Influence of water on elementary reaction steps in electrocatalysis

Yoshihiro Gohda,* Sebastian Schnur, and Axel Groß

Institute for Theoretical Chemistry, Ulm University, D-89069 Ulm, Germany

We studied simple reaction pathways of molecules interacting with Pt(111) in the presence of water and ions using density functional theory within the generalized gradient approximation. We particularly focus on the dissociation of H_2 and O_2 on Pt(111) which represent important reaction steps in the hydrogen evolution/oxidation reaction and the oxygen reduction reaction, respectively. Because of the weak interaction of water with Pt(111), the electronic structure of the Pt electrode is hardly perturbed by the presence of water. Consequently, processes that occur directly at the electrode surface such as specific adsorption or the dissociation of oxygen from the chemisorbed molecular oxygen state are only weakly influenced by water. In contrast, processes that occur further away from the electrode such as the dissociation of H_2 can be modified by the water environment through direct molecule-water interaction.

I. INTRODUCTION

The details of many simple reactions at the solidgas interface relevant for heterogeneous catalysis have in recent years been clarified by a close collaboration between theory and experiment [1]. In electrocatalysis, reactions occur at the solid-liquid interface in the presence of ions and an external field. This adds considerable complexity to the reaction pathways and makes thus the elucidation of microscopic reaction steps much harder. Consequently, relatively little is known about the elementary reaction steps occurring in such seemingly simple reactions such as the hydrogen evolution/oxidation reaction and the oxygen reduction reaction in electrocatalysis [2], in spite of considerable advances in the experimental microscopic characterization of structures and processes at the solid-liquid interface [3]. For example, the exact microscopic structure of water at the solid-liquid interface is still a subject of debate (see, e.g., Refs. [4–6]). Whereas for single water layers on electrode surfaces several surface science techniques with microscopic resolution can be be applied [7], molecular scale studies for thicker water layers are scarce (for a discussion see, for example, [8– 12). Thus it is still not clear whether water assumes an ice-like crystalline structure or rather a disordered liquidlike structure directly at the water-metal interface. The situation becomes even more complex if additionally the specific adsorption of ions is considered.

Nowadays, first-principles calculations based on density functional theory (DFT) can shed light on microscopic structures and processes at interfaces. The interaction of molecules with electrode surfaces in the presence of water layers has already been addressed by several DFT studies [13–22]. Some of these studies indicate that the adsorption energy in specific adsorption is hardly influenced by the presence of water because of the weak water-metal interaction [13]. However, this is not necessarily true for reaction barriers in electrocatalytic reactions. It has been found that water has a promoting effect in the CO oxidation on metal surfaces [20, 21] whereas the activation barrier for the Tafel reaction $2H_{ad} \rightarrow H_2$ on Pt(111) seems to be not significantly changed by the presence of water [18]. On the other hand, the significant overpotential required to measure currents in the oxygen reduction reaction [2] indicates that the oxygen dissociation might be hindered by the presence of water.

Hence, it is certainly fair to say that our understanding of the role of water in electrocatalytic reactions is far from being complete. In this contribution, we address the influence of water on simple, but still electrocatalytically relevant reactions by periodic density functional theory calculations. This work has a modest, but rather fundamental goal. By determining the barrier for simple reactions in the presence of water in different structures we want to contribute to the elucidation of the structurereactivity relationship for water. In order to concentrate on this fundamental issue, we neglect the influence of the electrode potential.

We particularly focus on the dissociation of H_2 and O_2 on Pt(111) which represent important reaction steps in the hydrogen evolution/oxidation reaction and the oxygen reduction reaction, respectively. By comparing the reaction paths in and without the presence of water and analyzing the underlying electronic structure we are able to elucidate the microscopic factors determining the influence of water on these reactions. In addition, we will discuss the role of anions in electrocatalytic reactions which is also an issue of particular importance in electrocatalysis.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

All DFT calculations were performed using the VASP code [23, 24] with the exchange-correlation effects described with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) by the functional of Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE) [25]. The ionic cores were represented by projected augmented wave (PAW) potentials [26, 27]. The Kohn-Sham states were expanded in a plane wave basis

