment of Pharmacokinetic Drug–Drug Interactions in Humans: In Vivo Probe Substrates for Drug Metabolism and Drug Transport Revisited <i>Uwe Fuhr, Chib-hsuan Hsin, Xia Li, Wafaâ Jabrane, and Fritz Sörgel</i>
Metals and Mechanisms of Carcinogenesis Qiao Yi Chen, Thomas DesMarais, and Max Costa
Modulating NRF2 in Disease: Timing Is Everything Matthew Dodson, Montserrat Rojo de la Vega, Aram B. Cholanians, Cody J. Schmidlin, Eli Chapman, and Donna D. Zhang
Cardiovascular Pharmacogenomics: Does It Matter If You're Black or White? <i>Tanima De, C. Sehwan Park, and Minoli A. Perera</i>
Therapeutic Oligonucleotides: State of the Art C.I. Edvard Smith and Rula Zain 605

Indexes

Cumulative Index of Contributing Authors, Volumes 55–59	631
Cumulative Index of Article Titles, Volumes 55–59	635

Errata

An online log of corrections to *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* articles may be found at http://www.annualreviews.org/errata/pharmtox