Supporting Information

Chemical and Electronic Changes of the CeO₂ Support During CO Oxidation on Au/CeO₂ Catalysts: Time resolved Operando XAS at the Ce L_{III} Edge

Joachim Bansmann,* Ali M. Abdel-Mageed,* Shilong Chen, Corinna Fauth, Thomas Häring, Gabriela Kučerová, Yuchen Wang, and R. Jürgen Behm

> Institute of Surface Chemistry and Catalysis, Ulm University, Albert-Einstein-Allee 47, D-89081 Ulm, Germany

1. Comparison of changes in the CO₂ formation rate upon change in the reaction gas mixture from standard gas mixture to CO-rich gas mixture: comparison with earlier data

Here it is important to note that in our former experiments (Figure 12 in [1]) we used to initially pass the reaction gas via a bypass for 3.5 h before starting the measurements in the CO-rich reaction gas (5% CO, 1% O₂, 94% N₂), while leaving the catalyst in the standing standard reaction gas mixture (1% O₂, 1% CO and 98% N₂). This will result in an accumulation of CO₂ in the gas mixture in the reactor. When switching from bypass to reactor and starting the measurements, this results in a high initial CO₂ level, until the gas in the reactor is completely exchanged. In later experiments (during X-ray absorption measurements) this bypass phase was skipped. The gas flow was directly switched to the reactor and the measurements were started immediately after changing the reaction gas mixture. To test whether this has an influence on the reaction behavior we performed a test measurement with a commercial catalyst (1wt% Au in CeO₂, from Haruta Gold Inc., Tokyo, Japan, RR2Ce-1, with 114 m²/g), following the same procedure as used previously (see Figure S1, Supporting Information). This showed a similar trend as reported in ref. [1], indicating that there is no principal difference in the reaction behavior of the two catalysts. The difference is mainly due to the build-up /accumulation of stable adsorbed species such as surface carbonates on the catalyst surface, by reaction with the reaction gas components and in particular with CO₂ formed while standing in the reactor during the bypass phase.



Fig. S1: CO₂ formation rate upon reaction gas exchange on an O400 pretreated (10% O₂, balance N₂) commercial 1 wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst (Haruta Gold Inc.): orange symbols: standard reaction gas mixture at 80°C: 1% CO, 1% O₂, balance N₂; black symbols: CO–rich gas mixture (5% CO, 1% O₂, balance N₂). The sample was kept in a standing standard reaction gas mixture for 2 h, simulating the bypass phase in the previous measurements.

2. Comparison of different CO400 pretreatments

The measurements performed after a CO400 pretreatment in 10% CO in N₂ in this work resulted in significantly different reaction behavior compared measurements after CO400 pretreatment in 2% CO in N₂, as used in ref. [1]). In order to verify that the differences in reaction behavior are indeed only caused by the different CO concentrations during pretreatment, we repeated a measurement of the CO₂ formation rate after CO400 pretreatment 2% CO in N₂ using a commercial Au/CeO₂ (1 wt.% Au) catalyst. The resulting time dependence of the CO₂ formation rate (see below) shows a trend comparable to the data published in [1].



Fig. S2: CO₂ formation rate after a CO400 pretreatment in 2% CO (balance N₂) on a commercial 1wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst in a standard reaction gas mixture: 1% CO, 1% O₂, balance N₂ at 80°C.

3. Influence of water traces in reaction gas mixtures

In order to exclude possible effects caused by traces of water in the reaction gas, we performed a set of two measurements on a commercial 1 wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst which resemble those shown in Figures 4 – 6 in the main text. One measurement was performed without a water filter (black triangles), one with a water filter (red triangles), see Figure S3. Although the switching between the different reaction gas mixtures occurred at slightly different times, there is no difference in the CO₂ formation rates measured with or without water filter. The water filter (Agilent, CP17971) was applied to realize dry reaction conditions (<0.2 ppm of H₂O).



Fig. S3: CO₂ formation rate on a commercial 1 wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst in different reaction gas atmospheres after a O400 pretreatment in 10% O₂ (balance N₂): black open symbols: without additional water filter, red open dots: with water filter. Sequence of gas mixtures at 80°C: 1: standard reaction gas mixture (1% O₂, 1% CO, 98% N₂), squares; 2: O₂-rich gas mixture (1% CO, 5% O₂, 94% N₂), circles; 3: again standard reaction gas mixture; 4: CO-rich gas mixture (5% CO, 1% O₂, 94% N₂), triangles, 5: again standard reaction gas mixture.

4. Comparison of O400 pretreatments on different Au/CeO₂ catalysts

A similar sequence of different reaction gas mixtures was measured on a commercial Au/CeO₂ catalyst (Haruta Inc. 1 wt.% Au loading) after O400 pretreatment. The much lower Au loadings resulted of course in lower CO₂ formation rates compared to the 4.5 wt.% Au catalysts used in this study, but the general trends shown in Figure S4 fully agree with the data presented in Figure 4. Due to the limited time for measurements at the XAFS beamline at Elettra, the intervals are shorter and only one XAS measurement was recorded per reaction gas mixture to obtain the Ce³⁺ ratio (results, see Table S1).



Fig. S4: CO₂ formation rate after an O400 pretreatment in 10% O₂ (balance N₂) on a commercial 1wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst in different reaction gas atmospheres at 80°C.

5. Comparison of CO400 pretreatments on different Au/CeO₂ catalysts

A similar sequence as shown in Figure S4, was also measured on the 1 wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst after CO400 pretreatment. This is depicted in Figure S5. Again, the general trends shown in Figure S5 fully agree with the data presented in Figure 6. XANES results on the relative Ce^{3+} content in the different phases presented in Table S1.



Fig. S5: CO₂ formation rate after a CO400 pretreatment in 10% CO (balance N₂) on a commercial 1 wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst in different reaction gas atmospheres at 80°C.

Table S1: Relative content of Ce³⁺ species on a commercial 1 wt.% Au/CeO₂ catalyst after oxidative (O400) and reductive (CO400) pretreatment and during subsequent reaction in different reaction gas mixtures at 80°C.

reaction gas mixture	O400	CO400
in N ₂ after pretreatment / %	0	5.6
standard / %	0	0
O ₂ -rich / %	0	0
standard / %	0	0
CO-rich / %	0	0

Similar to the catalyst with 4.5 wt.% Au loading, the relative content of Ce^{3+} species is significantly higher after the reductive CO400 pretreatment than after the oxidative O400 pretreatment. During exposure to the reaction gas mixtures, the content of Ce^{3+} species is below the detection limit, even during reaction in the CO-rich gas mixture. This indicates facile re-oxidation of O vacancies.

Reference s

 Abd El-Moemen, A.;Abdel-Mageed, A.M.;Bansmann, J.;Parlinska-Wojtan, M.;Behm, R.J.; Kucèrová, G., Deactivation of Au/CeO₂ catalysts in the CO oxidation reaction: Influence of pretreatment and reaction conditions. *J. Catal.* **2016**, *341*, 160-179.