^{*}New Address: Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan



FIG. 1: Illustration of the most stable water structures on Pt(111) according to the DFT calculations. a) H-down bilayer structure, b) H-down double bilayer structure.

with an energy cutoff of at least 400 eV. The Pt(111) substrate was modeled by a four-layer slab with the bottom layers kept fixed at their bulk positions with a lattice constant of 3.97 Å, whereas all other atomic positions were fully relaxed. In the case of the O₂ dissociation, we employed a 2×2 surface unit cell and a 6×6×1 Monkhorst-Pack grid to sample the **k**-points for the integration over the first Brillouin zone, whereas the H₂ dissociation was described within a $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3}R30^{\circ}$ surface unit cell and a 5×5 **k**-point sampling.

III. INTERACTION OF WATER WITH Pt(111)

As a first step, we addressed the structure of water on a Pt(111) surface. In order to model the metal-water interface, we considered ice-like water structures as shown in Fig. 1. *Ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations of water-metal interfaces at room temperature [28, 29] indicate that the water molecules of the first layer at the interface remain rather localized. Hence the energy minimum structure might also be stable at finite temperatures.

As for the bilayer structure shown in Fig. 1a corresponding to a water coverage of $\theta_{\rm H_2O}=2/3$, there are two undissociated structures, a H-down and a H-up structure where one of the hydrogen atoms of every second water molecule is oriented either towards or away from the surface. In agreement with previous studies [4], we find that the H-down structure is slightly more stable than the Hup structure. Our calculated binding energies per H₂O molecule of 481 meV and 464 meV for the H-down and Hup structure are slightly less than the previous results [4], most probably due to the different treatment of the ionic cores.

We added another water bilayer in order to study the influence of a thicker water environment. It is not easy to unambiguosly determine the minimum energy structure of the second bilayer. The upper water layer can



FIG. 2: Local density of states (LDOS) of the Pt(111) surface atoms without and in the presence of water. For the adsorption of a single water molecule, only the LDOS of the Pt atom directly below the water molecule is plotted, whereas for the H-down water bilayer the LDOS of the three inequivalent Pt atoms within the surface unit cell is shown.

be shifted almost arbitrarily on top of the lower water layer, and in addition different combinations of H-up and H-down water layers are possible. Many of these possible structures exhibit similar binding energies per water molecule in the range between 464 meV and 482 meV. In order to gain insight in the principle mechanisms we focus mainly on the structure that can be seen in Fig. 1b. This structure has a H₂O binding energy of 482 meV per molecule which is almost the same as in the case of a single water layer with a binding energy of 481 meV per molecule.

In order to determine the modification of the Pt electrode atoms upon the adsorption of water, we compare in Fig. 2 the local density of states (LDOS) of the Pt(111) substrate atoms for the clean surface with those of water-covered surfaces. For the water bilayer shown in Fig. 1a, there are three inequivalent Pt surface atoms per surface unit cell, either non-covered or covered by a water molecule bound via an oxygen atom or a hydrogen atom. Figure 2 demonstrates that the LDOS of all these three Pt atoms hardly differs from the LDOS of the Pt atoms at the clean Pt(111) surface. In addition, we have also considered the adsorption of a single water molecule to Pt(111) which in its most favorable position lies almost flat on the surface with the oxygen atom above a Pt atom, as already identified in previous studies [22, 30].

Although the binding energy of the single water molecule of about 350 meV is actually smaller than the binding energy per water molecule in the ice-like bilayer structure, the single water molecule is interacting more strongly with the Pt substrate than the water molecules in the bilayer structure where the energy gain upon adsorption is mostly due to the hydrogen bonding within the water network [22]. And indeed we find, that the electronic structure of the Pt atom below the water monomer



FIG. 3: The total energy relative to the initial molecular state (solid line) and the magnetic moment (dashed line) during the O_2 dissociation for (a) peroxo and (b) superoxo adsorption states on the Pt(111) surface.

