



EINLADUNG
ZUM
THEORETISCH-PHYSIKALISCHEN KOLLOQUIUM

Prof. Dr. Markus Arndt
Universität Wien

***Experiments to Probe Quantum Linearity
at the Interface to Gravity & Complexity***

Donnerstag, 20.12.2018
11:00 Uhr
N24/252

Experiments to Probe Quantum Linearity at the Interface to Gravity & Complexity

Markus Arndt, University of Vienna, Faculty of Physics, QNP Group, VCQ
Boltzmannngasse 5, A- 1090 Vienna, Austria

Quantum physics is the uncontested and best-confirmed theory of nature, and yet a number of essential questions still need to be solved:

Why do we find a unitary and linear evolution in quantum mechanics and its relativistic extensions but not in the macroscopic world we live in? What defines the cut between coherent superpositions of quantum states and the classical world view where irreversible measurements pick one of potentially many mutually exclusive possibilities? How can measurement play the trick if it is a quantum interaction itself? What is the role of complexity or gravity in the quantum-to-classical transition?

These questions have been guiding our research in prototypical test of the quantum nature of matter, which can be regarded as modern extensions of the double slit experiment with massive particles.

Starting from the demonstration of diffraction of hot fullerenes at nanomechanical¹ and optical² nanostructures, we have generalized this experiment to full-fledged matter-wave interferometry with mesoscopic objects in different experimental configurations with nanomechanical^{3,4}, phase gratings⁵ and purely optical photo-depletion gratings⁶.

We were able to demonstrate the de Broglie wave nature of complex many body systems such as molecular clusters⁷, macromolecules⁸ and biomolecules⁹, even with molecules more massive than 10'000 amu, composed of more than 800 atoms¹⁰. In all these experiments, quantum mechanics was well confirmed and always clearly distinct from a classical world view.

We show that one can measure internal particle properties, such as structural conformations¹¹, electronic¹², magnetic and optical¹³ properties, *while* molecules are being quantum delocalized and even at internal microcanonical temperatures of up to 1000 K. The experiments illustrate that quantum superposition states of momentum and position can be realized and visualized even for complex bodies that we would commonly associate with the classical world, for instance when we eat them⁹.

If all this can be done, what prevents the appearance of Schrödinger cat states in our everyday lives? Is there a limit in mass, or complexity? This is a valid experimental question that deserves attention, independent of any theory. Models provide additional motivation:

Decoherence theory^{14, 15} offers a perfectly valid explanation for the absence of quantum phenomena in a world that is still highly quantum and entangled by unavoidable interactions between a subsystem and its environment. However, decoherence theory does not address the philosophical question whether quantum superpositions may break under any circumstances at any time.

Testing for objective wave function collapse in real space, either spontaneously^{16, 17} or mediated by gravity^{18, 19} has been the goal of models²⁰ that extend the Schrödinger equation by non-linear. While many versions of such models have already been constrained by non-quantum experiments²¹, genuine quantum experiments in the regime of 10⁷-10¹² amu appear important and necessary to test these models, definitely. The future realization of quantum superposition states in this mass range could also shed light on models that combined quantum mechanics and gravity theory, such as the Schrödinger Newton equation²².

Even before this is realized, various additional effects of gravity do enter quantum interference experiments: gravitational free fall constrains the coherent evolution and observation time for un-trapped quantum systems. Gravity can also imprint large phase shifts on matter-waves whose partial components probe different heights in the Earth's gravitational field. It has been considered whether high-mass interference might be sensitive to gravitational waves²³ or dark matter²⁴ or unconventional tests of the weak equivalence principle²⁵. Some of these phenomena will be briefly revisited to point to the state of the art and future research directions in the lab, in drop towers and on satellites²⁶.