is modified to a larger extent then those of the Pt atoms covered by a water bilayer. The increased LDOS for the monomer adsorption at about -4.5 eV is due to the hybridization of the water $1b_1$ orbital with the Pt *d*band [30]. Still, the peak positions and the width of the Pt *d*-band are hardly modified by the presence of water indicating that the interaction of water with late transition metals is rather weak. This explains why the adsorption energies of specifically adsorbed species are only weakly influenced by the presence of water [13, 22]

IV. OXYGEN DISSOCIATION ON Pt(111)

 O_2 adsorbs on clean Pt(111) in two molecular chemisorption states, the non-magnetic peroxo state and the magnetic superoxo state [31–34] which can be spontaneously accessed from the gas phase [32, 33, 35, 36], i.e. without encountering any adsorption barrier. Here we focus on the dissociation of O_2 from these molecular chemisorption states. The peroxo state corresponds to a top–fcc-hollow–bridge configuration, i.e., the O_2 center of mass is located above the fcc hollow position whereas the two oxygen atoms are oriented towards the top and bridge site, respectively. In contrast, in the superoxo state the O_2 molecule is adsorbed in a top–bridge–top configuration.

The reaction paths for the O_2 dissociation from the two molecular states were determined using the nudged elastic band (NEB) method [37]. Figure 3 shows the change in the total energy as well as the magnetic moment during the O_2 dissociation. The results agree well with those of previous DFT studies [33]. Obviously, the dissociation barriers from both molecular O_2 states are rather similar and of the order of ~0.7 eV.

One remarkable finding is that the magnetization along the dissociation path from the nonmagnetic peroxo molecular adsorption state rises to a value of $\mu = 2\mu_B$ at the transition state. In order to analyze this change in the magnetic moment, we projected the wave functions to atomic orbitals so that the magnetic moments of the



FIG. 4: Potential energy along the O_2 dissociation path on Pt(111) with and without water starting from the nonmagnetic molecular precursor state of O_2 .

individual atoms could be determined. Thus we find that most of the magnetic moment at the transition state originates from the magnetic polarization of the 5d electrons of the Pt substrate atoms.

Next, we included the effect of water on the O_2 dissociation barrier by adding one water molecule. In fact, one isolated water molecule should lead to a stronger local perturbation than a water bilayer, as discussed in the previous section. We fully relaxed the atomic structure including the H_2O molecule, and monitored the oxygen dissociation process. Water modifies the dissociation barrier and the magnetization along the dissociation paths rather modestly. In the case of the dissociation of the peroxo precursor state, the presence of water makes the dissociation barrier slightly smaller and narrower, as seen in Fig. 4. This suggests that it is not the O_2 dissociation itself in the presence of water that causes the significant overpotential required for the oxygen reduction reaction, but rather other processes such as the H_2O_2 or H_2O formation that are part of the oxygen reduction reaction schemes [2].

Furthermore, we explored the influence of the additional presence of anions on the electrode surface. As a prototype, we chose chlorine in the -1 charge state. Since we considered a situation where the cations are supposed to be far away from the Pt surface, they are not explicitly included in our model. Instead, the calculations for the negative charge state were performed with a compensating positive uniform background charge to avoid the divergence for charged supercells in the reciprocal space representation.

For the Cl^- ion, the most stable adsorption site on the clean Pt(111) surface is the top site, whereas it is the bridge site for the neutral charge state. To model the O₂ dissociation process in the presence of chlorine and water (see Fig. 5), we put Cl^- at the top site and optimized the atomic structure. The Cl^- ion remains at the top site for the initial O₂ superoxo state (Fig. 5c),



FIG. 5: (a) Atomic structure of the transition state for the dissociation of O_2 superoxo adsorption state on the Pt(111) surface with the presence of H_2O and Cl^- . (b) Potential energy relative to the initial molecular state (solid line) and the magnetic moment (dashed line) during the O_2 dissociation. (c) The initial-state configuration with the O_2 superoxo state. (d) The final-state configuration with atomic O adsorption.

whereas it is slightly displaced to the top-fcc-hollow site due to close presence of oxygen atoms for the final state of the atomic oxygen adsorption (Fig. 5d). To find the transition state, we used a denser sampling in the reaction coordinate along the dissociation path, since a more complex pathway is expected due to the larger number of relevant degrees of freedom in the presence of both water and chlorine in addition to the oxygen molecule.

The calculated atomic structure for the transition state of this reaction is shown in Fig. 5a. The oxygen molecule is almost in a top-hcp-hollow-bridge configuration. As the comparison of Fig. 5b with Fig. 4 clearly shows, the presence of Cl^- affects the transition barrier and the magnetization remarkably during the dissociation, in spite of the fact that the anion is neither directly involved in the reaction nor magnetic. The calculated barrier height is slightly lowered due to the presence of chlorine and water.

In contrast to the case without Cl^- where the magnetization is kept almost constant before before overcoming the dissociation barrier, it decreases in the early stage of the dissociation process in the presence of Cl^- and then vanishes. Still, the system becomes magnetic again near the transition state. This behavior is due to the fact that the dissociation pathway proceeds through the top-hcp-hollow-bridge configuration which is a nonmagnetic metastable precursor state. This dissociation pathway corresponds to the rotation of the oxygen molecule, which is caused by the fact that one of the oxygen atoms in O_2 is anchored by the water molecule that is bound to chlorine through hydrogen bonding. It should be noted that the presence of water without chlorine cannot anchor the oxygen atom, because the water molecule follows the motion of oxygen resulting in the nearly unaffected dissociation pathway. This anchor effect is removed after overcoming the dissociation barrier, because atomic oxygen strongly prefers to be at the fcc-hollow site. Indeed, atomic adsorption of oxygen at the Pt(111) top site is unstable [33].

Although the spin polarization of the oxygen molecule plays an essential role in the magnetization for the initial state of the superoxo molecular adsorption, the drastic increase in the magnetic moment in the vicinity of the transition state is mainly attributed to 5d electrons of the Pt substrate as mentioned in the discussion of the dissociation of the superoxo molecular oxygen. Since the ground state configuration of 5d electrons of Pt surfaces is nonmagnetic, this remarkable change in the electronic states indicates the strong involvement of the Pt electrons in the dissociation process explaining the high catalytic activity of Pt surfaces.

V. H₂ DISSOCIATION ON Pt(111)

In contrast to O_2 , for H_2 there are no chemisorbed molecular adsorption states on metal surfaces, except at defect sites such as steps [38, 39]. Furthermore, the rather small dissociation barrier of H_2 on clean Pt(111) is located more than 2 Å away from the surface [40, 41], at about the same height as the water bilayer. Therefore we included the whole water bilayer structure in order to model the H_2 dissociation on water-covered Pt(111).

To characterize the reaction path, we determined twodimensional cuts, so-called elbow plots [42], through the potential energy surface of the hydrogen dissociation reaction as a function of the center of mass distance of H_2 from the surface and the intramolecular H-H distance. The dissociation path corresponds to a fcc hollow-tophcp hollow (h-t-h) geometry with the H-H-bond axis parallel to the surface as shown in the inset of Fig. 6b.

On clean Pt(111), there is only a small dissociation barrier of 54 meV for the hollow-top-hollow dissociation of H₂, in good agreement with previous calculations [40, 41]. The barrier is at 2.5 Å above the Pt(111) surface plane (Fig. 6a). This barrier is a so-called early barrier [43], i.e., the barrier is located before the curved region of the PES. The adsorption energy of atomic hydrogen in the hollow position on the three layer Pt(111) slab is calculated to be 468 meV with respect to the free H₂ molecule.

In the presence of the water bilayer, we expected the minimum energy path of the H_2 dissociation to go through the middle of the water bilayer above an uncovered Pt atom as shown in the inset of Fig. 6b. All water molecules and the first Pt layer were completely relaxed in the absence of H_2 , but for the determination of the



FIG. 6: Two-dimensional cuts through the potential energy surface of the interaction of H_2 with clean Pt(111) (a) and with Pt(111) covered by one (b) and two (b) water bilayers. The potential energy is plotted as a function of the H–H distance d and the H_2 center of mass distance Z from the surface. The lateral position and orientation of the H_2 molecule correspond to a fcc hollow-top-hcp hollow configuration, as indicated in the inset. The contour spacing in (a) is 25 meV, while it is 50 meV in (b) and (c). Note the different scales of the Z axis.