1. Arndt M, Nairz O, Voss-Andreae J, Keller C, van der Zouw G, Zeilinger A. Wave-particle duality of c60 molecules. *Nature* **401**, 680-682 (1999).
2. Nairz O, Brezger B, Arndt M, Zeilinger A. Diffraction of complex molecules by structures made of light. *Phys Rev Lett* **87**, 160401 (2001).
3. Brezger B, Hackermüller L, Uttenthaler S, Petschinka J, Arndt M, Zeilinger A. Matter-wave interferometer for large molecules. *Phys Rev Lett* **88**, 100404 (2002).
4. Brand C, *et al.* An atomically thin matter-wave beamsplitter. *Nature Nanotechnology* **10**, 845-848 (2015).
5. Gerlich S, *et al.* A Kapitza-Dirac-Talbot-Lau interferometer for highly polarizable molecules. *Nat Phys* **3**, 711-715 (2007).
6. Haslinger P, Dörre N, Geyer P, Rodewald J, Nimmrichter S, Arndt M. A universal matter-wave interferometer with optical ionization gratings in the time domain. *Nat Phys* **9**, 144–148 (2013).
7. Dörre N, Rodewald J, Geyer P, von Issendorff B, Haslinger P, Arndt M. Photofragmentation beam splitters for matter-wave interferometry. *Phys Rev Lett* **113**, 233001 (2014).
8. Gerlich S, *et al.* Quantum interference of large organic molecules. *Nature Commun* **2**, 263 (2011).
9. Mairhofer L, Eibenberger S, Cotter JP, Romirer M, Shayeghi A, Arndt M. Quantum-assisted metrology of neutral vitamins in the gas phase. *Angew Chem Int Ed* **56**, 10947 - 10951 (2017).
10. Eibenberger S, Gerlich S, Arndt M, Mayor M, Tüxen J. Matter-wave interference of particles selected from a molecular library with masses exceeding 10 000 amu. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* **15**, 14696 - 14700 (2013).
11. Tüxen J, Gerlich S, Eibenberger S, Arndt M, Mayor M. Quantum interference distinguishes between constitutional isomers. *Chem Commun* **46**, 4145-4147 (2010).
12. Eibenberger S, Gerlich S, Arndt M, Tüxen J, Mayor M. Electric moments in molecule interferometry. *New J Phys* **13**, 043033 (2011).
13. Eibenberger S, Cheng X, Cotter JP, Arndt M. Absolute absorption cross sections from photon recoil in a matter-wave interferometer. *Phys Rev Lett* **112**, 250402 (2014).
14. Joos E, Zeh HD. The emergence of classical properties through interaction with the environment. *Z Phys B* **59**, 223-243 (1985).
15. Zurek WH. Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Rev Mod Phys* **75**, 715-775 (2003).
16. Ghirardi GC, Pearle P, Rimini A. Markov processes in hilbert space and continuous spontaneous localization of systems of identical particles. *Phys Rev A* **42**, 78 - 89 (1990).
17. Ghirardi GC, Rimini A, Weber T. Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Phys Rev D* **34**, 470-491 (1986).
18. Penrose R. On gravity's role in quantum state reduction. *Gen Rel Grav* **28**, 581-600 (1996).
19. Diosi L. Models for universal reduction of macroscopic quantum fluctuations. *Phys Rev A* **40**, 1165 - 1174 (1989).
20. Bassi A, Lochan K, Satin S, Singh TP, Ulbricht H. Models of wave-function collapse, underlying theories, and experimental tests. *Rev Mod Phys* **85**, 471-527 (2013).
21. Piscicchia K, *et al.* Csl collapse model mapped with the spontaneous radiation. *Entropy* **19**, (2017).
22. Giulini D, Großardt A. Gravitationally induced inhibitions of dispersion according to a modified schrödinger–newton equation for a homogeneous-sphere potential. *Class Quantum Grav* **30**, 155018 (2013).
23. Lamine B, Hervé R, Lambrecht A, Reynaud S. Ultimate decoherence border for matter-wave interferometry. *Phys Rev Lett* **96**, (2006).
24. Riedel CJ, Yavin I. Decoherence as a way to measure extremely soft collisions with dark matter. *Physical Review D* **96**, (2017).
25. Rodewald J, *et al.* Isotope-selective high-order interferometry with large organic molecules in free fall. *New J Phys* **20** 033016 (2018).
26. Kaltenbaek R, *et al.* Macroscopic quantum resonators (maqro): 2015 update. *EPJ Quantum Technology* **3**, 5 (2016).