PES, all positions were kept fixed. The potential energy is given relative to the energy of a H_2 molecule 9 Å above the Pt surface and a H-H bond length of 0.75 Å.

The water bilayer affects the H_2 dissociation considerably (see Fig. 6b). While the position of the dissociation barrier at 2.5 Å above the surface plane is hardly modified, the barrier height is increased by 167 meV to 221 meV. In order to figure out the origin of this increase in the barrier height, we removed the Pt slab and calculated the PES with the fixed atomic positions of the water molecules. Thus we find a barrier for the propagation of H_2 through the water bilayer of 165 meV but at a position that would correspond to a distance of 3.1 Å above the Pt surface (see Tab. I). This indicates that there is a direct repulsive interaction between the water bilayer and the H_2 molecule, so that the modification of the barrier is not the result of the (weak) water-induced modification of the electronic structure of Pt(111).

Addressing the problem of different reaction pathways and dissociation sites of hydrogen, we also performed a nudged elastic band calculation [37] to check our preliminary assumptions. As a result we found that the reaction barrier changes by less than 10 meV in the NEB calculations which indicates that the barrier that we derived from the PES is very close to the true one. Hence the assumed reaction pathway is also very close to the result of the NEB calculation as well. Regarding the other inequivalent h-t-h configuration, we rotated the H_2 molecule by 60° around the z-axis onto the corresponding h-t-h dissociation path. We found a small rise in the dissociation barrier of 20 meV. Comparing the two different ice-like H-down and H-up structures, the H-up structure exhibits a slightly increased dissociation barrier compared to the H-down structure by 39 meV from 221 meV to 260 meV. All these data are also collected in Tab. I.

Our result that taking a water bilayer into account in-

creases the barrier for the H_2 dissociation on Pt(111) by about 170 meV seems to be at variance with recent DFT calculations Skúlason et al. that found that the barrier for the reverse reaction, the Tafel reaction $2H_{ad} \rightarrow H_2$, is not changed significantly by the presence of water [18]. However, one has to consider that the presence of water also leads to a reduction of the atomic hydrogen binding energies from $468 \,\mathrm{meV}$ at the clean Pt(111) surface to 395 mev per hydrogen atom (see Tab. I). This reduction of the atomic binding energies compensates the increase in the barrier height, so that the difference, which is the barrier for hydrogen desorption, remains almost unchanged. In passing, we note that the activation barrier for the Tafel reaction determined by Skúlason et al. is smaller than the one determined in our calculations. This is due to the fact that Skúlason *et al.* instead of the PBE functional used the RPBE functional [44] which is known to yield lower binding energies of adsorbates at surfaces.

So far we neglected the influence of further water layers. To study the influence of surrounding water molecules on the reaction pathway we studied the dissociative adsorption of H_2 through two water bilayers. We calculated the PES in the same way we did in the case of only one water bilayer. As a result we get an additional barrier of 175 meV located 6.1 Å above the surface in the middle of the upper water layer (see (Fig. 6c). This confirms that there is Pauli repulsion between the closeshell H₂ molecule and the close-shell water molecules. The lower barrier is weakly influenced by the additional water layer and rises from 221 meV to 253 meV. If we neglect the Pt surface and consider the two water bilayers alone, we find a barrier of 183 meV for the upper layer and 175 for the lower layer again at positions corresponding to a height of 3.1 Å and 6.1 Å above the surface, respectively. The position of the second upper barrier is the same with and without the Pt slab, only the position

TABLE I: Binding energies of water in meV/molecule and atomic hydrogen binding energies in meV/atom on Pt(111) with respect to the free water molecule and H₂ molecule, respectively, H₂ dissociation barriers ΔE in meV and distance z of the dissociation barriers from the surface in Å for different water structure on Pt(111). In addition, results for free-standing water layers without the Pt substrate are included. (a) refers to the H-down and (b) to the H-up structure of the water bilayer.

$\overline{\theta_{\mathrm{H_2O}}}$	$E_b^{\mathrm{H_2O}}$	E_b^{H}	ΔE_{lower}	\mathbf{Z}_{lower}	ΔE_{upper}	\mathbf{z}_{upper}
2/3 (a)	481	399	221	2.5	-	-
2/3 (b)	464	395	260	2.4	-	-
4/3 (a)	482	378	253	2.4	178	6.1
4/3 (b)	476	352	277	2.3	191	6.1
0	-	468	54	2.5	-	-
2/3 (a) without Pt	451	-	165	3.1	-	-
4/3 (a) without Pt	457	-	183	3.1	175	6.1

of the barrier in the first lower barrier is again moved towards the surface due to the presence of the Pt substrate. This indicates again that the total barrier can be regarded as a superposition of the $H_2/Pt(111)$ dissociation barrier and the barrier for the parallel propagation of the H_2 molecule through the water bilayer. Considering differences between H-up and H-down double layer structures we find slightly higher barriers for the H-up structure as already observed in the single bilayer calculations (see Tab. I).

Interestingly enough, the upper barrier depends only very weakly on the molecular orientation. The barrier decreases by less than 10 meV if the molecule is turned in an upright orientation perpendicular to the surface. This can be understood considering the fact that the charge distribution of the free H_2 molecule that is dominated by the σ_q state is almost spherically symmetric so that the repulsion between the H_2 molecule and the water molecules hardly depends on the orientation of the molecule. We performed *ab initio* molecular dynamics runs of H_2 molecules approaching the Pt substrate through the holes in the water bilayer for different initial kinetic energies. We find that the H_2 molecules do not change their orientation significantly when they propagate across the upper barrier demonstrating the potential is indeed almost isotropic. The molecular dynamics simulations also showed that approaching H_2 molecules can become trapped in the potential minimum between first and second water bilayer and then rather return instead of adsorbing.

Finally, we also studied the influence of disorder in the water structures on the dissociation barriers. Naturally, there is a vast number of possible arrangements of the water layer which might only slightly differ from each other. In order to have a systematic approach, we decided to successively remove up to six molecules alternately from the first and the second layer. Some of these arrangements are certainly not very realistically and might hardly occur at a metal-water interface. Still this approach allows us to get insight in the basic dependence of the dissociation barriers on the surrounding water environment. A $2\sqrt{3} \times 2\sqrt{3}$ unit cell consisting of 16 water molecules was chosen to reasonably describe the disordered water system. All excluded molecules are direct neighbors of the considered H_2 molecule, and all water structures were relaxed before the interaction of H_2 with these structures was evaluated. The PES was calculated in the same manner as we did in the case of the two complete water layers, i.e., the center of mass of the H_2 molecule was fixed at the top site of the uncovered Pt atom and the H_2 orientation was also frozen. To determine the true dissociation path, a nudged elastic band calculation would be required, in particular considering the fact that the symmetry of the water layers is reduced by extracting water molecules, however, the computational cost for such calculations would be exceedingly high. Still, trends in the height of the barriers can also be derived from the PES calculations with the center of mass of the H_2 molecule at the center of the hexagonal ring.

To speed up the calculations, we considered only a three-layer Pt slab, however, we checked that the calculated barrier is hardly influenced by the reduced slab



FIG. 7: Illustration of a disordered water structure considered in the calculations with two water molecules extracted from the lower water layer and three from the upper.

			Lowe	r layer			
#	0	1	1	2	2	2	3
E_b	270	296	284	276	275	323	189
			Uppe	er layer			
#	0	0	1	1	2	3	3
E_b	173	172	162	139	97	9	0

TABLE II: Dependence of the dissociation barriers E_b in meV in the lower and the upper water layer on the number of molecules removed from the water layers (#).

thickness, as a comparison of the third line of Tab. I with the first column of Tab. II shows, where the barrier heights for the considered disordered structures are listed.

Interestingly enough, we find that removing one molecule from the lower layer leads to a small increase of the dissociation barrier. Even if we exclude a second molecule from the lower layer, the barrier remains high and is even raised when a third molecule is excluded from the upper layer. This particular water structure is illustrated in Fig. 7. These findings are surprising because naively one would expect that the removal of water molecules would lead to a more open structure through which it is easier to propagate. However, one has to consider that the lattice constant of the water bilayer on Pt(111) and in hexagonal ice differ: the water layers on Pt(111) in the regular hexagonal structure are expanded by about 7% [6]. If the ordered structure is destroyed, the water structure relaxes and the distances between the water molecules can be destroyed. In addition, water molecules from the upper layer relax downward reducing the available space for the H₂ molecule propagation. Altogether, this leads to the increase in the barrier height. Only the exclusion of a third water molecule in the lower layer leads to a significant decrease of the barrier down to 183 meV. In contrast, removing molecules from the upper layer leads to continuous decrease of the barrier for the propagation through the upper layer. This decrease is again small when only one water molecule is removed, but the barrier even vanishes when three molecules are excluded in the upper layer. These results indicate that disordered water structures at the solid-liquid interface will result in a broadened distribution of barriers for the H_2 dissociation which can be larger as well as smaller than the barrier for the ordered hexagonal bilayer structure.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We studied simple reactions on Pt(111) in the presence of water by density functional theory calculations. The adsorption of a ice-like water bilayer on Pt(111) perturbs the electronic structure of the Pt atoms only weakly. Hence processes that occur directly at the surfaces are only weakly influenced by the presence of water. Such a process is the dissociation of O_2 from the molecular O_2 chemisorption state which was addressed using the nudged elastic band method. We considered both the non-magnetic peroxo state and the magnetic superoxo state as initial states. We calculated the dissociation barrier of the O_2 molecule on clean Pt(111) and in the presence of a water molecule alone and additional adsorbed chlorine anions. The O_2 molecule becomes magnetically polarized at the transition state from the nonmagnetic peroxo state to nonmagnetic atomic state with the Pt dstates playing an important role. The dissociation barrier and adsorption energies as well as the magnetization are hardly affected by the presence of one H_2O molecule. In contrast, they are remarkably changed in the presence of the Cl⁻ ions. In this case, water plays a role of an anchor for oxygen with the water bound to chlorine through a hydrogen bond.

In the case of the H_2 dissociation on Pt(111), the water bilayer leads to an increase in the H₂ dissociation barrier height. This barrier can be regarded as a superposition of the H_2 dissociation barrier on clean Pt(111)with the barrier for the H₂ propagation through an icelike hexagonal water layer. The H₂ dissociation barrier further increases, but only slightly, when a second water bilayer is taken into account. The barrier for the reverse reaction, the Tafel reaction, on the other hand, is not changed significantly by the presence of water since the increase in the dissociation barrier is compensated by reduced atomic hydrogen binding energies to Pt(111). The barrier for the H₂ propagation through the second bilayer depends only very weakly on the H_2 orientation. Disordered water structures result in a broadened distribution in H₂ dissociation barrier heights.

In the future, we plan to perform *ab initio* molecular dynamics simulations in order to study dynamical details of simple reactions at electrode surfaces in the presence of water.

Acknowledgments

Support by the German Science Foundation (DFG) through contract GR 1503/18-1, the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung is gratefully acknowledged.

- [1] Groß, A. Surf. Sci. 2002, 500, 347.
- [2] Marković, N. M.; Ross Jr., P. N. Surf. Sci. Rep. 2002, 45, 117.
- [3] Kolb, D. M. Surf. Sci. 2002, 500, 722.
- [4] Meng, S.; Xu, L. F.; Wang, E. G.; Gao, S. W. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2002, 89, 176104.
- [5] Feibelman, P. J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 91, 059601.
- [6] Meng, S.; Xu, L. F.; Wang, E. G.; Gao, S. W. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 91, 059602.
- [7] Michaelides, A. Appl. Phys. A 2006, 85, 415.
- [8] Filhol, J. S.; Bocquet, M.-L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2007, 238, 203.
- [9] Vassilev, P.; van Santen, R. A.; Koper, M. T. M. J. Chem. Phys. 2005, 122, 054701.
- [10] Jungwirth, P.; Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.; J.Tobias, D. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 1137.
- [11] Benjamin, I. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 1212.
- [12] Verdaguer, A.; Sacha, G. M.; Bluhm, H.; Salmeron, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 1478.
- [13] Roudgar, A.; Groß, A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2005, 409, 157.
- [14] Desai, S. K.; Pallassana, V.; Neurock, M. J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 9171.
- [15] Hartnig, C.; Spohr, E. Chem. Phys. 2005, 319, 185.
- [16] Filhol, J. S.; Neurock, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 402.
- [17] Taylor, C. D.; Wasileski, S. A.; Filhol, J.-S.; Neurock, M. Phys. Rev. B 2006, 73, 165402.
- [18] Skúlason, E.; Karlberg, G. S.; Rossmeisl, J.; Bligaard, T.; Greeley, J.; Jónsson, H.; Nørskov, J. K. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2007, 9, 3241.
- [19] Vassilev, P.; Koper, M. T. M. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 2607.
- [20] Desai, S. K.; Neurock, M. Electrochim. Acta 2003, 48, 3759.
- [21] Gong, X.-Q.; Hu, P.; Raval, R. J. Chem. Phys. 2003, 119, 6324.
- [22] Roudgar, A.; Groß, A. Surf. Sci. 2005, 597, 42.
- [23] Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Phys. Rev. B 1996, 54, 11169.

- [24] Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Comput. Mater. Sci. 1996, 6, 15.
- [25] Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865.
- [26] Blöchl, P. E. Phys. Rev. B **1994**, 50, 17953.
- [27] Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 1758.
- [28] Izvekov, S.; Mazzolo, A.; Van Opdorp, K.; Voth, G. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 3284.
- [29] Izvekov, S.; Voth, G. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 115, 7196.
- [30] Michaelides, A.; Ranea, V. A.; de Andres, P. L.; King,
 D. A. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2003, 90, 216102.
- [31] Puglia, C.; Nilsson, A.; Hernnäs, B.; Karis, O.; Bennich, P.; Mårtensson, N. Surf. Sci. **1995**, 342, 119.
- [32] Eichler, A.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1997, 79, 4481.
- [33] Eichler, A.; Mittendorfer, F.; Hafner, J. Phys. Rev. B 2000, 62, 4744.
- [34] Lischka, M.; Mosch, C.; Groß, A. Electrochim. Acta 2007, 52, 2219.
- [35] Groß, A.; Eichler, A.; Hafner, J.; Mehl, M. J.; Papaconstantopoulos, D. A. Surf. Sci. 2003, 539, L542.
- [36] Groß, A.; Eichler, A.; Hafner, J.; Mehl, M. J.; Papaconstantopoulos, D. A. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 174713.
- [37] Mills, G.; Jónsson, H.; Schenter, G. K. Surf. Sci. 1995, 324, 305.
- [38] Schmidt, P. K.; Christmann, K.; Kresse, G.; Hafner, J.; Lischka, M.; Groß, A. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001, 87, 096103.
- [39] Lischka, M.; Groß, A. Phys. Rev. B **2002**, 65, 075420.
- [40] Olsen, R. A.; Kroes, G. J.; Baerends, E. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1999, 111, 11155.
- [41] Olsen, R. A.; Busnengo, H. F.; Salin, A.; Somers, M. F.; Kroes, G. J.; Baerends, E. J. J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 3841.
- [42] Groß, A. Surf. Sci. Rep. 1998, 32, 291.
- [43] Dianat, A.; Sakong, S.; Groß, A. Eur. Phys. J. B 2005, 45, 425.
- [44] Hammer, B.; Hansen, L. B.; Nørskov, J. K. Phys. Rev. B 1999, 59, 7413.